Joint Planning and Cambridge Redevelopment Authority Board Meeting
Tuesday, April 12, 2022 at 6:30 PM

DRAFT Meeting Minutes

The meeting opened at 6:30 PM. It was held remotely in accordance with Section 20 of Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021 signed into law on June 16, 2021. All votes will be taken by roll call. After a roll call of Planning Board members were taken, Iram Farooq gave a report on Community Development Department business. CRA Chair Kathleen Born then called the CRA Board meeting.

Treasurer Christopher Bator - will not be attending tonight
Vice Chair Conrad Crawford - present
Asst. Treasurer Barry Zevin - present
Asst. Secretary Margaret Drury - not yet present at roll call but did join the meeting at 6:50 PM
Executive Director Tom Evans - present
The attached document is a transcription of the meeting
A motion was moved by Ms. Drury to Approve the Schematic Design with the conditions of further Design Review for the plaza area, lighting entry materials, and the visual mockup. A roll call was taken by Mr. Evans and each member's vote was repeated.
Kathy Born - yes
Conrad Crawford - yes
Margaret Drury - yes
Barry Zevin - yes
Chris Bator - absent
The motion carried.
At 9:15 PM, a motion was moved, by Ms. Drury for adjournment of the CRA meeting. A roll call was taken by Mr. Evans and each member's vote was repeated.
Kathy Born - yes
Conrad Crawford - yes
Margaret Drury - yes
Barry Zevin - yes
Chris Bator - absent
The motion carried.
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Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn, Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh Russell, Ashley Tan, and Alan Price

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Good evening, and welcome to the April 12, 2022, meeting of the Cambridge Planning Board. My name is Catherine Preston Connolly, and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely in accordance with Section 20 of Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021 signed into law on June 16, 2021.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their names before speaking, and all votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment, and I will give instructions for public comment at that time. You can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote Planning Board meetings.

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, is being streamed live on the City of Cambridge online meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board Member attendance and verify that all Members are audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Catherine. Daniel Messplay here, senior Zoning Manager at CDD.

Mary Flynn, are you present, and is the meeting audible?

MARY FLYNN: I am present, and the meeting is audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Mary.

H Theodore Cohen, are you present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?

H THEODORE COHEN: Present, and audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you.
Alan Price, are you present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?

ALAN PRICE: Present, visible, and audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Alan.

Hugh Russell, are you present and is the meeting visible and audible to you?

HUGH RUSSELL: Present, visible, and audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Hugh.

Louis Bacci?
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Present, visible, audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Lou.

Steven Cohen? Are you present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Present and audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz? Are you
present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?
[Pause]

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Absent.

Ashley Tan, are you present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?

ASHLEY TAN: Present, visible, and audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Catherine Preston Connolly, are you present, and is the meeting visible and audible to you?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Present, visible, and audible.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. That's members present and one member absent.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you very much. All right, then we will turn our attention to an update from Community Development. Please also introduce any Staff present at the meeting.

IRAM FAROOQ: Thank you, Chair.
(6:37 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn, Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh Russell, Ashley Tan, and Alan Price

IRAM FAROOQ: Thank you, Chair. Good evening. Iram Farooq, Assistant City Manager for Community Development.

I am joined today by Daniel Messplay and Swaathi Joseph from our Zoning and Development Division. From our Community Planning Division, we have our Urban designer, Erik Thorkildsen, and from our Housing Division Linda Prosnitz is joining us today.
I'm going to go into Board agenda. So tonight's
agenda and next week's agenda are both general business meetings. So today we have a Design Review of the MXD Residential Building at 135 Broadway, and then we have some BZA cases.

Next week on the nineteenth, we have again MXD Design Review, and this time focusing on two commercial buildings at 250 and 290 Binney Street, as well as a Design Update on the Charles Park Project.

We expect still that the April 26 date will not be a Planning Board meeting, and the following Board meeting will be May 3, which will include a public hearing on the Kelley Petition, which relates to transportation-related emissions, and a special permit related to Formula Business for 625 Mass Ave.

In terms of other items of interest, we have -I'll provide an update from last week's hearings at the Council, some of which were -- would be of interest to the Planning Board.

So there was a meeting of the Transportation and Public Utilities company, which discussed amending parking ratios, with specific focus on eliminating all minimum requirements. There was discussion of commercial maximums,
but at this point the Committee is forwarding to the full Council a petition to eliminate all minimums. So that should be coming to the Board.

The Ordinance Committee had two hearings, one on the changes to Article 22 related to embodied energy. The Board had made a positive recommendation on this matter, and it does also connect to the Building Energy Use Disclosure Ordinance.

The Council is -- well, the Council discussed those changes and have forwarded the matter to the Council, but they are also scheduling follow-up discussions with impacted properties, which they will be convening.

There was another Ordinance Committee hearing on the Alewife proposed moratorium for office and lab uses -the temporary moratorium. In fact, we had provided some cleanup decks based on the Board's discussion. So the Ordinance Committee did adopt those text changes, and have forwarded, again, that petition back to full Council with a positive recommendation.

Coming up, the Ordinance Committee will have their hearing on the Kelley Petition on May 4.

Just one other thing I wanted to mention not
related to hearings is that last week we announced that Homeowner's Rehab and Lesley University have reached an agreement to -- for HRI to purchase Lesley's property at 1627 Massachusetts Avenue for the purpose of constructing affordable housing.

This has been funded by the Affordable Housing Trust, and it's the first purchase in the Baldwin Neighborhood in a very long time for affordable housing development.

So this has been for some time a discussion of how to really expand affordable housing so that it is being built throughout the city, and not just in certain precincts. And so this is a big step in that direction, and we're really excited to have had a role in helping make that happen.

The Council really had expressed a lot of interest in this, and $I$ would say the Board deserves credit also, because the Board has talked a lot about affordable housing, and affordable housing throughout the city.

And I would say even during the Town Gown discussions that focus on housing, particularly as Lesley talked about their parcels for purchase, I'm sure was a
nudge in that right direction.

So those are my updates, and I will turn it back to you, Chair. Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Iram, I'm wondering if you can just confirm, $I$ believe the very first recreational cannabis shop in Cambridge is being opened this week. Is that correct?

IRAM FAROOQ: Thank you, Chair. That is a good -yes, that is accurate.

I spoke -- this is Yamba in Central Square -- and it should be actually open now, because I did speak to Sean Hope, and he had mentioned that he was hoping to have it open by Monday.

I have not been by, so I can't swear to that, but if not Monday, it should be open later this week. And they are planning some sort of event for April 20 as well. So mark your calendars.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Well, given the number of recreational shops this Board has permitted, I thought it would be of interest to folks that we are finally seeing the first of them open.

And it will be something we will all keep our eyes
on, because we've certainly permitted a lot without knowing what the effects were going to be. So it'll be -- I'm sure the Board will be watching with great interest.

All right. We can move on to the next item on the agenda.
(6:44 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn, Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh Russell, Ashley Tan, and Alan Price

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: We do have a bunch of meeting minutes to approve today. The Board has received certified transcripts for the meetings held on February 8, February 15, March 1, and March 8. Are there any questions about any of those transcripts?

If not, is there a motion to accept the transcript as the meeting minutes?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Steve so moved.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Is there a second?

MARY FLYNN: Mary will second.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Louis second.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Oh! Mary beat you to
it, Lou. We'll take a roll call vote, then.
DANIEL MESSPLAY: Roll call on that motion: Lou

Bacci?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?

H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steve Cohen?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Mary Flynn?

MARY FLYNN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?
HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Alan Price?

ALAN PRICE: Yes.
DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?

ASHLEY TAN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Catherine Preston Connolly?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
[All vote YES]

DANIEL MESSPLAY: That is all members voting in
favor.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you very much.

*     *         *             *                 * 

(6:45 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Catherine Preston Connolly, Mary T. Flynn, Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Steven A. Cohen, H Theodore Cohen, Hugh Russell, Ashley Tan, and Alan Price

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Then we will move on to our big-ticket item this evening, Design Review at 135 Broadway, part of the Infill Development Concept Plan for the MXD Zoning District. This is Planning Board Case No. 315 by Boston Properties, Limited Partnership.

Let me turn things over to Kathleen Born from the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority Board to convene their meeting and do their preliminaries, since this is a joint meeting of both Boards. Thank you, Catherine.

KATHLEEN BORN: I'm Kathleen Born, the Chair of the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority. And for the record, this is a joint meeting of the Planning and the CRA Boards that's being held on April 12 at 6:30. The meeting is being held virtually.

I will now call the roll of the CRA Board Members and its Executive Director, and ask if the meeting is audible. Please respond after I call your name. And I'd like to note that our Board Member, Christopher Bator, is not with us tonight.

And I am not sure whether Margaret Drury has arrived, but we do have three Members, and that constitutes a quorum for the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority, which is made up of five.

Vice Chair Conrad Crawford, is the meeting audible?

CONRAD CRAWFORD: Yes, it is.
KATHLEEN BORN: And are you here?

CONRAD CRAWFORD: Yes. I am present, and the meeting is audible. Sorry.

KATHLEEN BORN: Right. Assistant Treasurer Barry Zevin?

BARRY ZEVIN: Yes, and yes.
KATHLEEN BORN: All right. Assistant Secretary
Margaret Drury? Has Margaret come in?
[Pause]
No. Conrad, myself, and Barry, that's three. And

Executive Director Tom Evans?

TOM EVANS: I am present, and the meeting is audible to me, thank you.

KATHLEEN BORN: All right. We also have numerous other CRA Staff members in attendance. And I'd like to add that because this is a remote meeting, all votes taken by the CRA Board will be taken by roll call, and our Executive Director will be repeating the response of each Member present. Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you, Kathy.

We will now turn first to CDD Staff to summarize what is before us. I believe, Daniel, you're going to take the lead on that for Community Development?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes, thank you, Catherine. So this is the first Design Review session following the Board's approval of Major Amendment No. 2 of the Infill Development Concept Plan back in December of 2021.

The IDCP, just as a reminder, it functions like a PUD plan, where there is overall approval of the plan in the site at a schematic level, and that was the Board's action back in December.

And then individual building sites are subject to future Design Review by the Planning Board, Planning, and in this case the CRA Board.

Per the conditions of the special permit, at least one Planning Board Design Review session shall be held jointly with the CRA Board, and the Planning Board's focus and action tonight will be to review and either approve the design of the proposed building as presented or request additional study or changes to be reviewed at a future time.

If the design is approved, the next step would be for the developer to seek a building permit and CDD Staff would certify that the building permit is in conformance with the approved design.

The Board may specify parts of the design that would be subject to continuing review by CDD or other City Staff prior to issuance of a building permit.

The Board could also make the Design approval conditioned on specific design details coming back to the Board for future approval, and included in the Board's packet is a Staff Memo from CDD, and there are also Staff present from CDD and Housing to answer any questions the Board may have.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you,
Daniel. Let me turn it back to the CRA. I believe your Staff has a similar intro as to your job this evening. Tom?

TOM EVANS: Yes, Tom Evans, Cambridge
Redevelopment Authority. We have a similar Agenda to review the designs as they have advanced since the approval of the Infill Development Concept Plan. And we have a slightly different procedure under our development agreement.

In the Urban Renewal Plan procedures, we have a process called, "The Design Review and Document Approval Procedure" where we review the schematic design and further iterations of the design over the course of Development's evolution.

So tonight, we are reviewing the schematic design for conformance with the Infill Development Concept Plan, and we can apply conditions upon that approval if the Board so wishes, and then continue with other Design Review items as needed through the DRDAP process.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. Thank you so much. All right. We will now turn to the project proponents. I believe we are starting with Susannah. Is that -- Susannah Shaw is kicking us off with some opening
remarks and introduction to the project team?

SUSANNAH SHAW: That's correct. Good evening, everyone. Susannah Shaw, Boston Properties Vice President of Development. I'll keep my remarks very brief.

First and foremost, just want to thank everyone for their time over the last year, as we have worked through the Design process for 135 Broadway. We're very excited to be in front of you all tonight to give you an overview of the design and how it has evolved from zoning to where we are today with the building.

And, you know, we look forward to hearing your feedback.

So with that, I'll turn it over to Louis Kraft at Stantec, who will take us through the presentation on your screen.

LOUIS KRAFT: Hello, everyone. Louis Kraft here, if you could advance the slide forward that would be great. I will be joint tonight with Christian Lemon from Lemon Brooke Associates on the landscape side, and Joel Smith from Sasaki on the open space side tonight.

We're going to have a chance to run through a quick overview presentation tonight, and afterwards we want
to leave as much time as possible for a $Q \& A$ session where we can jump in to all of the details, not just that you see here on the screen, but from all the feedback that we've been provided from previous sessions.

You can advance the slide one further.
Since approval, we recently had a joint CRA-CDD Design Review session in February, and we really compiled a lot of great feedback for that session. So the first half of what we're going to do tonight is have the more formal presentation.

And during the course of that presentation, we want to address sort of the broad categories of comments that we had, especially regarding urban design and architectural character. So the façades, tower massing, façade detail and ground floor and open space function and characteristics.

So at that point, we'll pause. We have a model that we'd be happy to share with the group and sort of fly through live, and then we'll have plenty of time for a technical follow-up for some of the categories you see below, of which we receive a lot of good questions regarding resiliency, bicycles, you know, statistics, et cetera. So
with that, we'll move forward and get rolling.
This is a massing model view. We'll have an articulated design model later. This is a view from the southeast. And, you know, we just think that this view is very helpful to understand the project in context, both the current and future built.

So you're seeing the Volpe site and massing in the foreground at 250 and 290 Binney Street, which obviously CRA and CDD is involved with and you'll see in future sessions.

And then the 135 residential. And, you know, in both massing and form, you can really see that this is intended to be a singular point tower expression and object as part of the Cambridge skyline. And while it has a very unique and sort of instantly recognizable form, you can kind of see from this view that it also plays a very prominent part in creating the Broadway streetscape. You really feel like in addition to being a unique expression, it's also part of an urban whole.

You can advance to the next slide.

So covering a little bit of the massing evolution, you know, I think it's important to note that a lot of the evolution of how we got to where we are today is really from
feedback, both in working with the design partners, the CRA and the CDD. You know, we kind of started, you know, last year with more of a rectangular massing and it's really evolved through a lot of feedback that is asking a lot, I think, of this site.

So the triangular form that you see here is sort of splitting the difference between a lot of careful moves that this very important that this site needs to make. So the zoning mass is extruded up, but then it was important to the group to actually look at tapering this tower, so that it can really open up, both from the inside and the outside -- the inside and the outside from the Central Plaza side -and then also from the Broadway Street side.

And it's very important for the site views, both from the tower itself, and then from 145 Broadway, and then also there might be future development at 110 Broadway as well. So there's a lot of shaping of that massing, which we'll go to in more detail later.

But, you know, the Slide 6 that you see there is really important to us, as this is part of an open space procession really of spaces. And this is taking some of the existing open spaces like Danny Lewin Park -- you can move
forward to the next slide -- and really knitting this tower into an open space hole.

So tonight, obviously, we're looking at the site plan. Broadway is on the left-hand. Binney Street is on the right-hand side, and we'll be covering tonight the two areas that you see outlined.

But obviously, they function as part of this urban hole, and some of the thoughts around the triangular shape and the pulling back of the massing not just works well for the residential units and for those views for the tenants, it also really works well at defining an open space at the Broadway Plaza that connects to and speaks to from the streetscape all of the, you know, interesting uses that are located in the Central Plaza. So.

Next slide?
Now we've rotated once again. Broadway is at the bottom of our street, and we're looking north at the top of the page.

And some of the updates that you're going to see, and will be covered during the landscape section really focus around the function and the dimensions of the open space at the Broadway Plaza.

But broadly, an introduction to the groundscape plane, the main entry for the building is located at \#1 and has a very visible place amongst the façade along Broadway, where there's a multi-height lobby space, which is located in \#4.

And one of the updated pieces of information that we provided for the group is more info about the second mezzanine space within the lobby itself.

And so we really think that this is something that's going to go a long way towards animating and enlivening the façade that really has active use along the full breadth of Broadway.

The retail use is located at \#3, and the team has really been thinking about this as being a very intimate, locally focused retail use. We've been talking about café space for bakery or gallery use.

This is going to develop over time, right? It's -- obviously there's a changing retail landscape as we move forward.

And what's really important to note is that the line between the retail and the lobby space we think is something that we'll continue to look at and play with so
that we imagine that there could be some openness and porosity between the retail and the lobby spaces as well, so that there's kind of an unbroken activity and those uses can feed off of each other.

Located at \#5 -- and an update since we last had a joint session -- would be secondary lobby access on the north façade. And this will allow tenants to access -obviously directly to the Central Plaza space and, you know, more quickly get to the -- both the Central Plaza, and then also to parking, and also to access points north.

So this is, you know, we think a really welcome addition and something that the group when we last met really focused well on.

The \#2 you can see on the right-hand side of the screen. This is the entrance to the bike spaces. So we're going to cover the bikes in detail as we move through the presentation tonight.

But just broadly, there is going to be bike valet, which is available to the site and is located in 250 Broadway, but then we will also have bikes located in the building and accessed off the East Plaza Drive.

Then finally loading docks located at \#7, and with
that we'll kind of, you know, cover the breadth of the site. We're going to get into a lot of the detailed mechanics of this, including resiliency and the level of the first-floor slab, and how that interacts with the site itself, as we go through the Open Space presentation.

Next slide?

We just thought we'd put this slide up. It's a nice reminder as we talk about sort of the dance of everything that the site wants to do, both with the tower massing and with the ground-floor plane.

We're also dealing with some significant site constraints, including the location of the electrical substation and the need to have our tower structure interact with the slurry wall for the electrical substation, and then navigate sort of the spaghetti that you see there at the electrical transmission lines.

And that was -- obviously, presented an enormous challenge to the Design team. But it was one that we were able to really weave into achieving all of the open space and massing goals that we wanted to.

Next slide?

Broadly, this is the building section that you see
on the right. This is a full residential use building here, with 37 stories. We talked a little bit about the groundfloor uses. There will also be amenities located at Level 6 and at Levels (sic) 37.

And those amenity uses coincide with significant, you know, changes in massing effects to allow for some southeast-facing spaces. The amenities are really going to be focused on health and wellness.

We also have the addition, which we'll cover a little bit later, of having a dog run as part of an inbuilding amenity, and so we'll cover those a little bit further.

So the diagram that you see in the middle looks a little bit intimidating, but it's just -- we just wanted to talk a little bit about how we took the initial sort of simple massing of that triangle. And then there's a lot of subtle moves that are introduced into those façades to shape sort of the experience of the tower.

The read of the tower has sort of a delicate, you know, massing expression, and then focusing the views, especially to the south.

So you're seeing sort of the long and short folds and how --
if we can advance to the next slide? -- how those long and short folds are really meant to work together to sort of define the different planes that you're seeing here. So we've got two primary façade languages on the east side and the west side that's represented by the blue and the red. And in doing so this, these façade languages, which we're going to go into in a little bit of detail here, are meant to be complementary to each other, but they were really attached to the different massing elements -- that that cladding language and the façade massing reads really reinforce each other.

So the east and the west façades that you see here will actually wrap around onto the north façade, where the sawtooth of the fold is broken down on the north façade, so that it's a little bit of a finer grain massing fold, and offers the ability to have a lot of detail and character brought in to the north façades.

We'll move forward.
So getting into a little bit of the façade language and sort of where we were coming with, with the cladding expression, we're really looking at reinforcing a very vertical read for this building. This is a striking
tower, and we feel very striking massing, and we really wanted to be we really wanted to be able to reinforce.

One of the design inspirations that we took from the site actually is a local inspiration, it's the Simplex Wire Company, which is located on Sidney Street, and has been for years.

You know, this is a use that was really part of the industrial heritage of Cambridgeport in that Kendall Square area, and it's -- we thought it was kind of an interesting nod to the technology of the past, and of Cambridge's past and history weaving its way into the future, and then obviously to the very high-tech nature of the current Kendall Square.

The façades that you see here are primarily ultra-high-performance concrete panels. This is a natural material, and it's a material that is cast and has a lot of texture and character.

And so sort of the banded wires that you see in the inspiration image really kind of form the design motif that you see in the upper building, and we're using that to add visual interest to the building.

Next slide?

There we go. So this is the west side of the building. And we're looking at the cement cladding as really being in the natural tone, something that has a little bit of the rich and the earthier tones, so more of an earth tone on the east side. This is more of a sand tone, you know, if you will on the west side.

And we really wanted to kind of provide this zoomed in detail to note that these ultra-high-performance concrete panels are great, because you can actually put different form liners into the forms, and so can bring a lot of texture into the panel...

And without overburdening the façade with too much unnecessary depth and actually play with the different textures to actually get a little bit of a color variation, as you move up the façade itself.

It's also worth noting that these panels are built into a unitized curtain wall chasse, and there's actually -you can kind of look at the verticals, there's an aluminum rail that holds these panels five or six inches off the façade. So there's going to be a lot of depth that's built in to this façade.

So naturally, because of the system that we've
chosen, we feel that this is something that's going to work at scale from distance.

Next slide, please?
Moving a little bit further down the building, we've updated for this presentation in the revised book the podium cladding a little bit. We've just been refining the details moving along the project.

So the podium has always had some bends at this façade, and we've really been working with these to have the look where we're taking these concrete cladding panels and we're starting to sort of bend them up the façade.

And this offers the opportunity to actually have a very subtle, lighted element on the façade. And this works two ways, with the bends flipped one way or the other way, so that there's also going to be movement for the pedestrian. As you're moving down the street, you're going to see these incisions sort of reveal themselves as you're moving across the façade.

We've got a view of this submission of the primary building glazing. And this is about 62 percent VLT glazing for the project itself. We are looking at -- you can flip over to the lobby views -- we've submitted a little bit of a
higher VLT to it. That's something that we obviously need to, you know, continue to work on that spec and get this just right.

The energy model, we feel that that's something we can introduce to the façade. It's also worth noting before we change the page that all these façades will have operable windows in them, which we think is really important sort of to the activation of façade and to really calling this out as a residential façade with an articulate character to it.

Next slide?

This is a little bit of a detailed view now, showing the primary residential entry at our main lobby. This is really a feature façade, and we think it's going to be a really amazing addition to the Broadway streetscape. This is almost 35 feet tall at its entry, but we've taken a lot of care to sort of build a lot of depth and character both into this façade, and then to the lobby spaces behind it.

So the main entry canopy has been updated a little bit. It's broader in character. We used to have more of sort of a decorative sculptural form. It's now a little bit more rooted, so the design that you're seeing here sort of
has this fluted form that again is trying to recall sort of that wire, that bound-wire character.

And it is also the same character of our feature column routes, which move from the inside of the building to the outside of the building and weave their way and blur the line between the interior and exterior space.

Move to the next slide?

So now we're zooming back alibi further here. You're seeing sort of the updated elements all together in this façade composition. And, you know, we hope it's clear that we really paid a lot of attention to having -- taking what was a very dynamic form, and especially this triangle that then has the, you know, the sawtooth and the folds introduced to it, and then being very clear about how the cladding and the designation articulation reinforces the strong massing and those massing moves that you're seeing across the façade.

So we'll be providing a little bit more detail. Obviously, we need to, you know, continue to develop the interior design. Because this is really going to be an important part of the interior design of the lobby. It's going to be an important part of the streetscape. But
obviously, you know, when you're looking at the scale of these façades, it's very important that it be thought of in this way.

Next slide?
We're going to take you on some rendered views and just walk you around the site from a distance. So what you're seeing here is a Broadway view looking west down Broadway. So this would have been the first façade, cladding type, that we showed you that had sort of the large, banded look that you're seeing there.

And we think that this view really goes to show that the texture and the scale of these cladding panels are going to be very important. Obviously, we want the building to have an a very strong visual read. We're starting to see a little bit of that dash and that slash that moves up the façade and allows the eye to be brought upward.

And then obviously that façade character is linked to the massing moves. So what we're seeing towards the top of the building is a Level 37 sky deck, which will be an active sky deck with planted areas and that steps back from the building and creates a strong crown element, and kind of a clear visual indicator, the crown element, and its
addition to the site and to the area.

Next?
Now we have a view from Broadway looking east back
down Broadway. Now what we're seeing here would be the second façade language. It's a little bit lighter in character, and you're also starting to see that we've introduced some scale elements to this façade, including the sanded panel every four levels.

Because this façade, which is from just above the lobby all the way to the front of the building, we felt that it was important to bring some rhythm there.

And I think it's also important to see how the podium, which starts at the face of 110 Broadway -- the Broadway streetscape, and kind of bends in. So it opens itself up to the Akamai entrance and forms the Broadway Plaza, here kind of positions itself so that we see it on its broadside a little bit from this corner.

And we really think that this helps to announce the open space and invite people inward towards the city. Next slide?

We'll go one back. So we've got a twin view here. We're viewing it from the south, on the left-hand side,
obviously, and then from the north on the right-hand side. And once again, really this view at the (sic) dusk just goes -- is meant to be explanatory, the very clear massing breakdown of the building, how we're using those to create what we really feel will be a unique addition to the skyline.

The deck we want to cover building lighting, which we will later. There's going to be questions about that.

And then on the north side of the building is where these two façade languages we've been discussing really wrap together and have a finer grain interaction as they reach down to the Central Plaza.

We move to the next slide.

One of the updates that the team has been working on is introducing a sixth-floor dog run to that façade. So this is what you're seeing here sort of in the middle of the building. And this was an important component to add to the project from the tenant side. But we actually think this does a lot to sort of define a datum plane, you know, along the building and further define the podium characteristic on the north façade.

There's a lot of structural constraints on this
side of the building that presses the ability to step back to full-building mass, but we really think that, you know, how we place the building balconies on each corner and the introduction of this space does a lot of work towards defining that character.

A little bit of note for the building balconies, if we step back one?

The north side of the project has balconies on both the northeast and northwest corners. There's 32 balconies on the project currently, which comprise -there's about 90 corner units, it can take all three corners. So that's over a third of the building corner units that will have an outdoor balcony. We've positioned them every other floor, really to offer a couple different experiences for the tenants.

Some tenants are interested in balcony spaces, others are much interested in sort of the natural light that's afforded, you know, by having your glazing directly at the perimeter of the unit.

We'll move forward one.
This is a view now -- we're moving down into the
Central Plaza and looking back. And this view starts to
show we feel the depth and character of the tower façades, which we've done a lot of work with the texture and the materiality of that façade to work from a distance. Also goes to create what we think is a multilayered experience for the pedestrian in the Central Plaza here.

So you see those two façade types. They've been updated a little bit to more clearly differentiate from each other, but still link together quite well. There's a feature soffit, which is really a fifth elevation, that has a 3D form to it. So you're seeing sort of those bent panels for that soffit.

If you think of the wire motif, this is really -sort of think about the ends of those wires. If you cut the ends of the wires and look up into that, you would see kind of that bunch, they're bunched together.

You're also seeing in this view the feature columns, which form part of the procession and promenade from Broadway into the Central Plaza space.

And then the north entry. And obviously, it's very important to us as we think about the massing of this building and the openness of the ground floor that there be this sort of through-view through the site.

You're seeing this both from the Broadway side and
from the Central Plaza side, because it was very important for the project team that this building not be able to barrier between Broadway and Central Plaza.

We in no way wanted Central Plaza to be perceived as being separate or private, but rather this being part of a procession of open spaces, where there never feels like there's a stop.

We move to the final view. The architectural section of the project, this is just moving back on Broadway looking back towards the main entry. You can kind of see here, as we're thinking about the open space and the photo of Lemon and Lemon Brooke, how the massing of the building and the tower starts to inform very prominent urban space, which is the Broadway Plaza.

So with that, I'll turn it over to Christian Lemon of Lemon Brooke. He's going to take you through a little bit more of the details and mechanics of the ground floor.

CHRISTIAN LEMON: Good evening, everyone.
[Phone ringing]
CHRISTIAN LEMON: All right. Okay. We'll run through the public realm landscape here. So we'll work on
the edges on Broadway and West Plaza first, and then work our way in. So on the Broadway side of things, we're working up against altered plans that include the cycle track and landscape along there.

Just indoor to that, we're proposing new street trees with planting underneath it, as well as short-term bike parking. The trees align with all the trees next to, like, 145 and so forth.

If you're walking up and down Broadway, we've allowed for $I$ think a generous public way, sidewalk. It's 12 feet until we get to a terrace area along there. So it's very visible, very easy for the public to walk up and down Broadway with no interruptions from the project.

So the other piece would be moving north-south. So Louis had mentioned a little bit of the connection to Danny Lewin Park. So there is a proposed midblock connection where the number 12 is.

And so moving north, this would be the public way. It would be the main public access to get to the new Central Plaza. And this is a similar width of 12 feet to get there. So those are the two main public ways to go along those edges there.

On the West Plaza Drive, we have a designated drop-off for the residential, see? So short distance to the front door, very clear. Just the north of that is proposed five new street trees there, just off of the natural edge and block a little bit of the view of the service into 145 there.

So at the corner, the junction of these two, is a proposed water feature. I've mentioned this in the past, it is -- it's a public piece. It has right now a shape and a language that's starting to speak of some of the elements in Central Plaza. There's other angular, erratic elements in the plaza, so we're seeing this as a cousin. So it is an intro element to the plaza.

We know this needs more development, but this -you know, is the best location. It's fully accessible on all four sides. You can not only walk past it, uninterrupted, you can also be on the east side, you know, looking at the sun or to the west as well.

So moving inboard that, you see the green arrow, the stairs and stuff? That is what we're calling a front porch. And that is roughly between two and two and a half feet above the sidewalk public area on Broadway, and it
decreases as you move north on West Plaza Drive walking past the building.

So quick summary, why is there a porch? When we as a team were studying the resilient part of this building and the flood requirements of the first floor, it needed to be potentially up to 23.5. We studied a bunch of quick studies of locating the ground floor at 23.5 and decreasing down and so forth to, like, 21.5. And we landed at 22.0 . And that is what meets grade on the north end, so that the north entry is at grade heading out to the plaza.

So that's what sets the elevation and creates this front porch. So how do we make the best use of this front porch?

What we've looked at is allowing seating along the edges, along the sidewalk there. We have some views to get into more detail, but there are nooks and plenty of places to sit.

And then there is a second level, almost kind of like stadium seating up on the porch. So there we're proposing, you know, movable tables and chairs and so forth that are very accessible to support the retail, and the residential and so forth.

So in a sense you have two layers there of places to sit and different ways to sit, and so forth.

So those are the main components. And then on the north end, we are looking at the north entry that you enter the plaza, as well as additional short-term bike parking along the north edge of the building.

So moving to the next slide, please?

We thought this was an interesting diagram just to map all the -- you know, everything I've talked about. In the blue is sort of talking about a lot of the circulation space that you can move through all these areas. And the pink-tan coloring is the pedestrian seating, or the cooling area.

So we see a variety of different spaces, you know, throughout, you know, these three edges of the project. For fixed seating, movable seating, and we like the flexibility. You know, there's days of the year you want more sun, less sun, shade, so forth like that. So you see, you know, this is a real added element to the public realm, all this diversity of places to be around the face of the building. Next slide, please?

Jumping into just briefly the materials, so there
is a public realm paving that is going to -- the tan paving that you saw on the plans that spreads throughout the MXD project through the Central Plaza and so forth. It ties this project all together. And we haven't quite aligned that. It will be a paver, a high -- you know, quality paver of some sort as we get closer to the building.

There might be some more angular, interesting paving that marks some of the front doors and things like that. We're looking at in the East Plaza Drives, we're either looking at Borden Place Concrete or Concrete Pavers in the flesh areas there. So these are some of the paving elements there.

So the water feature again, development needs to be -- more development is needed. But we are very cognizant of the idea of how a water feature works in this climate and what -- oh, there we go -- really functions year-round.

So one idea is the quality of materials it's made of and the shape, and so that it is something interesting with water, and it still has the successful visibility and function, you know, without water. So I think that comes to shape, texture, how water moves over it.

So there's lots of different ways to do that. So
we see some more passive and active areas, if this is a raised piece, so that there is sound. There's different ways that light catches the water and so forth. So all to be explored more, and obviously there's more comments from everybody on what that might be. So.

Next slide, please?
So we'll do a quick walk around the base of the building as a pedestrian. So here we're standing on Broadway looking west, so we're looking towards Akamai building \#145, so you're crossing the East Plaza Drive there. And sort of, you know, first taste of the building.

And to the right the base of the building is the retail. And it has an accessible, you know, entry, stairs, so forth -- very visible. There's no planting or, you know, feeding or anything blocking the view of the retail.

But to the right, these are the start of these nook seating we're talking about. So we've taken a little bit of the vocabulary, language of the building to create these seating elements.

And some of them do have these angled portion nooks, so they kind of allow people to sit, like, you know, in groups and have a little bit -- be able to face each
other and so forth.

And then we've allowed for some planting as well to soften that edge. You can see roughly the 12-foot-wide -- yeah, the sidewalk public area that connects up and down Broadway with street trees, planting, bike racks, and so forth.

Next slide, please?

So if we're walking a little further down on
Broadway, and we're starting to turn the corner looking north into the West Plaza Drive here, this would be kind of front and center.

Looking up to the retail, you can see the door kind of in the upper right there at the level there. So again, very visible. You know, a large, visible area of steps to get up there. More of this nook seating to kind of cool you off for people who are walking by and that, you know, can be engaged. And then the table and chair seating up at the porch level as well.

You start to see how you can, you know, turn this corner. You don't have to go around the water feature, you can cut through, you know, right next to the porch and up to the Central Plaza, the idea of the water feature anchoring
the corner.

We see more additional -- more additional table and chairs being moveable table and chairs -- you know, potentially colorful artful pieces being moved around the water feature because, you know, again, the flexibility in how you use that:

You know, there's more sun shade during the day different times of the year, but the idea is that there's a place to be around that element.

Next slide, please?

So here's a view, say, if you are coming across the -- you're coming from Danny Lewin, you're heading north across this new mid-block connection, and you're looking up into the Central Plaza. You see the car drop-off to the left there. You see the front entry that, you know, Louis spoke about there, so it's all very visible; the water feature in the corner, and the porch -- you know, the little porch there.

Next slide, please?
So here we are. If you're walking further up along the East-West Connector, you're just walking past the front door to the building. Again, more seating there.

Plenty of seating if you're waiting for Uber or, you know, a way to pick you up or what not. There's plenty of seating at the front door right next to the drop-off.

And as you move up on the left side, you're looking at even additional seating, maybe a little bit different material to start to signify what's going on in the plaza. I think there's more wood in the seating and so forth like that there. But that is a whole softened edge, you know, that provides a little bit of a screen and a break from the East Plaza Drive or the -- excuse me, the West Plaza Drive there.

And then you can see just the hint of the overhang there, which will lead to the next slide, please?

So here we are. We are underneath the overhang of the building on the West Plaza Drive. And so there's some of the scene. You're looking south back down towards Danny Lewin Park there. And so we still see these as lots of different places to sit -- urban moments. So you have seating on the right, and even a bench or an extension, sort of the base of the building in the stone.

So you can even imagine, you know, in the winter or something, if you do need to come out and make a phone
call or something, you're under cover. There's all these different places to be and sit and kind of occupy and activate these pedestrian circulation areas.

And so with that, I think that's the final slide. I will turn it over to Joel, who will talk about the EastWest Connector.

So also, as part of the -- akin to the residential landscape in the residential building is this East-West Connector. And so what we started to look at is how do we begin to bridge the gap between kind of what is being done with the Volpe site as it relates to the Sixth Street improvements for children's play, and how do we begin to design a space that's a transient landscape between -- that bridges the gap between the residential on the Volpe site, but begin sort of -- begin to look at programming opportunities as it relates to that.

And so one of the things we started to look at was based on our commitment with the Res E, as well as responding to the context of the Volpe site with children's play, is maybe perhaps the East-West Connector, you know, maybe taking on the form of children's programming as part of it.

So if you go to the next slide?

This begins to kind of show kind of that intent. You can kind of see in this linear form this idea of kind of a children's play structure at kind of the heart of it. The other part of this space in this East-West Connector, there's a lot of constraints within this area.

Obviously, one of the things that we wanted to look at was the preservation of some of the existing trees that were in there, and how do we begin to fold that into it?

And also, you know, the other part of it is, again, creating this type of porosity as it relates to walkways over the Volpe site, particularly as it relates to the children's play over there.

And then the other portion of it is how does this begin to link to kind of the Central Plaza and that type of space? We just thought maybe this might be kind of an interesting kind of integrated approach, particularly as it relates to kind of the early commitment, as it relates to the Res E.

Go to the next slide.

So this just shows kind of a general kind of
rendering of what that is. The motif of this we thought, which was kind of interesting, it kind of picked up on the watery motif of kind of the broad canal. So how children's play is expressed through the medium of water.

We worked with a -- kind of a play consultant on this, where they looked at different age brackets. It actually is kind of a gradient where smaller-age children kind of play at the lower tier of it, and it works its way up.

The other part of it that was also -- we've been starting to look at a little bit more is obviously some of the sight lines from the existing office building. We're starting to look at, you know, types of materials on the back side of that that basically provide a little bit of transparency, but it would block more of the direct visual sight lines from that office.

We've started to use in part of this design existing lighting, using some of the existing -- obviously we had trees and the preservation of trees -- using some of the conduit lines as it relates to the bollards and certainly communicates some of our white columns based on that. It really is part of this design.

It's really -- how do we begin to introduce children's play, and also at the same time preserving a lot of the existing trees as it relates to this fabric?

This is a view looking back towards kind of the

Central Plaza with the Sixth Street Connector towards your back.

Next image?

And this begins to just show, you know, obviously, as we look at this, you know, with the children's play area, introducing different types of seating as it relates to that.

And again, you know, this idea and this notion of kind of the movement of water being expressed in this kind of linear, you know, children's play element. And that's really kind of the essence of this design as it relates to the East-West Connector.

All right. So we thought we'd pause here for the overview. We tried to be as quick as possible. There's obviously a lot to talk about. We know we received a lot of great feedback, both from our previous design submission, and then also in the form of the Memo.

And so our thought was that we'd pause here, so
that the group could provide feedback on the presentation so far, in terms of Urban Design, Architectural Landscape Design.

And then going forward, if there's any further questions about specific, you know, technical elements, we have slides and content ready to discuss resiliency, bike parking, roofscape, lighting statistics, but really whatever the combined Board would like to speak to.

So we'd be happy to open it up to the group.
We've also -- we have a model we can cruise through whenever we're available. But why don't we open it up for feedback?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: So typically, what we would do on the Planning Board, Kathy, is have them show the model, and then take public comment, and then after the public comment open it up to feedback and discussion from the Board. Is that consistent with or would that work for the CRA this evening?

KATHLEEN BORN: That would work for the CRA. CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. So why don't TOM EVANS: Kathy? Okay. Catherine and -KATHLEEN BORN: Yeah.

TOM EVANS: -- Kathy?

KATHLEEN BORN: Tom?

TOM EVANS: I just want to note for the record that Margaret Drury joined the meeting at about 6:50; has been here for most of the presentation. Just so we have that in the meeting notes.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Tom. All right. Then could you go ahead and show us the model? And --

TOM EVANS: Sure.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: -- and if Board
Members from either the CRA or the Planning Board have questions or specific things about the model that they need to have particularly focused on, I would ask that you just speak up so that we can all make sure to get the most out of the model, the demonstration, that we can.

JAMES GRAY: This is James Gray from Stantec Architecture. Hold on a second. Can you hear us? Yep.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: We are getting quite the echo here.

TOM EVANS: Yeah. It was wonderful.
LOUIS KRAFT: James, I'd be happy to narrate.

JAMES GRAY: Can you hear us now?

TOM EVANS: There's still the same --
[Feed.back]

JAMES GRAY: Let me try something. Can you hear;
is that better?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yep. Yes.
JAMES GRAY: All right. Let me try something
here. Can you guys get anything yet?
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes, we can. Just leave it --

TOM EVANS: Yes, we can.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: -- it is in the model.

JAMES GRAY: Can you hear us?
TOM EVANS: That's great. Oh!
LOUIS KRAFT: This is what happens when you take two firm Principals, and you try -- and principles and you try and promote them to videographers. A little tech to work out here. This is great.

JAMES GRAY: Can hear me?

LOUIS KRAFT: Yeah. So we can hear you, Brett, and James. And if you could just get the camera stable, and

```
then start us in a little rotate -- here we go. It would be
great if we could pan down just --
            KATHLEEN BORN: Yeah.
            LOUIS KRAFT: -- a tad. So panned down would be
great. Although your rotation speed is excellent. All
right. Let's -- could you just go a little bit slower
there, as we move around the site? Thank you.
            [Pause]
            Good.
            [Pause]
            JAMES GRAY: I'm not sure if they're seeing it.
            LOUIS KRAFT: And we can see this now. Now we're
--
            [Feedback]
                            JAMES GRAY: Oh, we can hear you now. So I'm not
sure if I'm able to narrate over this. Can the rest of the
group hear me here? Yes? We're --
    CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes, we can.
    JAMES GRAY: Yes. We're great. Okay. So by all
means, if any of the Members of the Board want to speak up
--
    [Feedback]
```

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. I have not heard any Board Members signify that they would like a different angle or an angle we have not yet seen. So I'm going to have a last call for comments to that effect before we let our beleaguered videographers go. Okay.

Thank you very much for sharing the model. As much as it is thumbs up -- as tough as it is to show them in these remote environments, it is still nonetheless very helpful to have even that shared.

All right. Then, as $I$ noted, it is our custom on projects that have Design Review in the MXD District for the Planning Board to take public comment on those projects. So we're going to move to that at this time.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Raise hand." And if you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9.

As of 5:00 p.m. yesterday, the Planning Board had received no written comments on this case. Additional written communications received after 5:00 p.m. yesterday will be entered into the record.

Did the CRA receive any written comments on this case?

TOM EVANS: Yes. We received one, an e-mail
regarding the building lighting that was I thought forwarded to the Planning Board. So we can make sure that that gets over to you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you very much. That would be helpful. Okay.

HUGH RUSSELL: We did get that, Tom.

TOM EVANS: Great. Thanks.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Good. All right, then. So I am now going to turn to Staff and ask that they unmute speakers from the public one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. And after that we'll have -you'll have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Catherine. Daniel Messplay here. I'll be managing public comment. I don't see too many hands raised at the moment, so I'll just take an opportunity to remind folks to please use the "Raise Hand" function. We'll only go through the list once here."

So it looks like the first speaker is a phone number ending in 8311, followed by O.R. Simha. So phone
number ending in 8311, please unmute yourself and begin by giving your name and address.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello. Heather Hoffman, 213 Hurley Street. Since I saw something that struck me as really well done, $I$ want to lead off with that. And that is the way you've arranged the benches. By and large, at least as far as I can tell from these drawings, those benches look as though I could sit on them. And I want to thank you for that.

It wasn't totally clear to me which things were supposed to be open to the public, and which were supposed to feel proprietary, but those benches look public.

And I wish that the planters near them had more color to them. There are enough boring corporate gardens in Kendall Square to choke several horses. You can do better. And I will say that Boston Properties only does well at things like that when forced to.

So please, you have my sincere prayer that you will do some forcing.

The play structure -- I couldn't tell if that was supposed to be open to the public. If I were a little kid I wouldn't care, but parents might. And although it looks
interesting and inviting, it also looks as though it was surrounded with concrete, which is not the greatest thing to fall on and -- you know, if you're a kid part of growing up is falling a lot. I can show you my knees.

I was also -- when you said the word, "light" very early on, that always gets me going. I do not want this to be some lighted beacon. I don't want this to have lights playing on it. I would like this to kind of disappear after dark.

And with respect to that, as many times as I have said this in so many, many, many venues, there are still never any views from East Cambridge. Amazingly enough, people live here. And at this point, I have got to believe that the people advising you don't tell you that. And don't tell you that someone will show up and ask for views from East Cambridge, every single time.

So please, views from East Cambridge, especially something this tall: East Cambridge is higher than where you are. It is up the hill. So please. Thank you very much.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you.
DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you. The next speaker is
O.R. Simha. Please begin by unmuting yourself and giving your name and address.

ROBERT SIMHA: Hi. This is Robert Simha, 303 Third Street, just an immediate neighbor. I'd like to reinforce two points which have already been made. My neighbor, Bjorn, has sent a letter to the CRA also reflecting on the negative aspects of building lighting that will spill over into the adjacent neighborhoods. And I think you need to be very careful about that.

I think we all share Heather's view that lighting, which does not respect nighttime impact on the adjacent neighborhoods, is something you need to take quite seriously, and we hope you will

The other questions which we raised in reviewing this is why there are only 32 balconies in a project which will have relatively little private open space? And we hope perhaps you'll reconsider that and try to add those to the building elements. They're over approximately 400 units in this building, and though we hope that you'll recognize that many people will -- would like to have some private space.

With respect to the landscape project or the landscape aspects of the building, I would like to comment
on the benches. While I think there is considerable improvement, I think the particular design, which you have suggested you might consider, is something I think you need to think about a lot harder. I know that it's quite fashionable now for landscape architects to produce these blocky, heavy benches, and also benches without backs.

So two things: Please do not provide benches that do not have backs. And secondly, we would be grateful if you would design or purchase benches that conformed to one's body rather than simply architectural devices. I think they're -- you know, you only need to look at places like Paris and New York to recognize that some of the classical benches, or at least their forms, have always given much better service than no benches, no-back benches, and architecturally designed ones.

So we hope that you'll reconsider that.
With respect to the Connector, I think you really need to think hard about that. If the -- you have not shown how the Biogen tanks will be serviced, what vehicular access will be required. I think you need to think about that, particularly if you are going to encourage children and pedestrians to be there.

And finally, please recognize that that will be all windy place, and not the charming, quiet place that you suggest. Thank you.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you.

Chair Connolly, that concludes the speakers on the list.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you very much. Then we will move from public comment to Board discussion. We received a memo on this application from the Staff at Community Development Department. There's Staff present.

I also note that Lou Bacci and Hugh Russell were the Planning Board's representatives to those earlier design consultations mentioned. So I want to give them an opportunity to comment on the evolution of the design so far, as well as note any additional questions folks might have.

So Lou or Hugh, do you want to -- Hugh, why don't you kick us off?

HUGH RUSSELL: Yes, I did participate with Lou in the Design Review and the CRA Design Review Members of Barry and Catherine, and -- Kathleen, I mean. So I think this is
a very distinguished proposal, very intricately designed, around tremendous constraints. It's going to be very, very nice.

And so if that means I've been co-opted by the process, so be it. But this is a level of design that we rarely see, particularly at this schematic design level.

Now, I've got a list of comments that are -actually go right off of the CDD Memo, sort of additional comments. They aren't all things that you've been talking about for the last half hour, but I guess this is my chance to voice them. So I'm going to do it.

So I have a couple of questions about access. Basically, how do you get into the lobby? And one is, usually high-rise buildings have revolving doors on them because of the elevator shafts can't be very well sealed, and so there's a stack effect that you try to use the revolving door so that the rain doesn't try to rush in the building and up the elevators. Now, maybe technology has changed. So that's one question.

The other question is you're -- you've got an 18-inch-high barrier that's going to come up and block the doors during the highest -- 100-year-flood. So how does an
emergency responder get into the building? Yes, he's got to come from a boat, I understand that, but it seems to me there needs to be some plan to get in and out of the building while the floodwaters are very high.

And this, of course, will not be -- you know, but these are events that are measured at least in hours. And so that's sort of a question.

The CDD Memo was kind of critical of some of the massing rules and the exact location of the building and all the rest. And frankly, I'm very happy with the way it has been proposed. It's -- yes, it's not exactly up to the street line on Broadway. It undulates in and out. But I think for a very large building, that's actually an asset.

And so I don't think a huge building should crowd the sidewalk.

I would like to see more planting, or maybe better planting, in the planting areas along Broadway. And I was down there yesterday on my bike, and the two white, flowering trees now in the park that's there that are really quite spectacular -- now I'm thinking -- would there be an opportunity to maybe make the street trees there those same white flowering trees to remind us of when it was a
beautiful garden there for many years? And so that's just a suggestion.

I think there's a criticism that the building doesn't look terribly residential from the pedestrian view on Broadway. It was suggested to try to accommodate some balconies. Because they send a message.

And I -- my thinking is sort of two ways. One is yes, that's absolutely true, but b) would I actually want to sit out on a balcony at the fourth-floor level looking out at Broadway at points. Yes, it would get sun if $I$ was a gardener, and I am. I could put out window boxes if they let me. You know, I could grow my own flowers on my balcony.

So I'd like you to look at possibly putting a few balconies on the podium of the building to send that signal. I think maybe not very deep balconies, but maybe fairly wide. Maybe a large one in the middle that -- sort of that unit, and then another one on the -- sort of the diagonal end that faces southeast, where there's a living room.

I think of the suggestion in item \#19 of the CDD Memo of aligning retail doors to the stair that leads up to the podium. It seems to make a lot of sense to me. I think
if I was a business owner there, I think I'd like to see that. That's, of course, when you come from the west. When you come from the east, it's a different kind of a situation.

There's some criticism of the units, particularly the corner units and the amount of circulation in them. And Cathy and I both had differing views about those entities, probably because we worked for different clients on different buildings in our careers.

And -- I think the thing about a corner two -corner unit is first, you try to make those larger units, try to make them two-bedroom units, or three-bedroom units, you try to put the living room on the outside corner of the building, because then it gets two views, different directions.

And the door is always on the inside corner, directly diagonally opposite, as far from the living room as you can get. And that's because of the internal circulation of the building.

And that's just -- so you're going to have these spaces. And I'm wondering is there a place to put, you know, a little -- a bookcase, a little table, a place with a
mirror, a place where you might put your keys on the way in and out. Maybe there's a place you could put a very shallow desk that someone might work at.

I think -- so in some ways, I think maybe to steal a little more space for some of those diagonal entry corridors, or diagonal movement in the jig-jagged fashion.

All right. Exterior lighting, I agree with the speakers that yeah, it would be very exciting to do what you propose, but we don't want exciting. It's just about that simple.

A water feature, I've got to be convinced. Water features tend to be relatively flat. Yes, I know they're fountains and in rooms and other places that are very tall and very elaborate and very much larger.

I'm just, you know, I don't know what you can do. You're going to do something; I think it's got to have some verticality to it. And, you know, it's not very big. And the Staff criticized the sidewalk -- taking the building sidewalk paving and extending it out onto the public ground on Broadway. And here I'm going to differ with the Staff. Because I think I like the way it works.

And I think, you know, if you're the tallest,
fanciest, and most spectacular building in the entire city of Cambridge, you know, maybe it's okay to break that rule if you've got to have an ugly concrete sidewalk in front. So, you know, these are not huge issues.

Obviously, I would vote to approve this submission because I think it really is very good.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you, Hugh. Appreciate you participating in the early discussions of this. Let me turn to Lou, who also had a chance to do that and get feedback from him.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Good evening. A few things.
The water feature, I guess. I like the idea of water
features. Every time I look at this, and depending on which rendering it is, it's a water feature stuck in the middle of a small desert. Because there's so much hardscape around it, $I$ don't know how useful it is.

Personally, I would like to see if they couldn't make a planter of this, and you can even add a water feature of some sort if you could get tricky enough. But this water feature in the off-season is going to turn into a skateboard park. And I think that's a little bit of a nuisance problem for the building.

But what I'd like to see is there be raised planter there with maybe a couple of ornamental trees, and some more benches as a waiting area for the drop-off and pickup area. It's a fairly open spot, the only place that there's going to be a lot of sun.

It seems like it would be a better use. Not that I'm against water features, but I don't think we're getting a lot from for this water feature.

The second thing, I would like to know if there's in existing conditions either a photo or a drawing of the East-West Connector. I'm concerned that this is a very small play area for the amount (sic) of children that will be living in this building, especially with the two walkways and the row of trees in the center. I made a mistake and didn't walk by there today before the meeting, but didn't have enough time.

It seems like we need to have a single walkway if possible, and a larger play area, a little more green. This place, I believe, will be in the shade most of the day, if not all day. So we need to do something to tune this up and provide for a larger play area, I believe.

It just -- it seems too small. It's very divided.

It's going to get a lot of traffic through it, and we really don't need any -- if it's going to be a play area, let's make it a play area.

I mean, I don't know what's necessary for the connection to the other buildings through here, but if we're going to use that area, let's dedicate some more space to that area for a play area.

The plaza has turned into a flat, basically concrete slab, so we have no more -- there's really not a good play area there. And I think that needs to be looked at and increased.

And I didn't see anything on the bike parking. I know the group was trying to work on including some bike parking into the residential building, and I haven't seen anything on our drawings. I would like to know where we are on that.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Lou. I note that the proponent had listed the bike valet operations in the list of additional things they had technical information on.

What I might suggest is that we continue with getting questions and comments from the Board, and then give
the applicant a chance to address both the bike parking and as many of the Board comment and questions as you can all at once? Is that -- yep?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Sounds great.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Then we will move right along to Ted. I see you have your hand up next.

H THEODORE COHEN: Sorry, I can't --
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: We could hear you.
We could.

H THEODORE COHEN: Okay. Well, I don't really have questions, but I've got a lot of comments I'd like to make. Is this the time?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yep. That's -- go ahead.

H THEODORE COHEN: Okay. Let me start out by saying I like the building an awful lot. I like its massing. I like an awful lot about it. But there are some things I don't like. And let me just tell you what they are.

Obviously, I haven't seen the materials, but the building in the renderings looks awfully beige. I mean,
obviously that's something that you're going to be working with the staffs on, and you're going to do mockups, but right now it looks -- you know, I don't know how the bronze is going to look. But it looks very, very beige to me.

Starting at the bottom, and maybe can we see the rendering of the entryway? While they're getting that up -LOUIS KRAFT: To share, that would be page -let's try 17.

H THEODORE COHEN: While you're getting it up, I'll say from what $I$ saw up until today, you've improved it, but that's going to be the residential entrance for 400 and some units, and it is incredibly undistinguished. I mean, it looks like just an afterthought that had a tiny little canopy. That's not the image I'm looking for.

Yeah, that's it. Fine.
So you've improved it with your bigger canopy and your vertical pier, I guess. It's still pretty small. Can't you enlarge it? I mean, there are going to be a lot of people coming and going.

And I think you really need to acknowledge that an awful lot of people are going to use the other doorway into the Central Plaza, which now is just a blank door. I think
that really needs to have some improvement to make it clear that that is an entryway into the building.

I mean, the people who live there ought to have something that's, you know, it's a great building. It ought to have something that says, "You're entering into a beautiful space." It does drive me a little -- my eyes are twitching at the fact that the canopy over the residence there is at a different level from the canopy to the right at the bottom of the podium.

I don't know why they can't both be at the same level. You're going to see them from this corner simultaneously, and they're just at different levels.

While I'm also speaking about the entry on the residence and the retail area, when I see it lit up, it sort of makes me feel like the building is tottering -- this great big structure is tottering on this little glass base.

And I wonder if whether some more, you know, I don't know columns or whatever, but the bottom would make it feel more solid.

I guess the biggest concern I have is I really do not care for your penthouse crown. And if we could see some of the images of that, I've read your material and I
understand what you're trying to do, I think. But certainly, the -- you know, I kind of like I'm fine with the image I think looking from the west.

Can we go up to the crown?

Yeah. All right. So that's the image everyone is going to see coming up from the river, and that's the image that people are going to see in Boston across the river, which it would have been nice to have some renderings of what you would see from a great distance. You know? I agree with some of the commentators that we get to see buildings very up close, but we don't get from a distance.

I don't know. It looks like a pack of cigarettes with some cigarettes sticking out at the top. You know? You're both changing the materials and you're putting them at different angles from your main building. Maybe I'm a minority of one, but it's really driving my eyes crazy. It just does not look like a finished top.

Can you go around to other views of the Crown?
I mean, maybe it's a material thing. Okay. You know, the image on the left I'm fine with. You know, the materials seem to go straight up, they seem to all blend together. The columns all continue, looks fine. The right-
hand side $I$ don't make sense of it, and I particularly don't make sense of the one panel on the left that just looks like a total mistake to me. Why is that one panel there? And I understand it's wrapping around from the other side but, you know, unless you're going to band the whole thing, why is that one panel there?

And I just -- it's me, maybe others love it, but I just do not like how the top of the residential building ends, and then the penthouse, or Crown as you have it -- and I know usually for the equipment they have to be different materials, they frequently don't match the rest of the building, but this one just does nothing for me and, you know, really just detracts from the entire building, from my point of view.

I'm curious when you talk about the windows were operable, are they going to go up and down, or are they going to swing out? A minor question, just curious about that.

Anyway, those are my comments. You know, in general, I think the building's great. But, except for the things I'm mentioned.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. Thank you,

Ted.

Margaret, you got your hand up next, and I'd love to hear from a CRA Member.

MARGARET DRURY: All right. Let's --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Margaret, you're on mute.

MARGARET DRURY: Mm-hm. Yeah. Can you hear me?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Now we can.
MARGARET DRURY: Okay. Good. Good. I was really surprised at how taken I was with the materials and the use, trying to use the history as a part of the materials that are going into that -- more so than I thought I would be.

I thought I was going to look at one more, one more large, tall building that looks pretty much the same as a lot of them.

I mean, $I$ know we've done lots of good stuff, and -- but I just thought that this was so much more -- shall I say the depth of the distinctions that are being made in the fabric of the building is --

And I like the -- I really -- I like all of the top going, all of the top all the way up except $I$ don't know whether after listening to Ted I'm sort of a little thinking
that maybe, maybe that's a little much to have as a topping for a building.

Is it going to look like, on the end are we going to think of it the way we think of the crown, the Mayor's crown in Boston?

So I'm -- so not that. But other things are. The -- I agree with everyone all who's talked about there should be more trees and more balconies.

And then I have a question. Or could I just see maybe the water feature, that blue water feature? Our children's -- rather children's feature. Because I didn't see much of a way for children to be on the feature. So could we do that just one more time?

LOUIS KRAFT: That will be page 33.

MARGARET DRURY: Sure.
LOUIS KRAFT: Thank you.

MARGARET DRURY: No, I'm thinking of the big -LOUIS KRAFT: Oh.

MARGARET DRURY: -- blue roller coaster looking thing.

LOUIS KRAFT: Advance two more slides?

MARGARET DRURY: Yes. That. This is for children
to climb on and stuff. I think I can agree with Heather on that. That's a little scary, although obviously the materials underneath it can be -- moderate the falls.

Let's see. Anything else? Oh, right. And I agree with Lou that a larger play area would be a really good addition or change.

And Hugh said something that I liked, but I can't remember it now, so that's all. Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Margaret. Kathy, I am showing Conrad as the next Board Member.

KATHLEEN BORN: Go ahead.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Oh, okay. Conrad, do you want to share your thoughts with us?

CONRAD CRAWFORD: Sure. So first, I think broadly, I like the building, and I like, the sort of view looking from the south. It gets a very sort of statuesque presentation, and it also sort of speaks to I guess the ideal nighttime lighting regimen that would be sort of sensitive to what's going on in neighboring residential structures and the neighboring community as well.

So speaking to both the public letter that we received from 303 Third, and also a couple comments that
were made before that. It's just -- you know, nice to have renderings.

But, you know, I agree that that needs to be kind of unpacked a little bit more to make sure that it has a sense of context as to urban livability and nighttime even habitat respecting the sort of, you know, local ecology, whatever remains I've mentioned a few times prior.

I'd also like to say that, you know, as much as I love the renderings featuring so much mature canopy, very optimistic and forward-looking, and I -- you know, hope that the care and warranties for the trees that are planted result in such flourishing greenscapes as it were.

But, you know, I think that we should continue to be intentional when it comes to thinking about was is being replaced and lost. Because it's nice -- and I grant that the move for development will necessitate restructuring of the landscaping, a euphemism for removing a lot of beautiful, nice big trees. But let's be ambitious moving forward. So thank you for that.

The open spaces and plazas, you know, they look nice in plan. I think I'm not sure some of the renderings really capture the volume of the spaces.

I hope, you know, some of those qualitative elements in terms of the experience and -- again, getting back to the livability and sort of feeling comfortable in those spaces, but not either too tucked away or lost in the surrounding structures. I think you're doing what you can. It sounds like you've spoken to that rhetorically.

But, you know, I think the water feature could do a lot more work maybe operationally in terms of mitigating heat and other uncomfortable sort of environmental conditions. And I don't know what can be done in terms of scaling that, whether it's -- you know, cute things or just making it a little bit more dynamic, but not big. So that's just a thought of mine.

And I agree with -- again, getting to size of things. You know, this is a big, impressive building. I'm very excited about the opportunities for the residents to have views in the 90 corner units, and everything that was sort of mentioned.

But, you know, the lobby entrance does -- I agree -- have rather diminutive sort of physical characteristics considering that this is meant to be a pretty big building full of 400 units. So $I$ would just like to cosign that
comment.

And a question you could speak to another time. But, you know, the seating sort of scattered around the site, was that strategy influenced at all by our experience during COVID and changes to the urban protocols or etiquette?

KATHLEEN BORN: Hm.

CONRAD CRAWFORD: Or whatever people call them. You know, people that really like to sit on top of each other have experienced moving forward. And I don't know if that was part of your thinking. Curious.

And then sort of lastly in terms of circulation through the site -- and I'll leave my comments about the -I like that climbing structure, but, you know, circulation from the plazas, which are great, even if I didn't get a sense of them from the renderings. I believe that they're moving in the right direction.

But over to the Volpe site, sort of how does circulation work inside the buildings? And not just outside the buildings.

And what do you envision as options for people sort of going through the retail space or through the
lobbies? Is there any thought given to, you know, the fact that people might sort of go in one door, you know, for two to three minutes and then come out the other door?

And then how does that all work from lobby to plaza to sidewalk? I think that that's a pretty important experiential understanding when you're moving through the urban environment. So if there's a way to think more about that, or just to explain that, I think it would be helpful. So thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Conrad. Mary? You want to chime in next?

MARY FLYNN: Sure. Yes. I'll be brief. Because most of my points are ones that have been already made. I think the first thing that really struck me was that the building overall is just very impressive.

I think, you know, both having looked at the plans and then hearing the description tonight, it's really clear that a lot of thought and, you know, careful detailed maneuvering of that design has occurred.

And there are so many constraints. So I think to come up with a good building that is this architecturally significant is very impressive. So I congratulate everybody
involved so far.

That being said, like others there are, you know, things that are a problem. And I think the first one that $I$ did notice was the entryway into the residential area. To me, it -- I agree with everyone else. It seems sort of lost, it's too small. You don't get the sense that you're going into a residential building, it feels just like you're going into a corporate lobby.

So I think that whole façade needs to be looked at the with an eye towards making it maybe somehow a little softer or more inviting. You know, part of the problem may be that from the renderings you can't really see the interior that well. And I know, you know, obviously, this is early on in the process.

But I think whatever happens inside that can be seen is also going to affect the residential quality of it. So in terms of materials there, you know, something that really does look more residential than a typical corporate lobby or a hotel lobby would be nice.

So, again, that -- you know, just going to things like fabrics and materials $I$ think will play a big things. But -- and maybe getting more color up near the front too.

I mean, I agree with other people's comments on the landscaping, that it would be nice to see more.

But I also think that color is really important. And particularly along that edge, I think it might help to signify that this is where the residential entrance is, as opposed to everything else -- the retail or, you know, just the other office buildings nearby.

I agree with the community members who have said they're concerned about the lighting and don't want to see dramatic lighting at night. I know the renderings look fantastic showing it.

I mean, $I$ think it would -- you know, if this building were in New York City surrounded by lots of other buildings that were lit up all night, and, you know, it wouldn't stand out, it would be beautiful. But here, I think it's going to just cause a lot of problems for the adjacent neighborhood.

So, you know, the sun lighting might be appropriate, but $I$ think it has to be studied very, very carefully and the hours have to be very, very limited.

The water element, yeah, I -- I have concerns about, you know, maintenance in the winter with that too.

So as the presenter said, a lot more study is needed there.

I almost would rather see, you know, kind of like a little splash pad or something back in the kids play area, rather than a water feature out front. You know, something that's actually useable and put more greenery out front.

Benches with backs is critical to me. There's no point in having a bench that doesn't have a back. And if you could do more balconies. I think that would be terrific.

I mean, one of the nice things about this building is it is going to have amazing views. Now, I wouldn't want to be on the thirty-seventh floor and out on the balcony, but there may be some people who want to.

So, you know, I don't think you need a balcony in every single unit, but $I$ think a few more. And on other façades, not just on the ends, would be helpful.

So that's it for me. Think.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you, Mary. Ashley?

ASHLEY TAN: Thank you. Also agree the building overall looks great. I think the massing is fine. My only two comments, one would be great since we're going to be
losing this, you know, green area that connects to the Connector really, I think it will be interesting to see if there's any way to add either trees or some sort of greenery to connect at least on the east side, so I think the East Plaza to the Connector. I know the sidewalk is pretty narrow, so not sure if that's possible.

Many other comments, more to others: You know, I appreciate that there's a lot of open space currently in front of, in front of Broadway, but it is a lot of hardscape. There is a lot of concrete.

It seems very office plaza-like from a different century, and is there any way to increase the greenery or the -- at least the height? And I know there's, like, flat planters right now, but any way to increase, like, a variation of greenery would be appreciated. That's all, thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thanks, Ashley. Steve?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Thanks. I guess my big picture response, this is a great design. It's a great building. I mean, not only does the general design -- there was a lot of detail in the drawings that we get to -- got to see here,
and frequently we don't see that level of detail in the application. So big picture, I love the design.

So I only have two comments. My first comment is you had a play consultant? Whoever heard of a play consultant? I didn't know there was such an animal. So I mean I guess it's another expression of the level of detail and caring that you guys have brought to this design. I'm going to look it up, play consultant. That's my first major comment.

But my other major comment -- and more serious comment, you've already heard alibi about it, but that is the entrance to the building. And yeah, you know, maybe call me an old guy from another era where traditionally, you know, the entrance of the building is important, and frequently incorporates -- you know, in great detail and so forth.

And I'm not suggesting that you have to do a design from 100 years ago, but I feel that what you have is, you know, the other extreme. It's really minimalist.

And I understand in general the sort of minimalist perspective in design in many respects. But $I$ simply think that the entrance should be more, I have thoughts of what it
might be.
Others may have other thoughts of what it might be. It's your property, and it's your design. There are so many ways that you could make it more. I simply think that it should be more. And when you make it more, I believe it will make it better.

Small comment, the building is great.
Congratulations to the designers and the developers.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you, Steve. And Alan?

ALAN PRICE: Thank you, Madam Chair. I believe almost all my comments and suggestions have already been covered. So I'll just add this provocative idea: Water feature that converts to public skating rink in the winter. Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Thank you, Alan.

Kathy, do you want to make any comments or raise questions at this time, before $I$ turn it over to the applicant?

KATHLEEN BORN: I'd like to make a few comments.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yeah, please.

KATHLEEN BORN: First, I want to see if my colleague, Barry, has anything.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Oh, I'm sorry. Barry?

BARRY ZEVIN: I'm here.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Would you like to make any comments or raise any questions?

BARRY ZEVIN: I can give you the really short version or the really long version. I agree with Hugh that this has reached a rarely achieved level of design and it's really, really good.

And that terrifies me, because I think that when something gets to a point that's this consistently well thought-out that tweaking it and messing with it is only going to send it downhill.

And so I would be very happy to leave this thing completely as is, as presented, and get it built. So that's the short version.

Lots of little issues have been raised. I don't understand the quest for a grander entrance. I'm not sure what that means. You want a 12-foot-high door? You have a 30-foot-high lobby that the door sits in. I don't
understand the notion or the epithet "corporate."
The lobby is big and glassy and tall so that it can be transparent, and you can see through it to the plaza beyond. It makes perfect sense. If it happens to look like some corporate office building, well too bad. It's doing what it needs to do. So that's a tiny, tiny issue that's just been brought up.

But the difference in the heights of the firstfloor glazing at the lobby on the lower height around the corner on Broadway seem to me like a really lovely complexity introduced there. I would not -- would not agree that the fact that they're different is bad. Difference is good.

The plaza out front seems perfectly fine to me. There are lots of comments in the CDD Memo about rotating pieces of the building, et cetera, et cetera, which $I$ would hope we just don't agree with and just don't do. If you need anything -- if you need a detailed explanation of why, I'd be happy to provide it, but I'd be just a lot happier to just shut up and let this thing get done.

So I think it's a really, wonderfully good piece of work.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.

KATHLEEN BORN: Okay, well I -- if there's no one else who has any comments, I'll just wrap up by first of all, I want to express my thanks to Hugh Russell and Lou Bacci in particular, because he was a new member of our Design Review committee and to my colleague, Barry Zevin.

I think that our Design Review Committee meetings, of which I think there were five, I may have my number off -- were productive. The design moved along. And of course, we had wonderful staff from both the CRA and the Community Development Department providing support.

And, you know, I remember it was -- oh, gosh, about eight or nine years ago when we first were doing the zoning for the first MXD District, and the idea was floated of a joint Design Review Committee of the Planning Board and CRA and joint meetings, such as we're having tonight. And there was a lot of head-scratching that had this to work, because it had never happened before.

And I think it has been a very successful project, or been very successful review of a very successful project in which we've had tremendous -- a tremendous -- the Boston Properties Staff has been tremendous, and the Design Staff,
the architects from Stantec, and Christian Lemon's office, and next week we'll be hearing from Pickard Chilton. And I'm leaving out Sasaki and, you know, some of the other consultants.

It's been a great process. I've been around the ins and outs of permitting buildings in the city for around 30 years now. And this is the best. This was -- we've got a good result here and a cooperative effort. So first of all, acknowledge that. And just make a couple of things.

Everybody's talked about the door and how big the door should be. And I do agree that there should be a little bit more of an -- the entrance should have a little bit more presence on the plaza.

But the front door -- and I hesitate, because I come to these meetings, and I always end up talking about my childhood and my visits to New York City, but, you know, the -- if you look at the entrance to the Trump Tower residential building on -- you know, on Fifth Avenue, it's a big, brassy entrance. You walk up the street on Fifth Avenue, and you see very, very, low-key entrances. And those apartments in those buildings are far, far more sought after and far, far more valuable than the ones behind the
big entrance on Trump Tower.
So sometimes it's okay with a residential building not to, you know, glare the entrance. It's not a commercial building. We don't need to say that Google lives here. It, you know, a little restraint is okay.

The playground has me scratching my head, but I love the fact that there is a playground consultant. You know, I'm of two minds about a linear playground.

Oftentimes -- and I say this as a mother of four grown children and I have 12 grandchildren that I go to the playground with in various places -- and, you know, sometimes you like the notion of a gated playground because you don't want the kids running around, mixing with the -- I can see bicyclists speeding out.

And I'm not maligning bicyclists -- bicyclists or runners or whatever, you, know running along the path there and colliding with little kids who are on their tricycles.

On the other hand, as a parent who sat on many playground benches, there's nothing lonelier than sitting in a playground alone with a kid. And it's kind of nice to be sitting on a bench where other people are walking by.

And I have trust that Christian and his playground
consultants will, you know, kind of reach exactly the right balance there. But $I$ think it's a little challenging.

And the last thing I want to say is that I've -the lighting looks to me, and I don't understand the details, as if it is subtle. And I am sitting here in my living room on Avon Hill looking out my back window at a very dramatically lit tower on top of Lesley University, which used to be the Sears Building. And there's a flagpole flying with the American flag and the Puerto Rican -- the Lesley flag underneath it.

And I don't mind seeing it out my window. And it does throw light into the rooms in the back of my house. And I don't consider it an imposition. I think it's just a feature of the landscape. It's different. It's not glaring, glaring light in my windows, but it's a little welcome presence on the skyline for me.

So congratulations to the Design Staff, and to Boston Properties for hiring such a talented group of designers. And great thanks to our Staff, to the CRA, and the Staff at the CDD. I am very proud to support this project.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thanks for that,

Kathy. I do want to turn it back to the Design team. I know we heard questions raised about the bike parking that we wanted more detail on, and I think you said there's additional detail on lighting as well.

And I'm seeing Swaathi signal me, which means I'm forgetting something.

Swaathi, what am I forgetting?

SWAATHI JOSEPH: Yeah, I just -- you're not forgetting anything, Catherine. This is CDD. I just wanted to point out that the applicant does have permission to share screen, so it might be easier for them to do that as they are responding to the comments.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great. Thank you for that, Swaathi.

So as I noted, I know those are two areas of additional technical detail you had prepared some thoughts on. Obviously, the various Board Members have raised lots of additional comments, and to the extent you can share your thinking on those, and that would be great.

LOUIS KRAFT: Sure. Swaathi, if you have the PDF up, we're more than happy to share that again, share our screen.

But I'm also going to invite Tim Reagan from our team to speak up this week as we go through some of these items, in case the questions get a little detailed.

Swaathi, do you have that presentation? It's just
in the supplemental section. And if we just advance two slides forward, just to cover the resiliency questions quickly, which $I$ know you brought up.

So as mentioned by Christian, the floor is set at 22. However, we have a flood barrier at 23.6 , which rings the site.

And a 23 barrier, while the project is only required to meet the current flood elevations, we understand there are some new regulations and guidelines coming out. And at 23.6, this would meet the 100-year-flood level, you know, the soon-to-be released regulations.

So what you're seeing here puts that barrier around the edge of the building. Also, the critical infrastructure that is on the ground floor has also been raised to 23.6. That's on the right hand of the slide.

It's also worth noting that most of the critical infrastructure is actually raised above the first floor and it's located in the second-story vault, which has, you know,
been disguised by some of the façade language that you can see.

You know, we haven't gotten into any of the technical detail around the flood barriers that go in front of the door, Hugh, but their -- you know, the way that they're drawn shows them directly in front of the door, but there are ways based on the different types of barriers that we would use to allow access around those doors.

And we do recognize, obviously, that this would be quite a serious event for which this would happen, and would not be a regular occurrence.

And if we move two slides forward, so the resiliency grade -- I think we can say this if there's any additional questions -- I do want to make sure that we have some time to hit everyone's comments. So we're just going to move through these, and if any of the Board Members will ask us to back up, that's fine.

Moving the bike slide, next slide?
Tim, if you want to just kind of describe the bike ballet and then we can go to the below grade options for 135?

TIM REAGAN: Sure. Yeah, so residents in 135

Broadway have a couple options for where to keep their bikes.

The bike valet that's in the commercial building here, across the plaza, they can choose to use that, and that's a full-service bike valet that has a bike shop in it. They'll go to the sequence of this if they're coming home, they'll drop it off at the bike valet, take it from them, and they'll park the bike for them.

This bike valet option is also open to the community and residents, or people that work in the commercial buildings.

And then, of course, they'll walk across the plaza and into the lobby. Their other option is to park it inside the building. So that's in the bottom right corner there. Hard to see, but that's a dedicated bike entrance into the building that accesses the service elevator, which avoids them bringing bikes through the lobby and getting any dirt or water into the lobby. And so that will bring you down into the basement.

If you go to the next slide?

Oh, yes. This is a zoom in on the bike valet and the pickup process. We can go through that if it's new to
people.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: It's probably worth just briefly recapping how this will work.

TIM REAGAN: Okay.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: I know it was --
TIM REAGAN: Yeah, so --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: -- a topic of great discussion during the permitting phase.

TIM REAGAN: Sure, sure. Yeah. So they -- if they're picking up the bicycle, they'll stop off at the valet. Someone will be working there, and they can let them know via text or showing up without notice, and the bike valet individual will go and grab their bike for them and have it ready for them ahead of time if they texted, or let them know. And they also will provide service of maintenance on the bikes so they can check the tires, chain, and brakes.

So they'll have a badge to confirm that they are indeed the owners of the bike to make sure that no one is coming and picking up someone else's bike. And then this system -- yeah. So each bike corresponds with the bike parking space.

So that sort of explains how, like, the valet filled with a bunch of bikes is able to manage the system. And then the bike, and -- yeah, the last note just talks about how there's a repair shop within the bike valet. So the benefit of this compared to having bike parking in your own building in the basement is that have they have the bike shop, and it's sort of a full-service system.

So if we go to the next slide, we can talk about the other option of people parking inside the building. So before we were talking about the dedicated bike park in the entrance on East Plaza Drive. This avoids crowding in the lobby, and any dirt and water they would get into it.

And it's a more direct access that can be designed with sort of a bike theme and sort of celebrate the fact that there's dedicated bike parking in the building.

And so you go down the elevator, and this sort of west corner of the basement is where we're planning to situate the bike parking. And what you'll see on the next four slides are the different options that we're presenting to you for consideration.

So if we go to the next slide, we'll look at the first option.

So this is the baseline option that was sort of agreed to in the special permit, where we have 10 racks for a total of 20 parking spots. So this we're considering the baseline. And we have three other options that spilled from here.

So if we go to the next slide.
So this considers filling this whole space in the basement with Cambridge-compliant racks, which will get us to 90 bikes in the basement, which is about one-quarter, and maybe one-fifth of the total population of the building. So being the 1 to 1.05 baseline.

So this -- this -- you know, you're filling a quarter of the building, and then if there's more people that have bikes, or if people prefer to put them in the bike valet, they'll do that.

If we go to the next slide, $I$ think we're looking at how we can fit even more.

So yeah, this is not a Cambridge-compliant rack, but is used in other projects in Boston and elsewhere, where you're able to fit more racks. So within -- I think these racks are, like, eight feet by six feet maybe, and you can fit 10 racks on there.

So this option is looking at how many, you know, how can we fit the most amount (sic) of bikes in the basement.

And so this has 20 Cambridge-compliant spaces, and then an additional 240 of the high-density racks.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Why don't we pause there. I see Lou has raised his hand, and he was the one who specifically wanted to hear about bikes. So I want to make sure that we're getting his questions addressed.

TIM REAGAN: Sue.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I had a quick one when he was going over the valet system. What's the expected wait time at your highest level of use?

TIM REAGAN: Well, the idea is that there isn't a wait room if we call ahead. But I don't know the details of that, I don't think. So it's a company out of Portland.

Oh, Ian -- Ian might be able to speak to that. Yeah, it's a company out of Portland, Oregon that manages a similar system out there. So Ian's been talking to them and might have some info about wait times.

IAN HATCH: Yeah, Ian Hatch with Boston Properties here, happy to engage with that question here. While I
think, you know, we can offer sort of more detail on the operations when we get into the operations planning stage, that submission for the valet: Typically, our Portland operator tries to target three-minute retrievals, three to five maximum. So that's what they're trying to optimize their performance to achieve.

And while it's still early days, and we haven't necessarily decided on a definitive layout for the valet, everything that they've seen -- we've been showing them the drawings and kind of bringing their comments in and suggestions -- leads them to think that this facility will perform similarly to what they're doing already every day out.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Relatively -- excuse me, relatively similar numbers in the other operation? I mean, if this doesn't go in this residential building, the 90 or so bicycles we're talking about roughly 450, 470 bicycles.

I'm curious how you move those as quickly as you would like to, especially at the high usage times. I know this is trying to be dependent on people making appointments and so forth, but I am not convinced yet.

I am -- I'd really like to get deeper into that.

Tonight may not be the night, but I think we need some more information. I don't know how you physically move 500 bikes within a half an hour.

IAN HATCH: That's a great comment. Totally, you know, I understand where you're coming from there, and we can -- we'd be happy to engage with you further on that.

I would just -- I would note that their current operation is doing about 350 bikes a day right now, so it is similar to the scale that we're talking about here. And the staffing plans that we've been thinking about with our partners there reflect the fact that we're going to be operating at a bit --

TIM REAGAN: Swaathi, could we go back to the bike valet plan? Yeah, 42. One more back. Ooh. Yeah, the enlarged next one, 42. Oh, sorry. I'm looking at the .pdf page, so I think it's, like, 46 on the .pdf page lower right. Three forward. That one. Yeah.

So Lou, I just want to point out that there's two very large entries that provide access to this. And I think that is the sort of approach that you need to a bike valet alongside with the staffing, in order to accommodate those large numbers.

So, you know, it's not -- you're not bottlenecking access through there. You can, you know, I have bikes set up ready for people to pick up and not clog it, so to speak.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I like the addition of the larger openings. Originally, there were no openings, and very small doors. But so 8:00 on a Monday morning you have to get 300 bicycles out by 8:30?

TIM REAGAN: Potentially. Certainly, this is why the Boston Properties went with a, you know, a company that's done this before and hopefully has that sort of protocols well worked out. But --

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: On our side, the "hopefully" has a problem. We're -- this is an -- and I agree, this is a new concept, and I'd really like to see it work. But it's just -- it's troubling to kind of try to figure out how this -- all this movement works.

Will your software allow people to stack the appointments? I mean, if there are -- you know, there's a lot of complications with this: Multiple bikes in a family and so forth.

TIM REAGAN: So I think these are great questions to sort of break out into a future thread, both as the

Design and Development team continues to gather more information, and actually so that we can provide the information that we're already compiled to, you know, the most succinct presentations. These are all great questions.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Thank you.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Perfect. Barry, did you have further questions on the bike?

BARRY ZEVIN: Yes. Just a quick note, I wonder if we -- we ought to be able to gather some data from Proto, 88 Ames Street, which has bicycle storage that we could monitor?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: But that's not a valet service, that's a --

BARRY ZEVIN: No.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: -- anyone can --
BARRY ZEVIN: No, it's not. But you could certainly get some sort of sense of how many bikes are actually traveling in and out of that building at, say, 8:00 in the morning. I don't think -LOUIS KRAFT: Good point, Barry. We'll look into that. Yeah.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yeah. That may be a helpful comparison, despite the difference on operations.

The applicant -- Boston Properties has -- agrees -- offered I guess is the right way to put it, three different options for that basement storage. And Staff noted in their Memo their preference for -- or CDD Staff, I should specify -- noted in their Memo the preference for that option too.

Do Board Members have thoughts particularly around the high-density bike parking racks, which are not typically allowed to satisfy zoning in Cambridge, but could get a lot more bikes under the building here?

Any feedback for them on that? Hugh?
HUGH RUSSELL: I think the answer is to have some flexibility and to have more knowledge. So, you know, I think what -- we don't know how many bikes are going to be in the building.

If bikes come out at the same rate that cars come out of a garage, it takes about two hours with peak to pass, so that would be if everybody had a bike, that would be one bike every 15 seconds would be coming out of one or both storage facilities. Doesn't -- you know, we could imagine
that's doable.
But I look at the picture of that bike rack, and I'm not sure that I've got the ability to get a bike up five feet in the air. I don't really understand it. So.

I do know that we've taken a very conservative point of view, which is very oriented towards the typical bicyclists. Give them a rack that they know how to use, that doesn't require special training or special strength, and if that's the best solution, that's great.

But if more people want to be in the building, and they're willing to use the high density, maybe you have some high density. I suppose I have to go along with it and figure it out as you go along.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Lou? Want to weigh in on this?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah. I think splitting the load is a good idea. I would, though, also caution on everything. A lot of these what they call, "family bikes" I would have a hard time seeing someone get them up onto that second tier.

Now, obviously, they'd probably try to keep them on the first tier, but once you get there and find out that
they're all used up now, either you put it up on top, or you take it for a long walk.

So there are difficulties built in. But I think they're not insurmountable. I'm just happy -- a little clarity on this would be good.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. So it sounds like at least from the Planning Board side, there's some openness to exploring at least having some high-density bike racks in the building if demand warrants it, and there's a reasonable way for people who cannot lift those bikes overhead to park their bikes at any given time.

Okay. Any further comments on bikes before we have the proponents switch to lighting?

All right, let's talk about lighting.
LOUIS KRAFT: So if we advance the slides just a couple slides further, we can discuss the exterior lighting. Thank you. Actually, one more slide, please?

I think I can simplify a response to say that we absolutely hear the feedback right now from both the Board Members and from the City.

I think that the architectural lighting is something that the team can continue to work on through the
evolution of the project. It's something that obviously needs some more technical development, and something that needs to be very carefully considered.

I know that the word, "beacon" was used somewhere in this slide by our lighting designer. It's -- it conjures up a lot of images about the level of lighting that's not necessarily the -- you know, where the project team was thinking this would go.

I think for a building that has this distinctive shape and massing on the skyline, a small amount of lighting can go a long way. And that's what we tried to represent, but I think that we absolutely need to look at that further with the team.

On the lower right-hand side, you'll actually see that this vertical lighting is intended to be shielded and very subtle, and only intended to wash across those highperformance concrete panels, rather than have a spotlight effect, where we would be lighting up the building like a beacon, which is the word that was used.

But I think there can be sort of a more careful modulation of that light, including the ability to dim that, you know, both the lights up and down the building, but then
also in different times of day.

And we do not have any plans for any type of light movement throughout the day. So I just wanted to make those two comments.

If we go back one slide previous?
We notice that there were some comments at the base about the level of lighting at the base perhaps being not as much. This was a lighting model for architectural lighting and doesn't necessarily take into effect the site and street lighting and ambient lighting.

So this would be an item that we would follow up, we would be able to follow up with as the lighting design progresses in a more integrated fashion.

And then finally, I'll just go ahead and address quickly, because $I$ know there's been a lot of comments about the entry. But we're at nine o'clock here, and I do want to make sure that we do touch on that.

Absolutely, you know, when we hear the breadth of feedback like we heard tonight, that does tell us that we need to think more carefully about this entry and entry expression, and the experience, not just for the residents, but for the members of the public.

You know, in the size and the scaling of the entry, this is -- we updated it to be 14 feet wide and seven feet deep, and then the main strip is 34 feet tall. It's quite substantial.

But we were not looking to have an entry expression that would take over the public space and the perception of the Broadway Plaza as a public space. So it's not our intention to have this be a residential forecourt, but rather be a true public space.

So, you know, we want to be able to sort of walk that line, and I think that there's more work that we need to do to refine that. But obviously we want our residents to know where the entries are without necessarily experientially overwhelming the open space.

And furthermore, to the comments about the lobby, obviously with the double-height lobby like this, the lighting at night will be very important. And, you know, we often think of this entire façade as being from the ground to that primary building -- building soffit.

So, you know, in that overall composition, we do think that it is a strong presence at the lobby, but we'll continue to work on that entry.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Comments and questions about either the lighting or the entry? Lou?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes. Is this a
representation of what you would have for light at nighttime at night in this lobby? It seems very dark.

LOUIS KRAFT: No. This is just for additive exterior lighting and does not have any of the interior lighting design development. So --

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So --
LOUIS KRAFT: Absolutely not.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Residential building -- this residential building would tend to have very a well-lit, I would think, lobby. So that spillover would be there. And on the architectural lighting, because the --

LOUIS KRAFT: Yep.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: -- building is so large, the cumulative effect of this light is considerable. So --

LOUIS KRAFT: Yeah.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: -- so it has to be looked at very carefully. I have one personal experience, part of a larger project that's going in Somerville, lit their mechanical enclosure and put task lights on the roof, and
now I have no stars. So yes, in this area of the city we're kind of getting -- had enough of the lights.

So tastefully done is one thing, but some of this is getting to be a little vague.

LOUIS KRAFT: Understood. We'd be happy to work with Staff. And I think that page 17, the renderings on page 17 in terms of the lighting at the southern rendering is probably a little bit more indicative of the globe that would be intended with the fully occupied residential lobby.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Are there other questions from Board Members about either the lighting or that entry? If not, then $I$ need to kind of poll the Planning Board here to see thoughts on where we are this evening procedurally, and if this is something we're ready to hand over to Staff, or if we need to see this further in the future?

And if we're handing it over to Staff, making sure that we have given them sufficient detail on what changes we do want to see that they can guide the proponent appropriately.

Lou?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes. By the way, I forgot
to add thank you for moving the other lobby entrance to the plaza. But I think that also needs some work to emphasize that entrance. But all in all, I think the building is handsome. I think it's come a long way. I think it will work well.

The site improvements and so forth, the play area and water feature and so forth, I think it needs some more work, and I think it needs to come back.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you, Lou. Other Planning Board Members have thoughts on whether or not we should see this again?

Mary?
MARY FLYNN: I think, as Lou was just pointing out, that the issues are really at ground plane, most of the things that we're concerned about -- you know, the lobby entrance and the play space, et cetera.

So I mean I think I would like to see those things again. I don't know how we do this procedurally, but to my mind it's like we could approve the building with the understanding that we're going to get another crack at the base. I don't know if that works, or whether it just makes sense to just have them come back.

But I think that, you know, that ground floor is really important. That's what the public is going to see. And I know just a tremendous amount of work has been done, and I hate to hold it up, but I do think it's important.

And also, you know, the bike -- I mean, the bike thing I think we could put off and do a separate thing, because that's sort of more operation. But the items that Lou was talking about -- the lighting feature is done as a separate item too.

So I think it really is more the landscape and then the entryway and the playground.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thanks, Mary.
Hugh?

HUGH RUSSELL: So as I understand it, we on the Planning Board are used to getting a single track at Design Review, and when we say, "Okay," we understand that the proponent will keep working with the Staff.

I believe the Redevelopment Authority when they approve something at the schematic phase, they expect to see it at a couple more phases before the building goes forward. And so that's the time that they have to review the response to the comments.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.

HUGH RUSSELL: And I think that Daniel suggested in his opening remarks that we could simply flag limited pieces that we wanted to see come back to us when they were better thought out and the process had proceeded farther. That's where I am, you know? I think as a schematic submission, this is excellent.

You know, I agree completely with Barry's evaluation that this is an extraordinary building. But we can make it a little better. And I don't think the -- I think everybody wants to make it as good as they can make it.

So there was one piece that I'm not quite sure how to handle; is Ted's comments about the way in which the Crown does or does not fit on the building. And I must say those comments made me look at the building differently than I had been looking at it.

So I think it's not something we can just brush under the rug and say, "Oh, that lawyer, he doesn't understand architecture." Ted is the guy who really loves tall buildings, celebrates them, and so this comment comes from a -- you know, a lover not a hater, if you will. And I
would hope that you would think about what he said and try to see it with fresh eyes.

And, you know, that's not going to change the size of it, probably. It might change some of the materials, it might change some of the modulations, stuff like that that are all within your sort of design development process.

So I think -- is that call?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yeah. So I think what I hear you saying, Hugh, is that while we may not be ready to approve the design tonight because there are pieces that we want to see again, those are somewhat limited in what we feel like we need to see again, and our appropriately not yet developed to that phase of design, for the most part. And so we would just delay approving it until a later phase of design than perhaps we sometimes would.

Is that -- did I capture what you were suggesting there?

HUGH RUSSELL: I'm trying to be a little bit -I'm trying to say we approve it with the understanding that these things come back to us as they get refined.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Kathy?

KATHLEEN BORN: Well, I just want to point out that -- a couple of things. First of all, the CRA Board is taking a different vote tonight.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.

KATHLEEN BORN: Well, it's different but similar, it's to approve the schematic design with certain conditions. That would be the plaza, the play area, the lighting, and the entry materials that we talked about, and some more vision.

For us -- and the CDD I know has always enthusiastically participated and required two visual mockups of the building materials.

But those could for us be approvals in areas that would be ongoing and sort of beyond the approval of the schematic design. Maybe, you know, somewhat parting ways here.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yep.
KATHLEEN BORN: But it would be -- you know, under ideal conditions maybe some of these things could be resolved with a continuation of the Design Review with the Staff present at either time.

I mean, I hate to delay the approval of this
project, because as you all know, it is -- the next thing, next critical thing that has to happen is that it needs to go to the Utilities Siting Board.

And I'll let the clients and our Staff talk about the kind of perils of getting stuck in a bureaucratic delay on our end, and how that would be, you know, might be viewed by -- in terms of the progress of the project going to the Board, going to the Utilities Siting Board.

This project is, as you all know, critical to the city's need for power in Kendall Square.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yep. Understood. I'm just trying to figure out, because it is a somewhat unique posture for the Planning Board here. As Hugh rightly notes, we are usually on Design Review once we have handed it off to Staff.

KATHLEEN BORN: Mm-hm.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: It only comes back to us if Staff thinks something inconsistent with our comments is being suggested or put forward. So I'm just trying to figure out procedurally kind of how we thread that needle. Oh, good. Daniel!

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you, Chair Connolly. And

I should preface by saying this probably isn't the silver bullet that you're looking for, but --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Oh, shoot.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: -- a lot of the kind of oust comments and some of the consensus that I've heard tonight on some of the design items $I$ think we can continue to make progress among Staff and with the Design team on.

One potential way to thread this needle, as you put it, would be to approve the Design Review tonight with plans that as the design progresses, we come back to the Board as perhaps a Design Update, or something along those lines, so that the Board would have an opportunity to take a look at the progress on some of these design features.

But it would not involve continuing the entire Design Review into a later date.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. So let's see what Board Members think with that possibility on the table. Lou?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I had a question. For some reason in my schedule, I had this MXD coming back to us next week?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: We're seeing the
commercial buildings next week.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Oh, okay, the commercial
weeks -- the commercial buildings, not this?
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Correct.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: All right. So this one was
not advertised, so we can't just have a --
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: No.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: -- quick go-around with
these small items and have it again?
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah, I don't know. I'm in a funny spot on this. I think they need to come back.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I don't know if without the ability for them to come back, I don't know how much we're going to change.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Tom, did you want to lend us your wisdom here?

TOM EVANS: Sure, just briefly respond to Kathy's question. I think that the critical element regarding the infrastructure component is that the -- especially because the transmission cable is going in and the foundation work that needs to happen for the substation, which it's all kind
of like one master construction project from the foundation standpoint, that there's a need to quickly come up with what is going to be the structure that holds this building up?

And that's why -- I think there's some interesting understanding what's when we're approving the building design with some conditions to work on, it can allow that structural design work and permitting process to move forward.

So I think that that was the sensitivity we're feeling to move forward with an approval that says this building standing here shaped like this curtain wall of this general design, and if we're discussing I think some of the landscaping elements and so forth along the way and façades, that is the safe realm for the conversation.

That's as I understand it from the mechanics of getting the whole project moving forward to the state approval process.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. That's helpful context. Thank you, Tom. Ted?

H THEODORE COHEN: Yeah. I think what Tom just said is the way we should go. I mean, it's clear we're not
going to not approve this building; that there's questions that are remaining -- you know, about, you know, what the plaza is going to exactly look like, how the entryway may be, what the colors of the material is.

I assumed the crown was a nonissue, but I appreciate Hugh's comments about it. And yeah, I think I would appreciate it if they came back, took another look at it, and came back and said, "No, we like it this way" or, "We're willing to change it that way."

But all of those things $I$ think are secondary to the fact that yes, we like the building.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.
H THEODORE COHEN: And we like where it's located and how its massed. And if, you know, there's no need to tie things up to stop them from going forward with the -you know, the Siting Board or whatever other approvals they need right now.

And so I think, you know, I think our Board could approve it, subject to coming back with the issues that we have raised that they're going to work with CRA and CDD and, you know, we'll have an opportunity to look at those final issues and say yes or no, you know, go back yet again.

But the major building we will already have approved.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.
KATHLEEN BORN: Catherine, we've been joined by some more members of the Boston Properties team, who've raised their hand. I think I see Mike Tilford, even though his nameplate says, "Brett Lambert."

MIKE TILFORD: Thank you, Chairman Born. We would like to ask tonight for an approval of this Design Review submission. We think this is an excellent addition to the work that this group has done collectively over the past few years, starting with our original Master Plan submission in 2016.

I think the comments made tonight are very thoughtful, and a lot of the considerations that are being asked about fountains and entryways are things that are ideally suited in our experience for the DRDAP process, which invites CDD Staff as well as for ongoing review with Staff Members.

And I would hope that our work together as evidenced by the staircase, green garage park, 145 Broadway and cycle tracks would engender a degree of faith in our
commitment to making the urban design better and reflective of what you said tonight.

But because this is a massive Swiss watch with multiple third parties, the time is beyond of the essence. We would very much ask for an approval this evening without conditions or further requirements of coming back for a review process. I would also like to ask Maija Benjamins if she has anything to add from Eversource's perspective?

MAIJA BENJAMINS: Thank you Mike, or Brett. I did want to chime in from the perspective of Eversource. We have been working really well with Boston Properties and coming up with schedules to align the construction of the substation to be in place immediately following our approvals from the states.

And just the way things are aligning, I would agree time is of the essence, to make sure that the portions that are needed for the Eversource substation are in place so that we can bring our facilities online to meet the projected load demand in 2028-2029.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.

Mary?
MARY FLYNN: So I understand the urgency from the
proponent's point of view, but this is a very significant building for the city.

And, you know, and we're not saying we're not going to approve it, we're basically just saying we would approve it, but we want to just see things that $I$ don't think affect the areas of concern that you have raised.

I mean, we're talking about the façade. We're talking about a play area. We're not talking about, you know, rotating the building or, you know, or adding more floors on -- nothing that's going to affect the structure.

So I quite honestly don't really understand. I mean, I get that it's complicated, I do. And I understand there's a level of frustration and all of that. But we also have to do our due diligence.

And I think, you know, the points that we've raised, although they're minor, you know, again, they're important, and something that $I$ for one as a Board Member would like to see. I don't know how the other Members are going to feel ultimately, but $I$ think we can give you pretty much what you need without just turning it over to Staff. I think we can see it again, and we can proceed.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thanks, Mary. Lou?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes. I didn't hear anyone tonight talk about relocating the building, rotating the structure -- nothing. I did just hear someone mention no conditions. That bothers me.

It's kind of unfair for us to put this many issues I think on Staff. I think miraculous things happen when people are willing to work on problems. I think that they could tur this around pretty quickly and be well on their way in a very short time. I think it needs to come back to us.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Other Planning Board Members want to -- I mean, at this point we've got two Board Members who have expressed that they are not ready to approve this tonight, though it is on track to go towards approval, and the items are not structural in nature.

Steve?

Oh, wait, sorry. Mary, do you want to clarify?
Did I miss --

MARY FLYNN: Yeah. I'm not saying that I won't approve it, I'm just saying that $I$ want to see the refinements of the particular elements that we talked about tonight. So --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Mm-hm.
MARY FLYNN: -- I think that was sort of the way that Daniel was describing what we could do, was to give it general approval, and then say, "These are the things we want to look at" with -- you know, so I'm very comfortable doing that.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.

MARY FLYNN: Just don't want to say, "I approve it, turn it over to Staff, and I'm never going to see it again until it's built."

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you for clarifying, Mary. Steve?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Yeah, well yeah, I would approve it tonight with a couple of issues, which I would defer to Staff. We all agree whether they do it this way or that way, we'll still approve this project. So I would happy to defer to Staff on those secondary issues.

On occasion, the Staff doesn't feel comfortable making some of those decisions on their own. We would like them to make the decisions, but if they don't feel comfortable, they can come back, and we can make that
decision. But those are all secondary and tertiary issues. But I would feel very comfortable giving a fundamental approval today.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thanks, Steve. Hugh?

HUGH RUSSELL: Just wanted to confirm that I'm with Mary and Steve.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Okay. All
right. Then Ted?
[Pause]
Ted, you're muted.
[Pause]
Ted, cannot hear you.
H THEODORE COHEN: I was going to say -- I was saying -- that, you know, we have three Members that feel strongly that they're not ready to give a blanket approval, and I don't think it's appropriate for this Board to go forward in the face of that -- not opposition, but feeling that they need to see things again.

And so I think we ought to do what Daniel and Tom suggested, which was approving it in concept, but subject to the condition that it come back for the issues that have
been raised this evening.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. I think that does seem to be the consensus from the Planning Board that we would be open to an approval this evening, subject to the condition that there be further discussion with the Board on the matters raised at our discussion tonight.

Daniel, it's kind of an unusual procedural posture, is there -- I want to make sure that as we're approving it, you have what you need, and we are not misstating anything?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: So what I'm hearing, Chair Connolly, and thank you, is that the Board would be ready to approve the Design Review of the building tonight with the stipulation that with the items that were identified and the Board comments, and it might be helpful if we could maybe just establish a summary of those.

I've got some notes that $I$ could share -- that those issues would continue to be worked out with Staff, with the plan that it would return to the Board as a Design Update for further discussion as the design progresses. Is that correct?

KATHLEEN BORN: Sounds good.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: So I believe that is what I have heard at least Mary and Steve and Ted express comfort with. And I'm pretty sure Lou is not comfortable with that. But Lou, do you want to confirm or deny?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So if it's approval, it's approval. If it comes back and the majority of us do not like the changes, or the lack of changes, it's still approved, correct?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's correct. If they did come back with updated designs that the Board felt, you know, were not ready or did not satisfy the comments that were made tonight, then the design that was presented this evening would be the design that's approved as part of Design Review.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yeah. So it takes all our cards away and locks us into this design, basically. I mean, if the proponent wants to change something they will, but if they don't want to, then how do we get them to conform to what we wanted them to do?

I heard -- which of course I forgot his name now, and I keep on seeing Brett -- he didn't want any conditions.

MIKE TILFORD: I've asked for a little more faith
based upon our past success working together and would ask so again.

The idea that we're playing a leverage game about not trying to change an entrance or landscaping I think is perhaps an unfair characterization of the work we've done together for the past six years.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So would you accept the condition?

MIKE TILFORD: We're asking very specifically for approval, and coming back for a Design Update, but the idea that we wouldn't change it with a leverage game here I think is, again, mischaracterization of how we've worked together for --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Tom, did you have something you wanted to add?

TOM EVANS: Yeah. I think that one of the -- an example of work that we kind of -- it's a similar project. So I think -- I don't think this is totally unique, was with 325, we did spend some time refining elements of the stairway through ongoing Design Review process.

And the -- it's true that through our DRDAP process we spend a lot of cycles through Design development
preconstruction permit phase. So we at our Staff level look at things multiple times before the building permit is submitted.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.

TOM EVANS: And in the past we've worked closely with both our Design Review Committee and CDD Staff to go through those cycles. So I feel like we can stay pretty close -- have a close pulse on a house progressing and resolving details in the next level of design.

So I think that there's a -- there's a track record where we've taken approvals and massaged the details way before they come back as a construction permit.

So I think that that's a bit more iterative along the way, but also provides certainly for the project's big moves to have to travel forward for both getting the project procurement underway, getting the infrastructure underneath designed and aligned and so forth.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you for that. I think we've amply heard about the urgency that the proponent would like an approval tonight. We are trying to figure out procedurally how to get there.

If there is a solution to that, $I$ am open to
hearing it. Otherwise, I appreciate that the proponent has lots of advocates present.

But we don't -- we get the urgency. We are trying to find a solution here in real time that, frankly, respects our process and our obligations, obligations as well as the ones that you have.

So, Ms. Shaw, do you have something -- a solution for us?

SUSANNAH SHAW: I don't know that I have a solution, but I would just -- I'm just reflecting on the last few minutes of commentary about how to find a solution. And I would just offer that the collaboration that we've all had together as a team over the last year that I've been involved in the project has been instrumental to making the progress that we have with respect to the building, right?

I've heard $I$ think every so one of you said tonight, "We love the building, we love the massing, we love where it's sitting." Right?

And I think that, you know, there's a lot of design work left to go, in terms of the details of, you know, what, is it you know, the white flowering tree on the street trees or how can we sort of play with some of the
other elements?
And I think we all take it to heart, not only from the developer's side, but also from the Design side that we want this to be the best building that it can be.

And I think I heard a lot of constructive sort of feedback vis-à-vis plaza entry experience, penthouse mechanical sort of crown to the top of the building that $I$ think we'll want to continue to working together on, but I would second, you know, Mike Tilford's sort of ask.

And I do agree that I'm happy to come back with Design Updates. I want everyone on this that's part of this team to see how, you know, we're able to continue to, you know, massage some of these topics we've discussed tonight. So, you know, I think that that's reasonable.

And I think that we are going to be good partners and continue to be good partners in working towards, you know, tweaks to some elements that everyone will feel good about.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Iram?
IRAM FAROOQ: Thank you, Chair. I think that the one thing I would say that is different than the typical Design Review is that if the Board approves the Design

Review today, they aren't just deferring the continued design, continued Review as the design above to Staff, but to Staff as well as the CRA's Design Review process, which does actually have more teeth than just the Staff Review.

So I mean I wonder if that's the way to gain some additional comfort that when they come back with the Design Updates, they will have had the benefit of that process, and it seems like the CRA Board Members are actually quite aligned with our Board Members in terms of -- most of them -- in terms of the design direction that they are looking at as well. So that's kind of the one additional piece that $I$ wanted to mention solution wise.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thanks for that. Lou?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes. And I believe Hugh's had his hand up for a while, but a lot of these issues have been brought forward previously and have not been addressed. That is my concern.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Hugh?
HUGH RUSSELL: So I've been -- you know, having this role on the Planning Board, but there's also this Design Review Committee that's looked at several buildings
so far. And I have through that experience with Boston Properties and the way they operate.

And we've got a way of trying to sign off things at a very early stage. And CRA has, I think, a more sophisticated, more elaborate process of signing off by essentially the architectural phases --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.

H THEODORE COHEN: -- that the building goes through. And as an architect, it's -- you know, it makes sense that you don't design the entire building all at once, every detail up front; it's a multi-year process.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.
H THEODORE COHEN: So I'm wondering if our good friends and colleagues, Margaret and Conrad and Barry and Kathy, can basically commit to us on the Planning Board that in these future reviews, they'll be just the way they've been all along, being team members to achieve a goal? And that if we're still concerned about something that they won't just shove it under the carpet.

Because that's not the way they have worked, and I don't, knowing these people as -- some of you I've known for a very long time -- that it's inconceivable that they would
not say to us, you know, "Trust" - "you can trust us to keep you in the loop and take your advice."

So that's the challenge I pose to the CRA Board
Members. Can you give us some reassurance?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Cathy?
KATHLEEN BORN: Can I give you some reassurance? What I can reassure you is that our Design Review process, our ongoing Design Review process during the cases of final phases of schematic design and through design development, will continue, and that it will include if you are ready and willing, Members of the Planning Board who wish to participate -- Lou and Hugh and, you know, I actually don't know if we could have more, but --

And, you know, occasionally -- only very, very occasionally and rarely are there members of the Design Review Committee who, you know, don't agree with the others. But I'd say 99 percent of the time, we come -- you know, to consensus on these items.

And I just want to be sure before I commit to going forward in Design Review that I do understand the scope of the items here, and that none of them are -- when I say, "structural" I mean it in the broadest sense of the
word.

We're talking about the design of the plaza in front of the building at this point, is that correct? We're talking about the play area, we're talking about lighting, it's -- what do you call it, architectural lighting? We're talking about the façade design of the entry, and what else?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: I believe the crown was also mentioned, and I turned to Daniel, who said he had notes. I had my notes, but I trust that he has better notes than I do on what Board Members have asked to see end up in the Design Updates.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thanks, Chair Connolly. I think Chair Born brought up a lot of the notes that I had.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Great.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: I heard a couple of comments about the actual façade color as well, exterior lighting, I think. We talked about the design and programming of that East-West Connector.

I want to acknowledge I think Erik Thorkildsen, who has been working on this on the CDD side. I just want to make sure there was nothing that I missed there from his perspective from what we heard tonight.

ERIK THORKILDSEN: I'm just looking at my list. Also got the bike storage, benches -- design of benches, planting in plaza, balconies on the podium and on the upper -- on the tower. That's what $I$ had in addition to what you listed.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. So I think those are the items that we're looking to have updates on on an ongoing basis, that we would ask our Staff to work with the proponent on, and then presumably Lou and Hugh have the ICU and the CRA further go through your Design Review process would be developing those items as well.

KATHLEEN BORN: I think that's fine. I just -Tom has, Tom Evans has his hand up. Yep?

TOM EVANS: Yeah. I just wanted to note that the Design Review sessions are held as public meetings.

KATHLEEN BORN: Yes.

TOM EVANS: So there's no -- we could all come back, right? But just to -- so that is a process not that we squirrel away and just do it in our own conference room, that we will continue these conversations and details in a public forum?

KATHLEEN BORN: And I also want to add building on
what Daniel said, when we're talking about the -- I think Daniel, did you say colors or façade materials or materials? Something like that. That's covered also in the visual mockups that --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.
KATHLEEN BORN: -- you know, for -- I think their CDD does it with their major buildings too, but we actually -- CRA has actually a dedicated piece of real estate in Kendall Square that we use for these visual mockups, and they're very -- they are incredibly thorough.

It's sort of behind the -- yeah, it's behind the Broad --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.

KATHLEEN BORN: -- Institute. So I'm not worried about selection of materials. And I'm -- I think that Ted has brought up, I think, an important point about the top of the building. And what's really important about it for this building is that it's a three-sided building, and it's different on every façade.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.

KATHLEEN BORN: And I think it's hard to make a judgment about the hat of the building without really
looking at it in a model and understanding -- it was hard to see the model tonight in video.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.

KATHLEEN BORN: And I think that if we were
looking at the -- if we're talking about the materials on the top of the building, and we're not talking about a major change into a -- you know, an image or shape change or putting a hat or a point on the top of the building, if it's an issue of materials, that is something that is actually probably best dealt with in a Design Review situation.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Hugh and Ted, am I -- I don't want to say anything that's going to contradict. Yeah, no, it was going to --

KATHLEEN BORN: He's got his hand up.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: -- turn to him next.
Yeah, no, he -- I was going to --
KATHLEEN BORN: He's got his hand up. Okay.
H THEODORE COHEN: Well, no. You didn't say anything that contradicted me. I guess why I'm raising my hand is it seemed to me maybe 10,15 minutes ago, we were at the point where I thought we could vote on something --

KATHLEEN BORN: -- Mm-hm.

H THEODORE COHEN: -- until the representatives
from Boston Properties suddenly said, "Well, we want an approval without conditions."

And I think, you know, them just having listed all the issues that remain outstanding, that we're -- you know, in theory putting on CRA to work through them all seems to me that we are then aggregating our obligations as the Planning Board in terms of do we approve this or not.

I have no problems saying, "We approve the design, and "but it's subject to these conditions that we want to see again." Now unless Boston Properties or Eversource can give me a great reason why that is not a sufficient approval for them to go forward right now with the Facilities Siting Board, I would not be inclined to go any other route.

I think we each have our own obligations. We've got at least two, if not three Members, who feel strongly that they want to see this come back again before they sign off completely. And, you know, that's where I am.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.
H THEODORE COHEN: You know, I can live with pretty much anything. But, you know, I think we have -- you know, our obligations to perform, and being asked to simply
say, "We don't care about these things" I think is wrong.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: So --
MIKE TILFORD: If I may --
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: -- yeah. Could you give your name again, since it does not show up?

MIKE TILFORD: Of course, apologies, Chair Preston
(sic). [Michael Tilford of Boston Properties.] At no point in time, do $I$ believe we've meant or said, "Approve this without conditions; we don't care what you're saying."

I think, please say the things that matter to you, but leave it to two things: The DRDAP process, which has been a very, very effective process -- we've demonstrated that -- as well as, you know, our past history in the last six years of attempting to meet all the conditions and interests of the Planning Board.

So at no point is it an, "Approve without
conditions, we're done" situation, it is a, "We would like to be able to do a Design Update." But for further votes and discretionary hearings, it presents a lot of logistical challenges to an extremely complicated process of moving the substation to our parcel.

We've been at this for quite some time. I don't
think any of these things are unsolvable. Happy to add bench backs, happy to revisit the idea of the entrance, but subject to further process with voting conditions and discretionary approvals is in fact a burden to what we are trying to achieve.

And I'm happy to not add anything further. I think we've made our case as best as we can. And at some point in time, we have to leave this in the hands of the multiple parties who are involved with this broader proposal, with the hopes that it works in our earnest efforts, but $I$ don't want to press the point any further. I respect whatever this Board decides.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. I mean I -- to
Ted's point, I think we were getting close to what you
wanted in terms of voting to approve with a condition for a Design Update. And, frankly, we're paying --

MIKE TILFORD: An Update is fine.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: And, well --
MIKE TILFORD: Okay.
KATHLEEN BORN: No, let's stop where --
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: I think where you
lost a number of Board Members is you said that there would
-- should not be any conditions.

And so what $I$ want to clarify is it's not that you're saying that there should be no conditions. What you're saying is that it be an approval, and that such conditions as we typically include in Design Updates and ongoing Staff Review, which are frequently in our conditions for approvals, are acceptable, and that you are not in fact asking for an approval without conditions?

SUSANNAH SHAW: Cathy, I'm just going to step in
here. That is the case. I'm the lead on the residential project, and in conjunction with Mike. And the approval with conditions with the Design Update to follow on the list of items that is being summarized by Daniel is --

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Mm-hm.
SUSANNAH SHAW: -- acceptable to Boston
Properties.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay.
SUSANNAH SHAW: And we want to continue to
collaborate with everyone, and make sure everyone feels like their feedback is getting incorporated. And rest assured we are certainly trying to do our best to do that.

And I think you're seeing that as this process
has, you know, continued to progress over the last year.
You know, and so we look forward to continuing to work with everyone at the Staff level and at the Board level, to make sure that everyone feels like the design is progressing the way they're wishing it to.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Thank you very

```
much for that clarification. All right. Then, let's circle
``` back around to where we were. [Laughter]

And I do think that while there are many things, as have been noted here, that the Board would like to see again, and would like to hear progress on the DRDAP process and the Design Review Committee.

I think that as is typical of us, Design Updates are not an uncommon tool that we use in some larger projects of this nature.

I don't want to ask for a motion unless \(I\) think -but I think that's where we're at, is that Daniel and Kathy have -- and Erik have listed out those areas we would like to see in Design Updates, as the design is further developed.

Are there any additional areas that we would -that Planning Board Members would like to see further as the
design is developed?
[Pause]
Seeing none? Okay. Kathy, then I think our Board
is ready to make a motion. I would recommend that we go
ahead and do that, and then we let you do your thing?
KATHLEEN BORN: Yes.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Then is there a motion to approve the design of the building in conformance with the plans that were submitted subject to continuing Design Review by Staff, Design Updates to this Planning Board, and such additional processes as is required by the CRA's procedures? The details of the design -again, subject to further review?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Steve, so moved.
ALAN PRICE: Alan second.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Alan seconds.

Daniel, we have eight on this. So that means I'm going to have Alan be our Voting Member?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Sure, yes.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. Then we will take a roll call vote on that motion.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: On that motion, Lou Bacci?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?

H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steve Cohen?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.
DANIEL MESSPLAY: Mary Flynn?

MARY FLYNN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?

HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Tom Sieniewicz?

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Alan Price?

ALAN PRICE: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?

ASHLEY TAN: Yes.
DANIEL MESSPLAY: Catherine Preston Connolly?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Yes.
[All vote YES]

DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's all Members voting in
favor.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Thank you very much,

Daniel. Kathy Born, let me turn it -- the floor -- over to
you.
KATHLEEN BORN: All right. Well, our motion would be to approve the schematic design with the conditions of further Design Review for plaza area, lighting entry materials and the VMU, which is the visual mockup. Do I have a maker of that motion? Margaret?

MARGARET DRURY: So moved.

KATHLEEN BORN: May I have a roll call, Tom?
TOM EVANS: Yes on the motion for schematic design, with conditions. Kathy Born?

KATHLEEN BORN: Yes.
TOM EVANS: Kathy yes. Conrad Crawford?
CONRAD CRAWFORD: Yes.

TOM EVANS: Conrad yes. Margaret Drury?
MARGARET DRURY: Yes.
TOM EVANS: Margaret Drury yes. Barry Zevin?
BILL ZAMPARELLI: Yes.
TOM EVANS: Barry Zevin yes. Chris Bator is
absent.

KATHLEEN BORN: I realized that we started talking about DRDAP and I realized that there may be some Members of the Planning Board or other people in attendance who don't
know what "DRDAP" is. [2:53:59] Tom?
TOM EVANS: So DRDAP is our acronym for our Design
Review and Document Approval Procedure, which is a -- you know, a structure whereby we do ongoing Design Review from me -- really from the conceptual design through a Certificate of Completion at the end of the process, and it -- the Design Review process that we engage with CDD Staff and the Design Review Committee and structure it out of our development agreement with Boston Properties.

KATHLEEN BORN: Thank you.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. So I believe that concludes the Planning Board's measures on this action. If the CRA has any additional procedural measures they need to take?

KATHLEEN BORN: We don't. I would entertain a motion for adjournment of the CRA portion of the meeting.

MARGARET DRURY: So moved.
KATHLEEN BORN: Roll call.
TOM EVANS: To adjourn, Kathy Born?
KATHLEEN BORN: Yes.

TOM EVANS: Kathy yes. Conrad Crawford?
CONRAD CRAWFORD: Yes.

TOM EVANS: Conrad yes. Margaret Drury?

MARGARET DRURY: Yes.
TOM EVANS: Margaret Drury yes. Barry Zevin?
BARRY ZEVIN: Yes.

TOM EVANS: Barry Zevin yes. Okay. We'll see you al tomorrow for our regular Board meeting.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: [Laughter]. Thank you very much to the CRA for another really productive meeting with us. We really appreciate your partnership, and we will see you next week.

TOM EVANS: Thank you.
KATHLEEN BORN: And thank you, Catherine.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: All right. I am going to hand the virtual gavel here over to Mary Flynn, who will chair the remainder of the meeting, as I am recused from those matters. Thank you all.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. All right. Goodnight, Catherine. Thank you so much.

H THEODORE COHEN: Thank you, Catherine.
MARGARET DRURY: Goodnight, folks!
KATHLEEN BORN: Bye, Margaret.
MARY FLYNN: Okay. So we have two more items.
(9:59 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Mary T. Flynn, Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Steven
A. Cohen, Theodore Cohen, Hugh Russell, Ashley Tan, and Alan Price

MARY FLYNN: We have review of Board of Zoning Appeal cases which are going to be heard on April 14, 2022. As I said, there are two cases.

The first is BZA No. 165062, which seeks a permit to modify an existing wireless telecommunications facility by replacing existing panel antennas and associated equipment, as well as modifying existing ancillary equipment on the rooftop of the building at 20 Sidney Street.

And CDD Staff I think in the person of Suzannah Bigolin will provide an update.

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Thank you, Mary. The Planning Board comments and requests for wireless communication facilities on buildings permitted by Planning Board special permits, and this building is part of University Park. And that's PB\#152.

We have met with the applicant and provided comments, and representatives from the applicant are also
present tonight. Thank you.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you. Are there any questions from the Board for either the Staff or for the applicant?
[Pause]
I am not seeing any hands. I am not seeing any hands. I want to make sure that I see everybody. Okay. Okay. So then, in Susannah's comments, she summarizes it nicely by saying, "No concerns with modest modifications to this eclectic antenna facility, et cetera." So does the Board want to forward Susannah's comments to the BZA as ours?

Hugh?

H THEODORE COHEN: Beat me to it. Yes.

MARY FLYNN: Okay.
H THEODORE COHEN: Put our stamp on that and send it along.

MARY FLYNN: Send it along. Okay. Very good. So then may I have --

H THEODORE COHEN: Thank you, Susannah.

MARY FLYNN: All right. So Hugh, is that a motion from you, then?

H THEODORE COHEN: So move.

MARY FLYNN: So move. Thank you. Okay, is there
a second?

ALAN PRICE: Alan second.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you, Alan. And Daniel, can I
have a roll call, please?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes. On that motion, Lou Bacci?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?

H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steve Cohen?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?

HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Alan Price?
ALAN PRICE: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?

ASHLEY TAN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn?
MARY FLYNN: Yes.
[All vote YES]

DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's all Members voting in
favor.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Thank you so much.
(10:01 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Mary T. Flynn, Louis J. Bacci, Jr., Steven
A. Cohen, Theodore Cohen, Hugh Russell, Ashley Tan, and Alan Price

MARY FLYNN: Okay, the next case is BZA No.

164599, which seeks a special permit to modify an existing wireless communications facility by replacing nine existing panel antennas and three remote radio head units with nine new panel antennas and six new RRH Units at 25 Eighth Street. And Susannah, again, will summarize the proposal. SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Thank you, Mary. We've reviewed this proposal and we met with the applicant. We thought it was one that we should provide comments to the Planning Board.

The applicant's representative is present tonight, and updated plans and renderings have been provided in response to the Staff comments. We would appreciate getting comments from the Planning Board on this case. And we note just with the Updates that most of our comments have been
addressed, and the new renderings do show some improvements.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. So can we see those
renderings? Is the applicant going to share those? How is that going to work, Susannah?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: I think Swaathi would have --
MARY FLYNN: Swaathi has it? Okay. All right.
So Swaathi, if we could see those, please?

SWAATHI JOSEPH: If you don't mind giving me one
second. I e-mailed that to the Board, but let me just pull that out.

MARY FLYNN: Okay.

H THEODORE COHEN: Chair, can I ask a question while we're waiting?

MARY FLYNN: Oh. By all means, yes.

H THEODORE COHEN: Does the City own that
building?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: It's Cambridge Housing Authority.

H THEODORE COHEN: Cambridge Housing. So they are the people who presumably entered into the contract once upon a time to put cell phone antennas on the building?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Correct. I think the applicant
would be able to provide more information.
MARY FLYNN: Okay. And Jill Schafer, I believe you're representing the applicant? Can you shed a little more light on the ownership and arrangement?

JILL SCHAFER: Yes. I was not involved in the initial special permit back in the early 2000 s, but yes, my understanding it's Cambridge Housing Authority. T-Mobile is not the only carrier up there. There are two other carriers.

So I really honestly do not know the initial -- if they did an RFP or how the antennas were put up there initially. But this is a like-kind modification to the \(\mathbb{T}\) Mobile equipment that's already up there.

And as you can see from that rendering, we slightly modified the height of the antennas to conform with the CDD recommendation. They recommended that they should be moved down to conform with the 45-degree setback, and if you compare the before and after photos, you will see a big improvement in moving those.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Very good.
JILL SCHAFER: Obviously, that went way beyond the question. The initial question -- answer for.

MARY FLYNN: That's okay. It was helpful if you can just continue to walk us through these. Swaathi, if you sent them, I either didn't see a difference, or I didn't see the second version. So --

JILL SCHAFER: Right. And it's a very -- it's a one to one-and-a-half-foot difference. So the -- all of the antennas were lowered, one to one and a half feet. But it does conform now to achieve that 45-degree setback that CDC recommended.

So that's done. And that has been, in our opinion, a visual improvement to the property.

The second comment had to do with potentially adding covers or sheaths to the antennas, but to the extent that it's feasible.

And T-Mobile just actually cannot add coverings to these antennas. There's really no place to attach a sheathing or covering here, and the -- we can't attach anything to the façade of the building, other than the mounts.

MARY FLYNN: Mm-hm.

JILL SCHAFER: And so any covering would need to be affixed directly to the antenna, which T-Mobile can't do,
because it will affect the use of the antenna. It would not be usable.

MARY FLYNN: Okay.

JILL SCHAFER: That was the only thing that was in the seven-point memo that \(T\)-Mobile was saying was not feasible, because the third item was to reduce the length of all unused mount sections, which the updated plans have done. So that is completed.

And then the RRUs are actually behind the -- you can't see them from the roof at all. They're actually behind the coverings, or the sheaths. So that was accomplished.

And then \(T\)-Mobile definitely has plans to remove any unused mounting brackets. They always do that. And the exposed cables will be concealed definitely. And then \(T\) Mobile will comply, do whatever painting, matte finish, is required here. That's no problem at all. That's all definitely able to be done.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Great. Thank you. All right.
So Susannah, other than that one item, the covers, we have agreement on all the others points, so are you comfortable with their using the cover?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Yes. I think it's made quite a significant improvement just moving them down and -SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: It is a tricky façade to work with and all the patchwork colors, but I think it's -- the antennas are darker, so it has helped us a little.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Great. Are there any questions. Hugh, I see your hand up. Is that from the last time, or is that a new point that you want to make?

HUGH RUSSELL: It's a new point.
MARY FLYNN: Okay. Go right ahead, please.

HUGH RUSSELL: Essentially the building now has camouflage treatment to the exterior walls, and -- which means that the antennas are almost invisible.

MARY FLYNN: Right.

HUGH RUSSELL: I mean, if you look for them, yes, we'll find them, but in a way, they sort of lucked out with the -- if it had been --

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Yes.

H THEODORE COHEN: -- you know, crystal white panels, we'd be still talking about it. But so and the color they picked is \(I\) think the right color to do, and a single color rather than trying to match the exact pattern
that's behind it, yeah, it's the right move.
MARY FLYNN: Mm-hm.

H THEODORE COHEN: So again, I thank Susannah, and

I thank T-Mobile not only for years of great service, and if
I could -- I shouldn't do this, but we had terrible Internet service until \(T\)-Mobile came out with offering Internet
hotspots. It was a Godsend. Thank you so much.

MARY FLYNN: Excellent. All right. Are there any
other comments or questions from Board Members?
[Pause]

No. Okay. So then, could I have a motion to send
a positive recommendation on the changes made to the placement of the equipment, as shown here this evening highlighting the recommendations that Susannah made, and that have been implemented?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Steve, so moved.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Louis second.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Roll call vote, please,
Daniel?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yep. Roll call on that motion: LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: H Theodore Cohen?

H THEODORE COHEN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Steve Cohen?

STEVEN A. COHEN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Hugh Russell?

HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Alan Price?

ALAN PRICE: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Ashley Tan?

ASHLEY TAN: Yes.

DANIEL MESSPLAY: And Mary Flynn?

MARY FLYNN: Yes.
[ALL VOTE YES]

DANIEL MESSPLAY: That's all members voting in favor.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Thank you, Susannah.
Thank you to T-Mobile. That was great. Appreciate it.
Okay. So that concludes the business on our Agenda. Are there any additional comments from Staff?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Thank you all for hanging in there tonight.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Board Members, have you had anything else you would like to bring up before we adjourn?
[Pause]
Okay.
H THEODORE COHEN: Thank you for hanging in there. MARY FLYNN: Thanks, everyone.

HUGH RUSSELL: Goodnight.
MARY FLYNN: Yes, thank you all.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Goodnight, everyone.

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Thank you.
MARY FLYNN: All right. Goodnight.
DANIEL MESSPLAY: Goodnight.

MARY FLYNN: We are adjourned. Yes.
[10:11 p.m. End of proceedings.]
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & activation 31:8 & 27:177:21 & 6:13 11:11 & angular 40:11 \\
\hline ability 27:16 & & 96:5 109 & 4,15 13:8 & \\
\hline 36:1 108:3 & 33:20 44:1 & advanced 17:6 & 88:10,11,17 & nimal 87 \\
\hline 110:21 122:14 & activity \(24: 3\) & advertised & 149:15,15,16 & animating 23:10 \\
\hline 167:7 & Acts 3:13 & 122:6 & 149:18 150:12 & announce \(34: 18\) \\
\hline able 25:19 28:2 & actual 140:16 & advice 139:2 & 150:13 154:5 & announced 9:1 \\
\hline 38:3 44:22 & add 15:5 28:21 & advising 59:14 & 156:4,4,5,15 & answer 16:21 \\
\hline 55:16 100:2 & 35:17 60:17 & advocates 135:2 & 156:16 157:7 & 107:14 159:22 \\
\hline 101:20 102:17 & 68:18 86:3 & affect 83:16 & 164:6,7 & antenna 155:10 \\
\hline 106:9 111:12 & 88:13 115:1 & 127:6,10 161:1 & Alewife 8:14 & 160:22 161:1 \\
\hline 112:10 136:12 & 126:8 133:15 & affixed 160:22 & alibi 32:8 87:11 & antennas 154:11 \\
\hline 145:18 159:1 & 141:22 146:1,6 & affordable 9:5,6 & align 39:7 & 157:11,12 \\
\hline 161:18 & 160:15 & 9:8,11,18,19 & 126:12 & 158:21 159:11 \\
\hline absent 5:14 6:2 & added 42:19 & afforded 36:18 & aligned 43:4 & 159:15 160:7 \\
\hline 151:19 & adding 127:9 & afterthough & 134:17 137:9 & 160:13,16 \\
\hline absolutely 65:8 & 160:13 & 72:13 & aligning 65:21 & 162:5,13 \\
\hline 109:19 110:12 & addition 20:1 & age 50:6 & 126:15 & Anyway 75:19 \\
\hline 111:18 113:10 & 24:12 26:9 & agenda 6:22 7:1 & allow 24:7 26:6 & apartments \\
\hline accept 11:17 & 31:14 34:1 & 7:1 11:5 17:5 & 44:21 97:8 & 92:21 \\
\hline 133:7 & 35:5 78:6 & 164:17 & 105:17 123:6 & apologies 145:6 \\
\hline acceptable & 105:4 125:10 & aggregating & allowed 39:10 & Appeal 2:13 \\
\hline 147:7,15 & 141: & 144:7 & 45:2 107:11 & 154:7 \\
\hline access 24:6,7,10 & additional 16:9 & ago 87:18 & allowing 41:1 & applicant 71:1 \\
\hline 39:19 61:19 & 42:5 46:2,2 & 143:20 & allows 33:16 & 88:20 95:10 \\
\hline 63:12 97:8 & 47:5 56:18 & agree 67:7 74:10 & alongside & 107:3 154:21 \\
\hline 100:13 104:19 & 62:16 63:8 & 77:7 78:1,5 & 104:21 & 154:22 155:4 \\
\hline 105:2 & 70:19 95:4,16 & 79:3 80:14,19 & altered 39:3 & 157:15 158:3 \\
\hline accessed 24:21 & 95:18 97:14 & 83:5 84:1,8 & aluminum 29:18 & 158:22 159:3 \\
\hline accesses 98:16 & 102:5 137:6,11 & 85:20 89:9 & amazing 31:14 & applicant's \\
\hline accessible 40:15 & 148:21 149:11 & 90:11,17 92:11 & 85:11 & 157:18 \\
\hline 41:21 44:13 & 152:13 164:18 & 105:13 117:8 & Amazing & applicants 3:15 \\
\hline accommodate & additive 113:6 & 126:16 129:16 & 59:12 & application 62:9 \\
\hline 65:5 104:21 & address 19:12 & 136:10 139:16 & ambient 111:10 & 87:2 \\
\hline accomplished & 57:12 58:2 & agreed 101:2 & ambitious 79:18 & apply 17:16 \\
\hline 161:12 & 60:2 71:1 & agreement 9:3 & amending 7:20 & appointments \\
\hline accurate 10:9 & 111:14 & 17:8 152:9 & Amendment & 103:20 105:18 \\
\hline 166:18 & addressed 102:9 & 161:21 & 15:17 & appreciate 68:8 \\
\hline achieve 103:6 & 137:17 158:1 & agrees 107:3 & amenities 26:3,7 & 86:8 124:6,7 \\
\hline 138:17 146:5 & adjacent 60:8,11 & ahead 53:8 & amenity 26:5,11 & 135:1 153:9 \\
\hline 160:8 & 84:17 & 71:15 78:11 & American 94:9 & 157:20 164:16 \\
\hline achieved 89:10 & adjourn 152:19 & 99:14 102:15 & Ames 106:10 & appreciated \\
\hline achieving 25:19 & 164:22 & 111:14 149:5 & amount 66:6 & 86:15 \\
\hline acknowledge & adjourned & 162:10 & 69:12 102:2
\(110 \cdot 10116 \cdot 3\) & approach 49:18 \\
\hline 72:20 92:9 & 165:11 & air 108:4 & 110:10 116:3 & 104:20 \\
\hline 140:19 & adjournment & Akamai 34:15 & amply 134:19 & appropriate \\
\hline acronym 152:2 & 152:16 & 44:9 & anchoring 45:22 & 84:19 130:17 \\
\hline action 15:21 & adopt 8:17 & akin 48 & ancillary 154:12 & appropriately \\
\hline 16:7 152:13 & Adoption 2:8 & al 153:6 & angle 56:3,3 & 114:20 118:13 \\
\hline 167:9,11 & advance 18:17 & Alan 1:10 3:7 & angled 44:20 & approval 15:17 \\
\hline activate 48:3 & 19:5 20:19 & 4:19,21,22 & angles 74:15 & 15:20 16:17,19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 17:6,10,16 & architecture & assumed 124:5 & 133:7 137:15 & barriers 97:4,7 \\
\hline 19:6 119:14,22 & 53:18 117:20 & assured 147:20 & 149:22 150:1 & Barry 14:16,18 \\
\hline 123:10,17 & area 28:9 34:1 & attach 160:16,17 & 154:3 156:7,8 & 14:22 62:21 \\
\hline 125:9 126:5 & 39:11 40:22 & attached 27:9 & 157:5 163:17 & 89:2,4,5,8 91:6 \\
\hline 128:15 129:5 & 42:13 45:4,14 & attempting & 163:21 165:7 & 106:6,8,15,17 \\
\hline 130:3,16 131:4 & 49:6 51:9 69:3 & 145:14 & back 8:18 10:2 & 106:21 138:14 \\
\hline 132:5,6 133:10 & 69:4,12,18,21 & attendance 4:7 & 15:18,22 16:18 & 151:16,18 \\
\hline 134:20 144:3 & 70:2,3,6,7,7,10 & 15:5 151:22 & 17:2 22:9 32:8 & 153:3,4,5 \\
\hline 144:12 147:4,8 & 73:14 78:5 & attention 6:4 & 33:20 34:3,21 & Barry's 117:8 \\
\hline 147:11 152:3 & 83:4 85:3 86:1 & 32:11 & 36:1,7,22 & base 44:7,12 \\
\hline approvals & 114:1 115:6 & audible 4:7,11 & 38:10,11 47:16 & 47:20 73:16 \\
\hline 119:13 124:16 & 119:7 127:8 & 4:13,16,17,20 & 50:14 51:4,6 & 111:7,7 115:21 \\
\hline 126:14 134:11 & 140:4 151:4 & 4:21 5:2,3,6,9 & 80:3 85:3,7 & based 8:16 \\
\hline 146:4 147:7 & areas 22:6 33:20 & 5:10,12,16,17 & 94:6,12 95:1 & 48:18 50:21 \\
\hline approve 11:13 & 42:11 43:11 & 5:19,22 14:3 & 97:17 104:13 & 97:7 133:1 \\
\hline 16:7 68:5 & 44:1 48:3 & 14:11,15 15:3 & 104:14 111:5 & baseline 101:1,4 \\
\hline 115:19 116:19 & 64:17 95:15 & audio 4:1 & 115:8,22 117:4 & 101:11 \\
\hline 118:10,20 & 119:13 127:6 & Authority 13:15 & 118:21 120:17 & basement 98:19 \\
\hline 119:6 121:9 & 148:18,21 & 13:19 14:8 & 121:10,20 & 100:6,17 101:8 \\
\hline 124:1,19 127:4 & arranged 58:6 & 17:5 116:18 & 122:11,14 & 101:9 102:3 \\
\hline 127:5 128:14 & arrangement & 158:18 159:7 & 124:7,8,19,22 & 107:5 \\
\hline 128:20 129:9 & 159:4 & available 24:19 & 126:6 128:9 & basically 50:14 \\
\hline 129:14,17 & arrived 14:7 & 52:11 & 129:22 130:22 & 63:13 70:8 \\
\hline 131:13 144:8,9 & arrow 40:19 & Ave 7:14 & 132:6,10 & 127:4 132:16 \\
\hline 145:8,16 & artful 46:4 & Avenue 9:4 & 133:10 134:12 & 138:15 \\
\hline 146:15 149:8 & Article 8:5 & 92:18,20 & 136:10 137:6 & basis 141:8 \\
\hline 151:3 & articulate 31:9 & avoids 98:16 & 141:18 144:17 & Bator 14:4 \\
\hline approved 16:10 & articulated 20:3 & 100:11 & 148:8 159:6 & 151:18 \\
\hline 16:13 125:2 & articulation & Avon 94:6 & backs 61:6,8 & beacon 59:7 \\
\hline 132:8,13 & 32:15 & awful 71:17,18 & 85:6 146:2 & 110:4,19 \\
\hline approves 136:22 & Ashley 1:10 3:6 & 72:21 & bad 90:5,12 & beat 12:1 155:14 \\
\hline approving & 5:15,17 6:12 & awfully 71:22 & badge 99:18 & beautiful 65:1 \\
\hline 118:14 123:5 & 11:10 12:16,17 & & bakery 23:16 & 73:679:18 \\
\hline 130:21 131:9 & 13:7 85:19,20 & B & balance 94:2 & 84:15 \\
\hline approximately & 86:17 150:14 & b 65:8 & balconies 36:3,6 & begins 49:2 51:8 \\
\hline 60:18 & 150:15 154:5 & Bacci 1:8 3:5 5:5 & 36:8,10 60:15 & beige 71:22 72:4 \\
\hline April 1:4 3:9 7:9 & 156:17,18 & 5:6 6:11 11:9 & 65:6,15,16 & beleaguered \\
\hline 10:16 13:21 & 157:7 164:8,9 & 11:22 12:4,5 & 77:8 85:8 & 56:5 \\
\hline 154:7 & asked 125:16 & 13:6 62:12 & 141:3 & believe 10:5 \\
\hline architect 138:9 & 132:22 140:10 & 68:11 71:4 & balcony 36:13 & 15:13 17:2,21 \\
\hline architects 61:5 & 144:22 & 91:5 102:11 & 36:16 65:9,13 & 59:13 69:19,21 \\
\hline 92:1 & asking 4:6 21:4 & 103:14 105:4 & 85:12,14 & 81:16 88:5,11 \\
\hline architectural & 133:9 147:8 & 105:12 106:5 & Baldwin 9:7 & 116:18 132:1 \\
\hline 19:14 38:9 & aspects 60:7,22 & 106:13,16 & ballet 97:20 & 137:15 140:7 \\
\hline 52:2 61:10 & asset 64:13 & 108:16 113:3,9 & band 75:5 & 145:8 152:12 \\
\hline 109:21 111:8 & Assistant 1:12 & 113:11,16,19 & banded 28:18 & 159:2 \\
\hline 113:14 138:6 & 6:15 14:16,19 & 114:22 121:19 & 33:10 & bench 47:19 \\
\hline 140:5 & associated & 122:2,5,8,10 & barrier 38:4 & 85:7 93:21 \\
\hline architecturally & 154:11 & 122:13 128:1 & 63:21 96:9,11 & 146:2 \\
\hline 61:15 82:21 & Associates 18:19 & 129:2 132:5,15 & 96:16 & benches 58:6,7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 58:12 61:1,6,6 & bike 24:15,18 & 63:21 & 16:19 62:13 & 161:14 \\
\hline 61:7,9,13,14 & 39:7 42:5 45:5 & blocking 44:15 & 152:12 & brakes 99:17 \\
\hline 61:14 69:3 & 52:664:18 & blocky 61:6 & Boards 13:17,20 & brassy 92:19 \\
\hline 85:6 93:19 & 70:12,13,18 & blue 27:5 42:10 & boat 64:2 & breadth 23:12 \\
\hline 141:2,2 & 71:1 95:2 & 77:10,19 & body 61:10 & 25:1 111:18 \\
\hline bend 30:11 & 97:18,19 98:3 & blur 32:5 & bollards 50:20 & break 47:9 68:2 \\
\hline bends 30:8,14 & 98:5,5,7,8,9,15 & Board 1:1 2:8 & book 30:5 & 105:22 \\
\hline 34:14 & 98:21 99:12,13 & 2:13 3:10,15 & bookcase 66:22 & breakdown 35:4 \\
\hline benefit 100:5 & 99:19,20,21,21 & 3:21 4:6 6:22 & Borden 43:10 & Brett 54:21 \\
\hline 137:7 & 100:3,4,5,7,10 & 7:10,10,18 8:3 & boring 58:14 & 125:7 126:9 \\
\hline Benjamins & 100:14,15,18 & 8:6 9:17,18 & Born 13:14,18 & 132:21 \\
\hline 126:7,9 & 101:14 104:13 & 10:19 11:3,13 & 13:18 14:13,16 & bridge 48:10 \\
\hline bent 37:10 & 104:20 106:7 & 13:12,15 14:1 & 14:19 15:4 & bridges 48:14 \\
\hline best 40:15 41:12 & 107:10,20,21 & 14:4 15:7 16:2 & 52:18,22 53:2 & brief 18:4 82:12 \\
\hline 92:7 108:9 & 108:2,3 109:8 & 16:3,5,6,14,17 & 55:3 78:11 & briefly 42:22 \\
\hline 136:4 143:10 & 116:5,5 141:2 & 16:19,22 17:16 & 81:7 88:21 & 99:3 122:18 \\
\hline 146:7 147:21 & bikes 24:16,20 & 52:8,13,16 & 89:1 91:2 & bring 29:10 \\
\hline 167:6 & 98:2,17 99:16 & 53:11,12 55:20 & 119:1,5,18 & 34:11 98:18 \\
\hline better 54:5 & 100:2 101:9,14 & 56:2,12,17 & 120:16 125:4,8 & 126:18 164:22 \\
\hline 58:15 61:14 & 102:2,8 104:2 & 57:3 62:8 & 131:22 139:6 & bringing 98:17 \\
\hline 64:16 69:6 & 104:8 105:2,19 & 70:22 71:2 & 140:13 141:12 & 103:10 \\
\hline 88:6 117:5,10 & 106:18 107:12 & 78:10 91:15 & 141:16,22 & broad 19:12 \\
\hline 126:1 140:9 & 107:16,18 & 95:17 97:16 & 142:6,14,21 & 50:3 142:12 \\
\hline beyond 90:4 & 108:18 109:10 & 107:9 109:7,19 & 143:4,14,17,22 & broader 31:20 \\
\hline 119:14 126:4 & 109:11,12 & 114:11,13 & 146:20 149:6 & 146:9 \\
\hline 159:21 & BILL 151:17 & 115:10 116:15 & 150:22 151:2,8 & broadest 139:22 \\
\hline bicycle 99:10 & Binney 7:7 20:8 & 119:2 120:3,8 & 151:10,11,20 & broadly 23:1 \\
\hline 106:10 & 22:4 & 120:8,13 & 152:10,15,18 & 24:18 25:22 \\
\hline bicycles 19:22 & Biogen 61:19 & 121:11,12,17 & 152:19,20 & 78:15 \\
\hline 103:17,17 & bit 20:20 26:2 & 124:16,18 & 153:12,21 & broadside 34:17 \\
\hline 105:7 & 26:10,11,14,15 & 127:17 128:12 & Boston 13:13 & Broadway 2:11 \\
\hline bicyclists 93:14 & 27:7,15,19 & 128:13 130:17 & 18:3 58:16 & 7:3 13:11 18:7 \\
\hline 93:15,15 108:7 & 29:4,14 30:4,6 & 131:3,5,12,15 & 74:7 77:5 & 20:16 21:13,15 \\
\hline big 9:13 67:17 & 30:22 31:11,20 & 131:19 132:10 & 91:21 94:18 & 21:16 22:4,12 \\
\hline 73:16 77:17 & 31:21 32:18 & 136:22 137:8,9 & 101:19 102:21 & 22:16,22 23:3 \\
\hline 79:18 80:12,15 & 33:15 34:5,17 & 137:21 138:15 & 105:9 107:3 & 23:12 24:20 \\
\hline 80:21 83:21 & 36:6 37:7 & 139:3,11 & 125:5 126:11 & 31:14 33:7,8 \\
\hline 86:19 87:2 & 38:18 39:15 & 140:10 144:8 & 138:1 144:2,11 & 34:3,4,13,14 \\
\hline 90:2 92:10,19 & 40:5 44:18,22 & 144:14 145:15 & 145:7 147:15 & 34:15 37:18 \\
\hline 93:1 134:14 & 47:5,9 50:11 & 146:12,22 & 152:9 & 38:1,4,10,15 \\
\hline 159:18 & 50:14 55:6 & 148:3,10,22 & bothers 128:4 & 39:1,2,9,13 \\
\hline big-ticket 13:10 & 68:21 79:4 & 149:3,11 & bottlenecking & 40:22 44:9 \\
\hline bigger 72:16 & 80:12 92:12,13 & 151:22 153:6 & 105:1 & 45:5,9 64:12 \\
\hline biggest 73:20 & 104:12 114:8 & 154:6,17,18 & bottom 22:17 & 64:17 65:5,10 \\
\hline Bigolin 1:13 & 118:19 134:13 & 155:3,11 & 72:5 73:9,18 & 67:20 86:9 \\
\hline 154:15,16 & Bjorn 60:6 & 157:17,21 & 98:14 & 90:10 98:1 \\
\hline 157:14 158:5 & blank 72:22 & 158:9 163:9 & bound-wire & 112:7 125:21 \\
\hline 158:17,22 & blanket 130:16 & 164:21 166:16 & 32:2 & broken 27:14 \\
\hline 162:1,3,18 & blend 74:21 & Board's 8:16 & boxes 65:11 & bronze 72:3 \\
\hline 165:8 & block 40:5 50:15 & 15:17,21 16:6 & brackets 50:6 & Brooke 18:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 38:13,17 & 112:19,19 & BZA-165052 & careers 66:9 & 117:1 118:8,22 \\
\hline brought 27:17 & 113:11,12,16 & 2:16 & careful 21:7 & 119:4,17 \\
\hline 33:16 87:7 & 115:3,19 & & 60:9 82:18 & 120:11,17 \\
\hline 90:7 96:7 & 116:20 117:9 & C & 110:20 & 121:3,16,22 \\
\hline 137:17 140:13 & 117:15,16 & C 3:1 & carefully 84:20 & 122:4,7,12,16 \\
\hline 142:16 & 119:12 123:3,5 & cable 4:3 122:21 & 110:3 111:20 & 123:18 124:12 \\
\hline brush 117:18 & 123:11 124:1 & cables 161:15 & 113:20 & 125:3,4 126:20 \\
\hline build 31:16 & 124:11 125:1 & café 23:15 & caring 87:7 & 127:22 128:11 \\
\hline building 7:3 8:7 & 127:2,9 128:2 & calendars 10:17 & carpet 138:19 & 129:1,8,12 \\
\hline 16:1,8,11,12 & 131:13 134:2 & call 3:17 12:2,3 & carrier 159:8 & 130:4,8 131:2 \\
\hline 16:16 18:10 & 135:15,17 & 14:1,3 15:7 & carriers 159:9 & 132:1133:14 \\
\hline 23:2 24:21 & 136:4,7 138:8 & 48:1 56:4 81:8 & cars 107:18 & 134:4,18 \\
\hline 25:22 26:1,11 & 138:10 140:3 & 87:13 102:15 & case 2:3 13:12 & 136:19 137:13 \\
\hline 27:22 28:20,21 & 141:22 142:17 & 108:18 118:7 & 16:3 56:18,22 & 137:19 138:7 \\
\hline 29:2 30:4,20 & 142:18,18,22 & 140:5 149:21 & 96:3 146:7 & 138:12 139:5 \\
\hline 32:4,5 33:13 & 143:6,8 149:8 & 151:8 152:18 & 147:10 157:8 & 140:7,14 141:6 \\
\hline 33:19,21 34:10 & 154:13,19 & 156:6 163:18 & 157:21 & 142:5,13,20 \\
\hline 35:4,7,9,17,20 & 158:16,21 & 163:20 & cases 2:13 7:4 & 143:3,11,15 \\
\hline 36:1,3,6,12 & 160:18 162:11 & called 17:10 & 139:8 154:7,8 & 144:19 145:2,4 \\
\hline 37:21 38:3,13 & building's 75:20 & calling 31:8 & cast 28:16 & 146:13,18,21 \\
\hline 41:2,4 42:6,20 & buildings 7:7 & 40:20 56:16 & catches 44:3 & 147:14,17 \\
\hline 43:6 44:8,10 & 63:14 66:9 & Cambridge 1:2 & categories 19:12 & 148:6 149:7,16 \\
\hline 44:11,12,18 & 70:5 74:11 & 1:6 3:9 4:2,4 & 19:20 & 149:20 150:16 \\
\hline 46:22 47:15,20 & 81:19,20 84:7 & 10:6 13:15,19 & Catherine 1:7 & 150:17,21 \\
\hline 48:8 50:12 & 84:14 92:6,21 & 14:8 17:4 & 3:4,8,10 4:8 & 152:11 153:7 \\
\hline 57:2 60:7,18 & 98:11 117:21 & 20:13 59:12,16 & 5:18,21 6:3,10 & 153:12,13,18 \\
\hline 60:19,22 63:18 & 122:1,3 137:22 & 59:17,18 68:2 & 10:4,18 11:8 & 153:19 167:4 \\
\hline 64:1,4,9,13,14 & 142:7 154:18 & 107:11 158:17 & 11:12,20 12:1 & Cathy 66:7 \\
\hline 65:3,15 66:14 & built 9:12 20:6 & 158:19 159:7 & 12:18,19 13:1 & 139:5 147:9 \\
\hline 66:19 67:18 & 29:16,20 89:17 & Cambridge's & 13:5,9,17 & cause 84:16 \\
\hline 68:1,22 69:13 & 109:3 129:11 & 28:11 & 15:10,15 17:1 & caution 108:17 \\
\hline 70:14 71:17,22 & bullet 121:2 & Cambridge-co... & 17:19 52:12,19 & CDC 160:8 \\
\hline 73:2,4,15 & bunch 11:12 & 101:8,18 102:4 & 52:21 53:7,11 & CDD 4:9 15:12 \\
\hline 74:15 75:8,12 & 37:15 41:6 & Cambridgeport & 53:19 54:6,9 & 16:11,15,20,21 \\
\hline 75:13 76:14,19 & 100:2 & 28:8 & 54:12 55:18 & 20:9 21:2 63:8 \\
\hline 77:2 78:15 & bunched 37:15 & camera 54:22 & 56:1 57:5,9,16 & 64:8 65:20 \\
\hline 80:15,21 82:15 & burden 146:4 & camouflage & 59:21 62:7,22 & 90:15 94:20 \\
\hline 82:21 83:7 & bureaucratic & 162:12 & 68:7 70:17 & 95:9 107:6 \\
\hline 84:13 85:10,20 & 120:5 & canal 50:3 & 71:5,9,14 & 119:10 124:20 \\
\hline 86:20 87:12,14 & Burns 167:4 & cannabis 10:6 & 75:22 76:5,8 & 125:18 134:6 \\
\hline 88:7 90:5,16 & business 2:5 7:1 & canopy 31:19 & 78:9,12 82:10 & 140:20 142:7 \\
\hline 92:18 93:2,4 & 7:13 66:1 & 72:14,16 73:7 & 85:18 86:17 & 152:7 154:14 \\
\hline 94:8 96:17 & 164:17 & 73:879:9 & 88:9,16,22 & 159:16 \\
\hline 98:3,14,16 & button 56:15 & capture 79:22 & 89:3,6 91:1 & celebrate 100:14 \\
\hline 100:6,9,15 & Bye 153:21 & 118:17 & 94:22 95:9,13 & celebrates \\
\hline 101:10,13 & BZA 2:14 7:4 & car 46:14 & 99:2,5,7 102:6 & 117:21 \\
\hline 103:16 106:19 & 154:9 155:11 & cards 132:16 & 106:6,12 107:1 & cell 158:21 \\
\hline 107:12,17 & 157:8 & care 31:16 58:22 & 108:14 109:6 & cement 29:2 \\
\hline 108:10 109:9 & BZA-164599 & 73:21 79:11 & 113:1 114:10 & center 45:11 \\
\hline 110:9,18,22 & 2:18 & 145:1,9 & 115:9 116:12 & 69:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Central 10:10 & 166:2,2,2 & circle 148:7 & 11:9,10,19 & 115:4,8,22 \\
\hline 21:12 22:14 & changed 63:19 & circulation & 12:6,7,8,9 13:6 & 117:4 118:21 \\
\hline 24:8,9 35:12 & changes 8:5,10 & 42:10 48:3 & 13:7 71:8,11 & 121:10 122:11 \\
\hline 36:22 37:5,18 & 8:17 16:9 26:6 & 66:6,18 81:12 & 71:16 72:9 & 122:14 123:2 \\
\hline 38:2,4,5 39:19 & 81:5 114:18 & 81:14,19 & 86:19 123:21 & 128:9 129:22 \\
\hline 40:11 43:3 & 132:7,7 163:12 & city 1:2,12 4:2 & 124:13 129:14 & 130:22 132:10 \\
\hline 45:22 46:14 & 166:16 & 6:15 9:12,19 & 130:14 138:8 & 134:12 136:10 \\
\hline 49:16 51:5 & changing 23:18 & 16:15 34:19 & 138:13 143:18 & 137:6 139:17 \\
\hline 72:22 & 74:14 & 68:1 84:13 & 144:1,20 & 141:17 144:17 \\
\hline century 86:12 & Channel 4:3 & 92:6,16 109:20 & 149:14 150:2,3 & comes 43:20 \\
\hline certain 9:12 & Chapter 3:13 & 114:1 127:2 & 150:4,5 153:19 & 79:14 117:21 \\
\hline 119:6 & character 19:14 & 158:15 & 154:4,4 155:14 & 120:17 132:6 \\
\hline certainly 11:1 & 27:16 28:17 & city's 3:21 & 155:16,20 & comfort 132:3 \\
\hline 50:21 74:2 & 31:9,16,20 & 120:10 & 156:1,9,10,11 & 137:6 \\
\hline 105:8 106:18 & 32:2,3 33:17 & cladding 27:10 & 156:12 157:6,6 & comfortable \\
\hline 134:14 147:21 & 34:6 36:5 37:1 & 27:21 29:2 & 158:12,15,19 & 80:3 129:6,19 \\
\hline Certificate & characteristic & 30:6,10 32:15 & 162:19 163:3 & 129:22 130:2 \\
\hline 152:6 167:1 & 35:20 & 33:9,12 & 163:16,22 & 132:3 161:22 \\
\hline certified 11:14 & characteristics & clarification & 164:1,2,3 & coming 8:3,20 \\
\hline certify 16:12 & 19:16 80:20 & 148:7 & 165:3 & 16:18 27:20 \\
\hline 167:5,8 & characterizati... & clarify \(128: 17\) & coincide 26:5 & 46:11,12 72:19 \\
\hline cetera 19:22 & 133:5 & 147:2 & collaborate & 74:696:13 \\
\hline 90:16,16 & Charles 7:8 & clarifying & 147:19 & 98:6 99:20 \\
\hline 115:16 155:10 & charming 62:2 & 129:13 & collaboration & 104:5 107:21 \\
\hline chain 99:16 & chasse 29:17 & clarity 109:5 & 135:12 & 121:20 124:19 \\
\hline chair 1:7,7 3:11 & check 99:16 & classical 61:12 & colleague 89:2 & 126:6,12 \\
\hline 6:7,14 10:3,8 & childhood 92:16 & cleanup 8:16 & 91:6 & 133:10 \\
\hline 13:18 14:10 & children 50:7 & clear 32:10,14 & colleagues & comment 3:19 \\
\hline 45:17 62:5 & 61:21 69:12 & 33:22 35:3 & 138:14 & 3:20 52:14,15 \\
\hline 88:11 120:22 & 77:12,22 93:10 & 40:3 58:10 & collectively & 56:12 57:17 \\
\hline 131:11 136:20 & children's 48:12 & 73:1 82:17 & 125:11 & 60:22 62:8,15 \\
\hline 140:12,13 & 48:19,21 49:4 & 123:22 & colliding 93:17 & 71:2 81:1 87:3 \\
\hline 145:6 153:15 & 49:14 50:3 & clearly 37:7 & color 29:14 & 87:9,10,11 \\
\hline 158:12 & 51:2,9,14 & click 56:15 & 58:14 83:22 & 88:7 104:4 \\
\hline Chairman 125:8 & 77:11,11 & clients 66:8 & 84:3 140:16 & 117:21 160:12 \\
\hline chairs 41:20 & Chilton 92:2 & 120:4 & 162:21,21,22 & commentary \\
\hline 46:3,3 & chime 82:11 & climate 43: & colorful 46:4 & 135:11 \\
\hline challenge 25:18 & 126:10 & climb 78:1 & coloring 42:12 & commentators \\
\hline 139:3 & choke 58:15 & climbing 81:14 & colors 124:4 & 74:10 \\
\hline challenges & choose 98:4 & clog 105:3 & 142:2 162:4 & comments 19:12 \\
\hline 145:20 & chosen 30:1 & close 74:11 & column 32:4 & 44:4 56:4,18 \\
\hline challenging 94:2 & Chris 151:18 & 134:8,8 146:14 & columns 37:17 & 56:21 63:7,9 \\
\hline chance 18:21 & Christian 18:18 & closely 134:5 & 50:21 73:18 & 70:22 71:12 \\
\hline 63:10 68:10 & 38:16,19,21 & closer 43:6 & 74:22 & 75:19 78:22 \\
\hline 71:1 & 92:1 93:22 & co-opted 63:4 & combined 52:8 & 81:13 84:1 \\
\hline change \(31: 6\) & 96:8 & coaster 77:19 & come 14:20 & 85:22 86:7 \\
\hline 78:6 118:3,4,5 & Christopher & cognizant 43:14 & 47:22 63:21 & 87:3 88:12,18 \\
\hline 122:15 124:9 & 14:4 & Cohen 1:8,9 3:5 & 64:2 66:2,3 & 88:21 89:7 \\
\hline 132:17 133:4 & cigarettes 74:12 & 3:6 4:15,17 5:8 & 82:3,21 92:15 & 90:15 91:3 \\
\hline 133:11 143:7,7 & 74:13 & 5:10 6:11,12 & 107:18,18 & 95:12,18 97:15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 103:10 109:12 & compiled 19:7 & conditions 16:4 & 53:19 54:6,9 & 152:21,22 \\
\hline 111:4,6,15 & 106:3 & 17:16 69:10 & 54:12 55:18 & 153:1 \\
\hline 112:15 113:1 & complementary & 80:10 119:7,19 & 56:1 57:5,9 & consensus 121:5 \\
\hline 116:22 117:14 & 27:8 & 123:6 126:6 & 59:21 62:5,7 & 131:3 139:18 \\
\hline 117:16 120:18 & completed 161:8 & 128:4 132:21 & 68:7 70:17 & conservative \\
\hline 121:5 124:6 & completely & 144:3,10 145:9 & 71:5,9,14 & 108:5 \\
\hline 125:14 131:15 & 89:17 117:8 & 145:14,17 & 75:22 76:5,8 & consider 61:3 \\
\hline 132:11 140:15 & 144:18 & 146:3 147:1,3 & 78:9,12 82:10 & 94:13 \\
\hline 154:17,22 & Completion & 147:5,6,8,12 & 85:18 86:17 & considerable \\
\hline 155:8,11 & 152:6 & 151:3,10 & 88:9,16,22 & 61:1 113:17 \\
\hline 157:16,20,21 & complexity & conduit 50:20 & 89:3,6 91:1 & consideration \\
\hline 157:22 163:9 & 90:11 & conference & 94:22 95:13 & 100:20 \\
\hline 164:18 & complicated & 141:19 & 99:2,5,7 102:6 & considerations \\
\hline commercial 7:6 & 127:12 145:20 & confirm 10:5 & 106:6,12 107:1 & 125:15 \\
\hline 7:22 93:3 98:3 & complications & 57:13 99:18 & 108:14 109:6 & considered \\
\hline 98:11 122:1,2 & 105:19 & 130:6 132:4 & 113:1 114:10 & 110:3 \\
\hline 122:3 & comply 161:16 & conform 132:19 & 115:9 116:12 & considering \\
\hline commission & component & 159:15,17 & 117:1 118:8,22 & 80:21 101:3 \\
\hline 167:17 & 35:17 122:20 & 160:8 & 119:4,17 & considers 101:7 \\
\hline commit 138:15 & components & conformance & 120:11,17,22 & consistent 52:16 \\
\hline 139:19 & 42:3 & 16:12 17:15 & 121:3,16,22 & consistently \\
\hline commitment & composition & 149:9 & 122:4,7,12,16 & 89:13 \\
\hline 48:18 49:19 & 32:10 112:20 & conformed 61:9 & 123:18 124:12 & constitutes 14:7 \\
\hline 126:1 & comprise 36:10 & congratulate & 125:3 126:20 & constraints \\
\hline committee 8:1,4 & concealed & 82:22 & 127:22 128:11 & 25:12 35:22 \\
\hline 8:13,17,20 & 161:15 & congratulations & 129:1,8,12 & 49:6 63:2 \\
\hline 91:6,7,15 & concept 13:11 & 88:8 94:17 & 130:4,8 131:2 & 82:20 \\
\hline 134:6 137:22 & 15:18 17:7,15 & conjunction & 131:12 132:1 & constructing 9:4 \\
\hline 139:16 148:12 & 105:14 130:21 & 147:11 & 133:14 134:4 & construction \\
\hline 152:8 & conceptual & conjures 110:5 & 134:18 136:19 & 123:1 126:12 \\
\hline Commonwealth & 152:5 & connect 8:7 86:4 & 137:13,19 & 134:12 \\
\hline 167:2,5 & concern 73:20 & connection & 138:7,12 139:5 & constructive \\
\hline communicates & 127:6 137:18 & 39:15,17 46:13 & 140:7,12,14 & 136:5 \\
\hline 50:21 & concerned 69:11 & 70:5 & 141:6 142:5,13 & consultant 50:5 \\
\hline communication & 84:9 115:15 & Connector & 142:20 143:3 & 87:4,5,8 93:7 \\
\hline 154:17 & 138:18 & 46:21 48:6,9 & 143:11,15 & consultants 92:4 \\
\hline communicatio... & concerns 84:21 & 48:20 49:5 & 144:19 145:2,4 & 94:1 \\
\hline 56:19 157:10 & 155:9 & 51:5,16 61:17 & 146:13,18,21 & consultations \\
\hline community 1:11 & concludes 62:5 & 69:11 86:2,5 & 147:14,17 & 62:14 \\
\hline 2:7 6:5,15,19 & 152:12 164:17 & 140:18 & 148:6 149:7,16 & content 52:6 \\
\hline 15:14 62:10 & concrete 28:15 & connects 22:12 & 149:20 150:16 & context 20:5 \\
\hline 78:20 84:8 & 29:9 30:10 & 45:4 86:1 & 150:17,21 & 48:19 79:5 \\
\hline 91:10 98:10 & 43:10,10 59:2 & Connolly 1:7 3:4 & 152:11 153:7 & 123:19 \\
\hline company 7:20 & 68:3 70:9 & 3:8,10 5:18,21 & 153:13 & continuation \\
\hline 28:5 102:16,18 & 86:10 110:17 & 6:3,10 10:4,18 & Conrad 14:10 & 119:20 \\
\hline 105:9 & condition & 11:8,12,20 & 14:12,14,22 & continue 17:17 \\
\hline compare 159:18 & 130:22 131:5 & 12:1,18,19 & 78:10,12,14 & 23:22 31:2 \\
\hline compared 100:5 & 133:8 146:15 & 13:1,5,9 15:10 & 81:8 82:10 & 32:19 70:21 \\
\hline comparison & conditioned & 17:1,19 52:12 & 138:14 151:12 & 74:22 79:13 \\
\hline 107:2 & 16:18 & 52:19 53:7,11 & 151:13,14 & 109:22 112:22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 121:6 131:18 & 8:9,9,10,18 & create 35:4 37:4 & 12:10,12,14,16 & decks 8:16 \\
\hline 136:8,12,16 & 9:16 & 44:18 & 12:18,21 15:13 & decorative \\
\hline 139:10 141:20 & counsel 167:9 & creates 33:21 & 15:15 17:2 & 31:21 \\
\hline 147:18 160:2 & couple 36:14 & 41:11 & 57:16,16 59:22 & decreases 41:1 \\
\hline continued 137:1 & 63:12 69:2 & creating 20:16 & 62:4 117:2 & decreasing 41:7 \\
\hline 137:2 148:1 & 78:22 92:9 & 49:12 & 120:21,22 & dedicate 70:6 \\
\hline continues 106:1 & 98:1 109:16 & credit 9:17 & 121:4 129:4 & dedicated 98:15 \\
\hline continuing & 116:20 119:2 & critical 64:8 & 130:20 131:7 & 100:10,15 \\
\hline 16:15 121:14 & 129:15 140:15 & 85:6 96:17,20 & 131:11 132:9 & 142:8 \\
\hline 148:2 149:10 & course 17:12 & 120:2,9 122:19 & 140:8,12,15 & deep 65:16 \\
\hline contract 158:20 & 19:11 64:5 & criticism 65:3 & 142:1,2 147:13 & 112:3 \\
\hline contradict & 66:2 91:9 & 66:5 & 148:17 149:17 & deeper 103:22 \\
\hline 143:13 & 98:12 132:20 & criticized 67:18 & 149:19,22 & defer 129:15,18 \\
\hline contradicted & 145:6 & crossing 44:10 & 150:2,4,6,8,10 & deferring 137:1 \\
\hline 143:19 & cousin 40:12 & crowd 64:14 & 150:12,14,16 & define 27:3 \\
\hline convene 13:15 & cover 24:16 25:1 & crowding & 150:19,22 & 35:19,20 \\
\hline convening 8:12 & 26:9,11 35:7 & 100:11 & 156:5,7,9,11 & defining 22:11 \\
\hline conversation & 48:1 96:6 & crown 33:21,22 & 156:13,15,17 & 36:5 \\
\hline 123:14 & 161:22 & 73:21 74:4,18 & 156:19,22 & definitely \\
\hline conversations & covered 22:20 & 75:9 77:4,5 & 163:19,20,22 & 161:13,15,18 \\
\hline 141:20 & 88:13 142:3 & 117:15 124:5 & 164:2,4,6,8,10 & definitive 103:8 \\
\hline converts 88:14 & covering 20:20 & 136:7 140:7 & 164:13,19 & degree 125:22 \\
\hline convinced 67:11 & 22:5 160:17,21 & cruise 52:10 & 165:10 & delay 118:14 \\
\hline 103:21 & coverings & crystal 162:19 & Danny 21:22 & 119:22 120:5 \\
\hline cool 45:16 & 160:15 161:11 & cumulative & 39:16 46:12 & delicate 26:19 \\
\hline cooling 42:12 & covers 160:13 & 113:17 & 47:16 & demand 109:9 \\
\hline cooperative & 161:20 & curious 75:15,17 & dark 59:9 113:5 & 126:19 \\
\hline 92:8 & COVID 81:5 & 81:11 103:18 & darker 162:5 & demonstrated \\
\hline corner 34:17 & CRA 13:20 14:1 & current 20:6 & dash 33:15 & 145:12 \\
\hline 36:3,11,12 & 15:5,7 16:3,6 & 28:13 96:12 & data 106:9 & demonstration \\
\hline 40:7 45:9,20 & 17:2 20:8 21:1 & 104:7 & date 7:9 121:15 & 53:16 \\
\hline 46:1,17 66:6 & 52:17,18 53:12 & currently 36:10 & 166:21 & density 108:11 \\
\hline 66:10,11,13,16 & 56:21 60:6 & 86:8 & datum 35:19 & 108:12 \\
\hline 73:11 80:17 & 62:21 76:3 & curtain 29:17 & day 46:7 69:19 & deny 132:4 \\
\hline 90:10 98:14 & 91:10,16 94:19 & 123:11 & 69:20 103:12 & Department 2:7 \\
\hline 100:17 & 119:2 124:20 & custom 56:10 & 104:8 111:1,3 & 62:10 91:11 \\
\hline corners 36:9,12 & 137:8 138:4 & cut 37:13 45:21 & 167:13 & dependent \\
\hline corporate 58:14 & 139:3 141:10 & cute 80:11 & days 42:17 & 103:20 \\
\hline 83:8,18 90:1,5 & 142:8 144:6 & cycle 39:3 & 103:7 & depending \\
\hline correct 10:7 & 152:13,16 & 125:22 & dealing 25:11 & 68:13 \\
\hline 18:2 122:4 & 153:8 & cycles 133:22 & dealt 143:10 & depth 29:13,20 \\
\hline 131:21 132:8,9 & CRA's 137:3 & 134:7 & December 15:18 & 31:16 37:1 \\
\hline 140:3 158:22 & 149:12 & & 15:22 & 76:18 \\
\hline corrections & CRA-CDD 19:6 & D & decided 103:8 & describe 97:19 \\
\hline 166:16 & crack 115:20 & D 2:1 3:1 & decides 146:12 & describing \\
\hline corresponds & Crawford 14:10 & dance 25:8 & decision 130:1 & 129:4 \\
\hline 99:21 & 14:12,14 78:14 & Daniel 1:14 4:8 & decisions 129:20 & description \\
\hline corridors 67:6 & 81:8 151:12,13 & 4:8,14,18,22 & 129:21 & 82:17 \\
\hline cosign 80:22 & 152:21,22 & 5:4,7,11,14,18 & deck 33:19,20 & desert 68:15 \\
\hline Council 7:17 8:2 & crazy 74:16 & 6:1,17 12:3,6,8 & 35:7 & deserves 9:17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline design 2:11 7:2 & designated 40:1 & 43:13,14 62:10 & 10:1 81:17 & 129:7 \\
\hline 7:6,7 13:10 & designation & 79:16 91:11 & 137:10 & door 40:3 45:12 \\
\hline 15:16 16:2,5,8 & 32:15 & 106:1 110:2 & directions 66:15 & 46:22 47:3 \\
\hline 16:10,13,14,17 & designed 61:15 & 113:8 118:6 & directly 24:8 & 63:17 66:16 \\
\hline 16:18 17:10,11 & 63:1 100:13 & 133:22 139:9 & 36:18 66:17 & 72:22 82:2,3 \\
\hline 17:12,14,17 & 134:17 & 152:9 & 97:6 160:22 & 89:21,22 92:10 \\
\hline 18:7,9 19:7,13 & designer 6:19 & Development's & Director 14:2 & 92:11,14 97:5 \\
\hline 20:3 21:1 & 110:5 & 17:12 & 15:1,8 & 97:6 \\
\hline 25:18 28:3,19 & designers 88:8 & devices 61:10 & dirt 98:17 & doors 43:8 63:14 \\
\hline 31:22 32:20,21 & 94:19 & diagonal 65:18 & 100:12 & 63:22 65:21 \\
\hline 48:13 50:17,22 & designs 17:6 & 67:5,6 & disappear 59:8 & 97:8 105:6 \\
\hline 51:15,20 52:2 & 132:10 & diagonally & Disclosure 8:7 & doorway 72:21 \\
\hline 52:3 56:11 & desk 67:3 & 66:17 & discretionary & double-height \\
\hline 61:2,9 62:13 & despite 107:2 & diagram 26:13 & 145:19 146:4 & 112:16 \\
\hline 62:15,21,21 & detail 19:15 & 42:8 & discuss 52:6 & downhill 89:15 \\
\hline 63:5,6 82:19 & 21:18 24:16 & differ 67:20 & 109:16 & dramatic 84:10 \\
\hline 86:20,21 87:2 & 27:7,16 29:8 & difference 21:7 & discussed 7:20 & dramatically \\
\hline 87:7,18,21 & 32:18 41:16 & 90:8,12 107:2 & 8:9 136:13 & 94:7 \\
\hline 88:3 89:10 & 86:22 87:1,6 & 160:3,6 & discussing 35:10 & drawing 69:10 \\
\hline 91:6,7,9,15,22 & 87:15 95:3,4 & different 17:8 & 123:12 & drawings 58:7 \\
\hline 94:17 95:1 & 95:16 97:4 & 27:3,9 29:10 & discussion 7:22 & 70:15 86:22 \\
\hline 106:1 111:12 & 103:1 114:18 & 29:13 36:14 & 8:16 9:10 & 103:10 \\
\hline 113:8 116:15 & 138:11 & 42:2,14 43:22 & 52:15 62:9 & drawn 97:6 \\
\hline 118:6,10,13,15 & detailed 25:2 & 44:2 46:8 47:6 & 99:8 131:5,6 & DRDAP 17:18 \\
\hline 119:6,15,20 & 31:11 82:18 & 47:18 48:2 & 131:20 & 125:17 133:21 \\
\hline 120:14 121:6,7 & 90:18 96:3 & 50:6 51:10 & discussions 8:11 & 145:11 148:11 \\
\hline 121:9,10,11,13 & details 16:18 & 56:3 66:3,8,9 & 9:21 68:9 & 151:21 152:1,2 \\
\hline 121:15 123:6,7 & 19:2 30:7 & 66:14 73:8,12 & disguised 97:1 & drive 24:21 40:1 \\
\hline 123:12 125:9 & 38:18 94:5 & 74:15 75:10 & distance 30:2 & 41:1 44:10 \\
\hline 126:1 131:13 & 102:15 134:9 & 86:11 90:12 & 33:6 37:3 40:2 & 45:10 47:10,11 \\
\hline 131:19,20 & 134:11 135:20 & 94:14 97:7 & 74:9,11 & 47:15 73:6 \\
\hline 132:12,13,14 & 141:20 149:12 & 100:19 107:5 & distinctions & 100:11 \\
\hline 132:16 133:10 & detracts 75:13 & 111:1 119:3,5 & 76:18 & Drives 43:9 \\
\hline 133:20,22 & develop 23:17 & 136:21 142:19 & distinctive 110:9 & driving 74:16 \\
\hline 134:6,9 135:20 & 32:19 & differentiate & distinguished & drop 98:7 \\
\hline 136:3,11,22,22 & developed & 37:7 & 63:1 & drop-off 40:2 \\
\hline 137:2,2,3,6,10 & 118:13 148:20 & differently & District 13:12 & 46:14 47:3 \\
\hline 137:22 138:10 & 149:1 & 117:16 & 56:11 91:14 & 69:3 \\
\hline 139:7,8,9,9,15 & developer 16:11 & differing 66:7 & diversity 42:20 & Drury 14:6,20 \\
\hline 139:20 140:2,6 & developer's & difficulties & divided 69:22 & 53:4 76:4,7,9 \\
\hline 140:11,17 & 136:3 & 109:3 & Division 6:18,19 & 77:15,17,19,22 \\
\hline 141:2,10,15 & developers 88:8 & diligence 127:14 & 6:20 & 151:7,14,15,16 \\
\hline 143:10 144:9 & developing & \(\operatorname{dim} 110: 21\) & doable 108:1 & 152:17 153:1,2 \\
\hline 145:18 146:16 & 141:11 & dimensions & docks 24:22 & 153:3,20 \\
\hline 147:5,12 148:4 & development & 22:21 & Document 17:10 & due 127:14 \\
\hline 148:12,13,19 & 1:11 2:7 6:5,16 & diminutive & 152:3 & dusk 35:2 \\
\hline 148:19 149:1,8 & 6:18 9:9 13:11 & 80:20 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d o g }} 26: 10\) 35:15 & dynamic 32:12 \\
\hline 149:10,10,12 & 15:14,18 17:7 & direct 50:15 & doing 27:6 80:5 & 80:12 \\
\hline 151:3,4,10 & 17:8,15 18:4 & 100:13 & 90:5 91:13 & \\
\hline 152:2,4,5,7,8 & 21:16 40:14 & direction 9:13 & 103:12 104:8 & E \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline E 2:1 3:1,1 & 113:2 114:11 & enormous 25:17 & 154:12 159:13 & 126:8 \\
\hline 48:18 49:20 & 119:21 155:3 & enter 42:4 & 163:13 & everybody 44:5 \\
\hline 166:1,1,1 & 160:3 & entered 56:20 & era 87:13 & 82:22 107:20 \\
\hline e-mail 57:1 & elaborate 67:14 & 158:20 & Erik 1:14 6:20 & 117:11 155:7 \\
\hline e-mailed 158:9 & 138: & en & 140:19 141 & Everybody's \\
\hline ea & electrica & entertain 152:15 & 148 & 92:10 \\
\hline early 49:19 59:6 & 25:14,16 & enthusiastically & erratic 40:11 & everyone's \\
\hline 68:8 83:14 & element 30:13 & 119:11 & especially 19:13 & 97:15 \\
\hline 103:7 138:4 & 33:21,22 40:13 & entire 68:1 & 26:21 32:12 & evidenced \\
\hline 159:6 & 42:19 46:9 & 75:13 112:18 & 59:17 69:13 & 125:21 \\
\hline earne & 51:14 84:21 & 121:14 138:10 & 103:19 122:20 & evolution 17:13 \\
\hline earth 29:5 & 122:19 & entities 66:7 & essence 51:15 & 20:20,22 62:15 \\
\hline earthier 29: & elements 27 & entrance 24:1 & 126:4,16 & 110:1 \\
\hline easier 95:11 & 32:9 34:7 & 34:15 72:11 & essentially 138:6 & evolved 1 \\
\hline east 24:21 27:4 & 40:10,11 43 & 80:19 84:5 & 162:11 & 21:4 \\
\hline 27:12 29:5 & 44:19 52:5 & 7:12,14,22 & establish 131:16 & exact \(64: 9\) \\
\hline 34:3 40:17 & 60:18 80:2 & 89:20 92:12,17 & estate 142:8 & 162:22 \\
\hline 43:9 44:10 & 123:13 128:21 & 92:19 93:1,3 & et 19:22 90:16 & exactly 64:11 \\
\hline 47:10 59:12,16 & 133:19 136:1 & 98:15 100:11 & 90:16 115:16 & 94:1 124:3 \\
\hline 59:17,18 66:3 & 136:17 & 115:1,3,16 & 155:10 & example 133:17 \\
\hline 86:4,4 100:11 & elevation 3 & 133:4 146:2 & etiquette 81:6 & excellent 55:5 \\
\hline East-48:5 & 41:11 & entrances 92:20 & euphemism & 117:7 125:10 \\
\hline East-West 46:21 & elevations 96:12 & entries 104:19 & 79:17 & 163:8 \\
\hline 48:8,20 49:5 & elevator 63:15 & 112:13 & evaluatio & excited 9:14 \\
\hline 51:16 69:11 & 98:16 100:16 & entry 23:2 31:12 & 117:9 & 18:7 80:16 \\
\hline 140:18 & elevators 63:18 & 31:15,19 37:19 & Evans 15:1,2 & exciting 67:8,9 \\
\hline easy 39:12 & eliminate 8:2 & 38:11 41:10 & 17:4,4 52:21 & excuse 47:10 \\
\hline echo 53:20 & eliminating 7 & 42:4 44:13 & 53:1,3,10,21 & 103:14 \\
\hline eclectic 155: & else's 99:20 & 46:15 67:5 & 54:2,11,15 & Executive 14:2 \\
\hline ecology 79:6 & embodied 8:5 & 73:13 111:16 & 57:1,8 122:18 & 15:1,7 \\
\hline edge 40:4 42:6 & emergency 64:1 & 111:20,20 & 133:16 134:5 & existing 21:22 \\
\hline 45:3 47:8 84:4 & emissions 7:13 & 112:2,5,22 & 141:13,14,17 & 49:8 50:12,18 \\
\hline 96:17 & emphasize & 113:2 114:1 & 151:9,12,14,16 & 0:18 51:3 \\
\hline edges 39:1,22 & 115:2 & 119:8 136:6 & 151:18 152:2 & 69:10 154:10 \\
\hline 41:15 42:15 & employed 167:9 & 140:6 151:4 & 152:19,21 & 154:11,12 \\
\hline effect 56:4 63:16 & enclosure & entryway 72 : & 153:1,3,5,11 & 157:9,10 \\
\hline 110:18 111:9 & 113:22 & 73:2 83:4 & evening 3:8 6:14 & expand 9:11 \\
\hline 113:17 & encourage 61:21 & 116:11 124:3 & 13:10 17:3 & expect 7:9 \\
\hline effective 145:12 & ends 37:13,14 & entryways & 18:2 38:19 & 116:19 \\
\hline effects 11:2 26:6 & 75:9 85:16 & 125:16 & 52:17 68:11 & expected 102:12 \\
\hline effort 92:8 & energy 8:5,7 & environm & 114:14 126:5 & experience \\
\hline efforts 146:11 & 31: & 2 : & 131:1,4 132:13 & 26:18 37:4 \\
\hline eight 91:13 & engage 102:22 & environ & 163:13 & 80:2 81:4 \\
\hline 101:21 149:17 & 104:6 152:7 & 80:9 & event 10:16 & 111:21 113:20 \\
\hline Eighth 2:18 & engaged 45:17 & environ & 97:10 & 125:17 136:6 \\
\hline 157:12 & engender & 6:8 & events 64 & 138:1 \\
\hline either 16:7 & 125:22 & envision 81:21 & Eversource & experienced \\
\hline 43:10 53:12 & enlarge 72:18 & epithet 90:1 & 126:10, & 81:10 \\
\hline 69:10 80:4 & enlarged 104:15 & equipmen & 144:11 & experiences \\
\hline 86:3 109:1 & enlivening 23:11 & 75:10 154:12 & Eversource's & 36:15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline experiential & 30:9,11,13,18 & fashionable 61:5 & felt 34:10 132:10 & flat 67:12 70:8 \\
\hline 82:6 & 31:5,8,9,13,17 & favor 12:22 & fifth 37:9 92:18 & 86:13 \\
\hline experientially & 32:10,17 33:8 & 150:20 157:1 & 92:19 & flesh 43:11 \\
\hline 112:14 & 33:16,17 34:5 & 164:14 & figure 105:15 & flexibility 42:16 \\
\hline expires 167:17 & 34:7,9 35:10 & feasible 160:14 & 108:13 120:12 & 46:5 107:15 \\
\hline explain 82:8 & 35:15,21 37:3 & 161:6 & 120:20 134:21 & flip 30:21 \\
\hline explains 100:1 & 37:6 83:9 97:1 & feature 31:13 & filled 100:2 & flipped 30:14 \\
\hline explanation & 112:18 127:7 & 32:3 37:9,16 & filling 101:7,12 & floated 91:14 \\
\hline 90:18 & 140:6,16 142:2 & 40:8 43:13,15 & final 38:9 48:4 & flood 41:5 96:9 \\
\hline explanatory & 142:19 160:18 & 45:20,22 46:5 & 124:21 139:8 & 96:12 97:4 \\
\hline 35:3 & 162:3 & 46:17 67:11 & finally 10:20 & floodwaters \\
\hline explored 44:4 & façades 19:14 & 68:12,14,18,20 & 24:22 62:1 & 64:4 \\
\hline exploring 109:8 & 26:17 27:12,17 & 69:8 77:10,10 & 111:14 & floor 19:15 26:3 \\
\hline exposed 161:15 & 28:14 31:6 & 77:11,12 80:7 & financially & 36:14 37:21 \\
\hline express 91:4 & 33:2 37:1 & 85:4 88:14 & 167:10 & 38:18 41:5,7 \\
\hline 132:2 & 85:16 123:13 & 94:14 115:7 & find 3:20 108:22 & 85:12 90:9 \\
\hline expressed 9:16 & face 34:13 42:20 & 116:8 & 135:4,11 & 96:8,18,21 \\
\hline 50:4 51:13 & 44:22 130:18 & features 67:12 & 162:16 & 116:1 150:22 \\
\hline 128:13 & faces 65:19 & 68:13 69:7 & fine 72:15 74:2 & floors 127:10 \\
\hline expression & facilities 107:22 & 121:13 & 74:20,22 85:21 & flourishing \\
\hline 20:12,17 26:20 & 126:18 144:13 & featuring 79:9 & 90:14 97:17 & 79:12 \\
\hline 27:21 87:6 & 154:18 & February 11:14 & 141:12 146:17 & flowering 64:19 \\
\hline 111:21 112:6 & facility 103:11 & 11:15 19:7 & finer 27:15 & 64:22 135:21 \\
\hline extending 67:19 & 154:10 155:10 & feed 24:4 & 35:11 & flowers 65:12 \\
\hline extension 47:19 & 157:10 & feedback 18:12 & finish 161:16 & fluted 32:1 \\
\hline extent 95:18 & fact 8:15 73:7 & 19:3,8 21:1,4 & finished 74:17 & fly 19:18 \\
\hline 160:13 & 82:1 90:12 & 51:20 52:1,11 & firm 54:17 & flying 94:9 \\
\hline exterior 32:6 & 93:7 100:14 & 52:15 54:3 & first 9:7 10:5,21 & Flynn 1:7 3:4 \\
\hline 67:7 109:16 & 104:11 124:11 & 55:14,22 68:10 & 15:12,16 18:5 & 4:10,12 6:10 \\
\hline 113:7 140:16 & 146:4 147:7 & 107:13 109:19 & 19:8 33:8 39:1 & 11:8,21 12:10 \\
\hline 162:12 & fairly 65:16 69:4 & 111:19 136:6 & 41:544:11 & 12:11 13:5 \\
\hline extraordinary & faith 125:22 & 147:20 & 57:21 66:11 & 82:12 115:13 \\
\hline 117:9 & 132:22 & feeding 44:15 & 78:14 82:14 & 126:22 128:19 \\
\hline extreme 87:19 & fall 59:3 & feel 20:16 28:1 & 83:3 87:3,8 & 129:3,9 150:6 \\
\hline extremely & falling 59:4 & 30:1 31:4 35:5 & 89:1 91:3,13 & 150:7 153:14 \\
\hline 145:20 & falls 78:3 & 37:1 58:12 & 91:14 92:8 & 153:17,22 \\
\hline extruded 21:9 & family 105:19 & 73:15,19 87:18 & 96:21 100:22 & 154:3,6 155:2 \\
\hline eye 33:16 83:10 & 108:18 & 118:12 127:19 & 108:22 119:2 & 155:15,18,21 \\
\hline eyes 10:22 73:6 & fanciest 68:1 & 129:19,21 & 154:9 & 156:2,5,19,20 \\
\hline 74:16 118:2 & fantastic 84:11 & 130:2,15 134:7 & first-90:8 & 157:2,5,8 \\
\hline & far 52:2 58:7 & 136:17 144:16 & first-floor 25:3 & 158:2,6,11,14 \\
\hline F & 62:16 66:17 & feeling 80:3 & fit 101:17, 20,22 & 159:2,20 160:1 \\
\hline fabric 51:3 & 83:1 92:21,21 & 123:10 130:18 & 102:2 117:15 & 160:20 161:3 \\
\hline 76:19 & 92:22,22 138:1 & feels 38:7 83:7 & five 14:9 29:19 & 161:19 162:6 \\
\hline fabrics 83:21 & Farooq 1:12 6:7 & 147:19 148:4 & 40:4 91:8 & 162:10,14 \\
\hline façade 19:15 & 6:14,15 10:8 & feet \(31: 1539: 11\) & 103:5 108:3 & 163:2,8,18 \\
\hline 23:3,11 24:7 & 136:20 & 39:20 40:22 & fixed 42:16 & 164:10,11,15 \\
\hline 27:4,6,10,13 & farther 117:5 & 101:21,21 & flag 94:9,10 & 164:21 165:4,6 \\
\hline 27:14,19 29:12 & fashion 67:6 & 108:4 112:2,3 & 117:3 & 165:9,11 \\
\hline 29:15,20,21 & 111:13 & 112:3 160:7 & flagpole 94:8 & focus 7:21 9:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 16:6 22:21 & forward 18:11 & 100:7 & 87:20 123:12 & 111:14 120:3 \\
\hline focused 23:15 & 18:17 20:1 & fully 40:15 & 129:5 & 123:22 124:22 \\
\hline 24:13 26:8 & 22:1 23:19 & 114:9 & generous 39:10 & 128:14 130:17 \\
\hline 53:14 & 27:18 36:20 & function 19:15 & getting 27:19 & 134:6 135:20 \\
\hline focusing 7:6 & 52:4 79:19 & 22:7,21 43:20 & 53:19 69:7 & 141:10 144:13 \\
\hline 26:20 & 81:10 96:6 & 57:20 & 70:22 72:6,9 & 144:14 149:4 \\
\hline fold 27:14, 15 & 97:12 104:17 & functions 15:19 & 80:2,14 83:22 & 162:10 \\
\hline 49:9 & 116:20 120:19 & 43:16 & 98:17 102:9 & go-around \\
\hline folds 26:22 27:2 & 123:8,10,16 & fundamental & 114:2,4 116:15 & 122:8 \\
\hline 32:13 & 124:15 130:18 & 130:3 & 120:5 123:16 & goal 138:17 \\
\hline folks 10:20 & 134:15 137:17 & funded 9:6 & 134:15,16 & goals 25:20 \\
\hline 57:19 62:16 & 139:20 144:13 & funny 122:11 & 146:14 147:20 & Godsend 163:7 \\
\hline 153:20 & 148:2 155:11 & further 17:11 & 157:20 & goes 33:11 35:2 \\
\hline follow 111:11,12 & forward-looki... & 19:5 26:12 & give 3:19 18:8 & 37:4 116:20 \\
\hline 147:12 & 79:10 & 30:4 32:8 & 62:14 70:22 & 138:8 \\
\hline follow-up 8:11 & forwarded 8:10 & 35:20 45:8 & 89:8 108:7 & going 6:22 11:2 \\
\hline 19:20 & 8:18 57:2 & 46:20 52:4 & 127:19 129:4 & 15:13 18:21 \\
\hline followed 57:22 & forwarding 8:1 & 104:6 106:7 & 130:16 139:4,6 & 19:9 22:19 \\
\hline following 7:10 & foundation & 109:12,16 & 144:12 145:5 & 23:10,17 24:16 \\
\hline 15:16 126:13 & 122:21 123:1 & 110:12 114:15 & given 10:18 & 24:18 25:2 \\
\hline forced 58:17 & fountains 67:13 & 126:6 131:5,20 & 61:13 82:1 & 26:7 27:7 \\
\hline forcing 58:19 & 125:16 & 141:10 145:18 & 109:11 114:18 & 29:20 30:1,15 \\
\hline forecourt 112:8 & four 34:8 40:16 & 146:3,6,11 & giving 58:2 60:1 & 30:16 31:13 \\
\hline foregoing & 93:9 100:19 & 148:19,22 & 130:2 158:8 & 32:20,22 33:5 \\
\hline 166:15 & fourth-floor & 149:13 151:4 & glare 93:3 & 33:13 35:8 \\
\hline foreground 20:8 & 65:9 & 167:8 & glaring 94:15,15 & 38:17 43:1 \\
\hline foremost 18:5 & frankly 64:10 & furthermore & glass 73:16 & 47:6 52:4 56:4 \\
\hline forgetting 95:6 & 135:4 146:16 & 112:15 & glassy 90:2 & 56:13 57:10 \\
\hline 95:7,9 & frequently & future 16:2,9,19 & glazing 30:20,20 & 59:6 61:21 \\
\hline forgot 114:22 & 75:11 87:1,15 & 20:6,9 21:16 & 36:18 90:9 & 63:2,11,21 \\
\hline 132:20 & 147:6 & 28:12 105:22 & globe 114:8 & 66:20 67:16,20 \\
\hline form 20:11,14 & fresh 118:2 & 114:16 138:16 & go 6:22 21:18 & 68:20 69:5 \\
\hline 21:6 28:19 & friends 138:14 & & 23:10 25:4 & 70:1,2,6 72:1,2 \\
\hline 29:10 31:21 & From' 166:2 & G & 27:7 29:1 & 72:4,11,18,19 \\
\hline 32:1,12 37:10 & front 18:8 34:10 & G 3:1 & 34:21 39:21 & 72:21 73:11 \\
\hline 37:17 48:21 & 40:3,20 41:12 & gain 137:5 & 43:16 45:20 & 74:6,7 75:5,16 \\
\hline 49:3 51:21 & 41:12 43:8 & gallery 23:16 & 49:1,21 53:8 & 75:17 76:12,13 \\
\hline formal 19:9 & 45:11 46:15,22 & game 133:3,11 & 55:1,6 56:5 & 76:21 77:3,3 \\
\hline forms 29:10 & 47:3 68:3 & gap 48:10,14 & 57:20 63:8 & 78:19 81:22 \\
\hline 34:15 61:13 & 83:22 85:4,5 & garage 107:19 & 71:14 74:4,18 & 83:7,8,16,20 \\
\hline Formula 7:13 & 86:9,9 90:14 & 125:21 & 74:21 75:16 & 84:16 85:11,22 \\
\hline forth 39:8 41:8 & 92:14 97:4,6 & garden 65:1 & 78:11 82:2 & 87:8 89:15 \\
\hline 41:20,22 42:2 & 138:11 140:3 & gardener 65:11 & 93:10 96:2 & 96:1 97:15 \\
\hline 42:18 43:3 & frustration & gardens 58:14 & 97:4,20 98:6 & 102:12 104:11 \\
\hline 44:3,14 45:1,6 & 127:13 & gated 93:12 & 98:20,22 99:13 & 107:16 113:21 \\
\hline 47:8 87:16 & full \(8: 1,1823: 12\) & gather 106:1,9 & 100:8,16,21 & 115:20 116:2 \\
\hline 103:21 105:20 & 26:1 80:22 & gavel 153:14 & 101:6,16 & 118:3 120:7,8 \\
\hline 115:6,7 123:13 & full-building & general 1:3 2:5 & 103:16 104:13 & 122:15,21 \\
\hline 134:17 & 36:2 & 7:1 49:22 & 108:12,13 & 123:3 124:1,3 \\
\hline forum 141:21 & full-service 98:5 & 75:20 86:21 & 110:8,11 111:5 & 124:15,20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 127:4,10,19 & 86:20,20 87:15 & 12:6,7 13:6 & 146:1,2,6 & heights 90:8 \\
\hline 129:10 130:14 & 88:7 92:5 & 71:8,11,16 & hard 61:18 & held 3:12 11:14 \\
\hline 136:15 139:20 & 94:19 95:13,19 & 72:9 123:21 & 98:15 108:19 & 13:21,22 16:5 \\
\hline 143:12,13,16 & 99:7 104:4 & 124:13 130:14 & 142:21 143:1 & 141:15 \\
\hline 147:9 149:17 & 105:21 106:4 & 138:8,13 & harder 61:4 & Hello 18:16 58:3 \\
\hline 153:14 154:7 & 108:9 140:14 & 143:18 144:1 & hardscape 68:15 & help 84:4 \\
\hline 158:3,4 & 144:12 161:19 & 144:20 150:2,3 & 86:10 & helped 162:5 \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g o o d }} 3: 8\) 6:14 & 162:6 163:4 & 153:19 155:14 & hat 142:22 143:8 & helpful 20:5 \\
\hline 10:8 18:2 & 164:16 & 155:16,20 & Hatch 102:21,21 & 56:9 57:6 82:8 \\
\hline 19:21 38:19 & greatest 59:2 & 156:1,9,10 & 104:4 & 85:16 107:2 \\
\hline 55:9 57:9 68:6 & green 40:19 & 158:12,15,19 & hate 116:4 & 123:18 131:15 \\
\hline 68:11 70:10 & 69:18 86:1 & 162:19 163:3 & 119:22 & 160:1 \\
\hline 76:9,9,16 78:6 & 125:21 & 163:22 164:1 & hater 117:22 & helping 9:14 \\
\hline 82:21 89:11 & greenery 85:5 & 165:3 166:1 & haven't 70:14 & helps 34:18 \\
\hline 90:13,21 92:8 & 86:3,12,15 & habitat 79:6 & head 93:6 & hereunto 167:12 \\
\hline 106:21 108:17 & greenscapes & half 19:8 40:21 & 157:11 & heritage 28:8 \\
\hline 109:5 117:11 & 79:12 & 63:10 104:3 & head-scratching & hesitate 92:14 \\
\hline 120:21 131:22 & ground 19:15 & 160:7 & 91:17 & Hi 60:3 \\
\hline 136:15,16,17 & 37:21 38:18 & hand 56:15,16 & heading 41:10 & high 43:5 64:4 \\
\hline 138:13 155:18 & 41:7 67:19 & 57:20 71:6 & 46:12 & 103:19 108:11 \\
\hline 159:20 & 96:18 112:18 & 75:1 76:2 & health 26:8 & 108:12 \\
\hline Goodnight & 115:14 116:1 & 93:18 96:19 & hear 53:18 54:1 & high- 110:16 \\
\hline 153:17,20 & ground- 26:2 & 102:7 114:15 & 54:4,14,20,21 & high-density \\
\hline 165:5,7,9,10 & ground-floor & 125:6 137:16 & 55:15,17 57:13 & 102:5 107:10 \\
\hline Google 93:4 & 25:10 & 141:13 143:14 & 71:9 76:3,7 & 109:8 \\
\hline gosh 91:12 & groundscape & 143:17,20 & 102:8 109:19 & high-perform... \\
\hline gotten 97:3 & 23:1 & 153:14 162:7 & 111:18 118:9 & 28:15 \\
\hline Gown 9:20 & group 19:18 & 167:12 & 128:1,3 130:13 & high-rise 63:14 \\
\hline grab 99:13 & 21:10 23:7 & handed 120:14 & 148:11 & high-tech 28:12 \\
\hline grade 41:9,10 & 24:12 52:1,9 & handing 114:17 & heard 2:13 56:2 & higher 31:1 \\
\hline 97:13,20 & 55:17 70:13 & handle 117:14 & 87:4,11 95:2 & 59:18 \\
\hline gradient 50:7 & 94:18 125:11 & hands 57:18 & 111:19 121:5 & highest 63:22 \\
\hline grain 27:15 & groups 44:22 & 146:8 155:6,7 & 132:2,20 & 102:13 \\
\hline 35:11 & grow 65:12 & handsome 115:4 & 134:19 135:16 & highlighting \\
\hline grandchildren & growing 59:3 & hanging 164:19 & 136:5 140:15 & 163:14 \\
\hline 93:10 & grown 93:9 & 165:3 & 140:22 154:7 & hill 59:19 94:6 \\
\hline grander 89:20 & guess 63:10 & happen 9:15 & hearing 1:3 7:11 & hint 47:12 \\
\hline grant 79:15 & 68:12 72:17 & 97:10 120:2 & 8:13,21 18:11 & hiring 94:18 \\
\hline grateful 61:8 & 73:20 78:17 & 122:22 128:6 & 82:17 92:2 & history 28:11 \\
\hline Gray 53:17,17 & 86:19 87:6 & happened 91:18 & 131:11 135:1 & 76:11 145:13 \\
\hline 54:1,4,7,14,20 & 107:4 143:19 & happens 54:16 & hearings 7:16 & hit 97:15 \\
\hline 55:11,15,19 & guide 114:19 & 83:15 90:4 & 8:4 9:1 145:19 & Hm 81:7 \\
\hline great 11:3 17:19 & guidelines 96:13 & happier 90:19 & heart 49:4 136:2 & Hoffman 58:3,3 \\
\hline 18:17 19:8 & guy 87:13 & happy 19:18 & heat \(80: 9\) & hold 53:18 116:4 \\
\hline 29:9 51:20 & 117:20 & 52:9 53:22 & Heather 58:3,3 & holds 29:19 \\
\hline 54:15,19 55:2 & guys 54:8 87:7 & 64:10 89:16 & 78:1 & 123:3 \\
\hline 55:5,19 57:8 & & 90:19 95:21 & Heather's 60:10 & hole 22:2,8 \\
\hline 71:4 73:4,16 & H & 102:22 104:6 & heavy 61:6 & home 98:6 \\
\hline 74:9 75:20,22 & H 1:9 3:5 4:15 & 109:4 114:5 & height 86:13 & Homeowner's \\
\hline 81:15 85:21,22 & 4:17 6:11 11:9 & 129:17 136:10 & 90:9 159:15 & 9:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline stly 127:11 & I & & 122:20 134:16 & \\
\hline & Ian 102:17,17 & 73:1 & initial 26:15 & interruptions \\
\hline hope 10:12 & 2:17, & 59:19 160:11 & 6,10, & 39:13 \\
\hline 32:10 60:13,16 & 104:4 & 62:2 & initially 159 & intimate 23:14 \\
\hline 60:19 61:16 & Ian's 102:19 & improvements & ins 92:6 & intimidatin \\
\hline 79:10 80:1 & ICU 141:10 & 48:12 115:6 & inside 21:11, & 26:14 \\
\hline 90:17 118:1 & IDCP 15:19 & 58:1 & 32:4 66:16 & ntricately 63 \\
\hline 125:20 & idea 43:15,17 & in- 26:10 & 81:19 83:15 & intro 17:3 40:13 \\
\hline hopefully & :22 46:8 & inboard 40:1 & 98:13 100:9 & introduce 6:5 \\
\hline 105:10,12 & :3 51:12 & inch-high 63:21 & inspiration 28:4 & 31:5 51:1 \\
\hline hopes 146:10 & 8:12 88:13 & inches 29:19 & 28:19 & introduced \\
\hline hoping 10:12 & 1:14 102:14 & incisions 30:1 & inspirat & 26:17 32:14 \\
\hline horses 58:15 & 8:17 133:3 & inclined 144:1 & 28:3 & 34:7 90:11 \\
\hline hotel 83:19 & 133:10 146:2 & include 7:11 & instantly & introducing \\
\hline hotspots 163 & ideal 78:18 & 39:3 139:1 & Institute 142: & 35:15 51:10 \\
\hline hour 63:10 & 19 & 147:5 & instructi & introduction \\
\hline 104:3 & & included & 3:19,21 & 8:1 23:1 36:4 \\
\hline hours 64:6 & identified & including 25 & instrumen & invisible 162:13 \\
\hline 84:20 107: & 131 & 25:12 34:7 & 135:14 & invite 34:19 96:1 \\
\hline house 94:12 & ima & 70:13 110:2 & insurmountable & invites 125:18 \\
\hline 134:8 & 51:7 72:14 & inconceivable & 109:4 & inviting 59:1 \\
\hline housing 6:20 9:5 & 74 & 138:22 & integrated 49:18 & 83:11 \\
\hline 9:6,8,11,18,19 & 143 & inconsiste & 111:13 & involve \\
\hline 9:21 16:21 & images 7 & 120:18 & intended 20 & involved 20:9 \\
\hline 158:17,19 & 110:6 & incorpora & 110:15,16 & 83:1 135:14 \\
\hline 159:7 & ima & 147:20 & 114:9 & 146:9 159:5 \\
\hline HRI 9:3 & & incor & intent 49 & inward 34:19 \\
\hline huge 64:14 68:4 & immediate 60:4 & 87:15 & int & Iram 1:12 6: \\
\hline Hugh 1:9 3:6 & immediately & increase 86:12 & intentional & :14,15 10:4,8 \\
\hline 5:1,3,4 6:12 & 126:13 & 86:1 & 79:14 & 136:19,20 \\
\hline 11:10 12:12,13 & & incr & inter & issuance 16:16 \\
\hline 13:7 57:7 & impacted 8:12 & incredibly 72:12 & interaction & issue 90:6 143:9 \\
\hline 62:12,18,18,20 & implemented & 142:10 & 35:11 & issues 68:4 \\
\hline 68:8 78:7 89:9 & \[
163: 1
\] & indicative 114:8 & interacts & 15:14 \\
\hline 91:4 97:5 & important 20:21 & indicator 33:22 & interest 7:15,17 & 24:19,22 \\
\hline 107:13,14 & 21:8,9,14,20 & individual 16:1 & 9:16 10:20 & 128:5 129:15 \\
\hline 116:13,14 & :20 & 99:13 & 11:3 28:21 & 129:18 130:1 \\
\hline 117:2 118:9,19 & 21,22 33:2 & indoor 39:5 & interested 36:16 & 30:22 131:18 \\
\hline 120:13 130:5,6 & 33:13 34:11,1 & industrial 28:8 & 36:17 167:10 & 137:16 144:5 \\
\hline 137:19,20 & 35:17 37:20 & Infill 13:11 & interesting & it'll 11:2 \\
\hline 139:12 141:9 & 38:2 82:5 84:3 & 15:17 17:7,15 & 22:13 28:10 & item 11:4 13:10 \\
\hline 143:11 150:8,9 & 87:14 112 & influenced 81:4 & 42:8 43:7,18 & 65:20 111:11 \\
\hline 154:4 155:13 & 116:2,4 127: & info 23:7 102:20 & 49:18 50:2 & 116:9 161:6,20 \\
\hline 155:21 156:13 & 142:16,17 & inform 38:14 & 59:1 86:2 & items 7:15 17:17 \\
\hline 156:14 157:6 & imposition & information & 123:4 & 6:3 116:7 \\
\hline 162:7,9,11,15 & 94:13 & :6 70:20 & interests 145:15 & 2:9 \\
\hline 164:4,5 165:5 & impress & 104:2 106:2,3 & interior 32:6,20 & 128:15 131:14 \\
\hline Hugh's 124:6 & 80:15 82:15,22 & 159:1 & 32:21 83:13 & 139:18,21 \\
\hline 37:15 & improved 72:10 & infrastruc & 113:7 & 141:7,11 \\
\hline Hurley 58:4 & 72:16 & 96:18,21 & internal 66:18 & 147:13 153:22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline iterations 17:12 & 114:22 121:19 & Kelley 7:12 8:21 & 45:14,17,19,21 & 117:6,8,22 \\
\hline iterative 134:13 & 122:2,5,8,10 & Kendall 28:8,13 & 46:3,5,7,15,17 & 118:3 119:10 \\
\hline & 122:13 128:1 & 58:15 120:10 & 47:1,9,21 & 119:15,18 \\
\hline J & 129:2 132:5,15 & 142:9 & 48:20 49:11 & 120:1,6,9 \\
\hline J 1:8 3:5 5:6 & 133:7 137:15 & kept 3:18 & 50:13 51:8,9 & 122:10,13,14 \\
\hline 6:11 11:9,22 & 150:1 154:3 & keys 67:1 & 51:12,14,19 & 124:2,2,14,16 \\
\hline 12:5 13:6 & 156:8 157:5 & kick 62:19 & 52:5 59:3 61:4 & 124:18,21,22 \\
\hline 68:11 71:4 & 163:17,21 & kicking 17:22 & 61:11 64:5 & 127:3,9,9,15 \\
\hline 102:11 103:14 & 165:7 & kid 58:21 59:3 & 65:12 66:22 & 127:16,18 \\
\hline 105:4,12 106:5 & judgment & 93:20 & 67:12,15,15,17 & 129:6 130:15 \\
\hline 106:13,16 & 142:22 & kids 85:3 93:13 & 67:22 68:2,4 & 132:11 135:9 \\
\hline 108:16 113:3,9 & July 167:18 & 93:17 & 68:16 69:9 & 135:19,21,21 \\
\hline 113:11,16,19 & jump 19:2 & kind 20:14 21:2 & 70:4,13,15 & 136:9,12,13,14 \\
\hline 114:22 121:19 & Jumping 42:22 & 24:3 25:1 28:9 & 72:3,3 73:4,10 & 136:17 137:20 \\
\hline 122:2,5,8,10 & junction 40:7 & 28:19 29:7,18 & 73:17,18 74:2 & 138:9 139:1,12 \\
\hline 122:13 128:1 & June 3:14 & 33:21 34:14,16 & 74:9,12,13,20 & 139:13,14,16 \\
\hline 129:2 132:5,15 & & 37:14 38:11 & 74:20 75:5,10 & 139:17 142:6 \\
\hline 133:7 137:15 & K & 41:18 44:21 & 75:13,19 76:16 & 143:7 144:4,5 \\
\hline 150:1 154:3 & Kathleen 13:14 & 45:10,13,15 & 76:21 79:1,3,6 & 144:18,20,21 \\
\hline 156:8 157:5 & 13:18,18 14:13 & 48:2,10 49:2,2 & 79:8,10,13,20 & 144:22 145:13 \\
\hline 163:17,21 & 14:16,19 15:4 & 49:3,3,4,16,17 & 80:1,7,10,11 & 148:1,2 152:1 \\
\hline 165:7 & 52:18,22 53:2 & 49:18,19,22,22 & 80:15,19 81:3 & 152:4 159:10 \\
\hline James 53:17,17 & 55:3 62:22 & 50:2,2,3,5,7,8 & 81:9,10,14 & 162:19 \\
\hline 53:22 54:1,4,7 & 78:11 81:7 & 51:4,12,13,15 & 82:1,2,16,18 & knowing 11:1 \\
\hline 54:14,20,22 & 88:21 89:1 & 59:8 64:8 66:3 & 83:2,11,13,13 & 138:21 \\
\hline 55:11,15,19 & 91:2 119:1,5 & 74:2 79:3 85:2 & 83:17,20 84:6 & knowledge \\
\hline jig-jagged 67:6 & 119:18 120:16 & 93:20 94:1 & 84:10,12,14,18 & 107:15 \\
\hline Jill 159:2,5,21 & 125:4 131:22 & 97:19 103:10 & 84:22 85:2,4 & known 138:21 \\
\hline 160:5,21 161:4 & 139:6 141:12 & 105:15 114:2 & 85:14 86:1,5,7 & Kraft 18:13,16 \\
\hline job 17:3 & 141:16,22 & 114:12 120:5 & 86:13 87:5,12 & 18:16 53:22 \\
\hline Joel 18:19 48:5 & 142:6,14,21 & 120:20 121:4 & 87:14,15,19 & 54:16,21 55:4 \\
\hline joined 6:17 53:4 & 143:4,14,17,22 & 122:22 128:5 & 91:12 92:3,16 & 55:12 72:7 \\
\hline 125:4 & 146:20 149:6 & 131:7 133:17 & 92:18 93:3,5,8 & 77:14,16,18,21 \\
\hline joining 6:21 & 151:2,8,11,20 & 137:11 & 93:11,16 94:1 & 95:20 106:21 \\
\hline joint 13:16,20 & 152:10,15,18 & knees 59:4 & 95:2,15 96:7 & 109:15 113:6 \\
\hline 18:18 19:6 & 152:20 153:12 & knitting 22:1 & 96:15,22 97:3 & 113:10,15,18 \\
\hline 24:6 91:15,16 & 153:21 & know 18:11 & 97:5 99:5,12 & 114:5 \\
\hline jointly 16:6 & Kathy 15:11 & 19:22 20:4,10 & 99:15 101:12 & \\
\hline Joseph 1:12 & 52:13,21 53:1 & 20:21 21:2,2 & 102:1,15 103:1 & L \\
\hline 6:18 95:8 & 78:10 88:18 & 21:19 22:13 & 103:19 104:2,5 & lab 8:14 \\
\hline 158:8 & 95:1 118:22 & 24:8,11 25:1 & 105:1,2,9,18 & lack 132:7 \\
\hline Jr 1:8 3:5 5:6 & 138:15 148:17 & 26:6,20 28:7 & 106:3 107:15 & Lambert 125:7 \\
\hline 6:11 11:9,22 & 149:3 150:22 & 29:6 31:2 & 107:16,22 & landed 41:8 \\
\hline 12:5 13:6 & 151:10,12 & 32:10,13,19 & 108:5,7 110:4 & landscape 18:19 \\
\hline 68:11 71:4 & 152:19,21 & 33:1 35:19 & 110:7,22 & 22:20 23:18 \\
\hline 102:11 103:14 & Kathy's 122:18 & 36:2,18 40:14 & 111:15,18 & 38:22 39:4 \\
\hline 105:4,12 106:5 & keep 10:22 18:4 & 40:15,17 41:20 & 112:1,10,13,17 & 48:8,13 52:2 \\
\hline 106:13,16 & 98:1 108:21 & 42:9,14,15,17 & 112:20 115:15 & 60:21,22 61:5 \\
\hline 108:16 113:3,9 & 116:17 132:21 & 42:18 43:5,20 & \[
115: 18,21
\] & 94:14 116:10 \\
\hline 113:11,16,19 & 139:1 & 44:11,13,14,21 & 116:1,3,5 & landscaping \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 79:17 84:2 & 148:7 & 118:11 & 80:3 & 72:474:17 \\
\hline 123:13 133:4 & letter 60:6 78:21 & Linda 6:20 & live 4:2 19:19 & 76:13 77:3 \\
\hline language 27:10 & level 15:21 25:3 & line 23:21 32:6 & 59:13 73:3 & 79:20 83:18 \\
\hline 27:20 34:5 & 26:3 33:19 & 64:12 112:11 & 144:20 & 84:10 87:8 \\
\hline 40:10 44:18 & 41:18 45:13,18 & 166:2 & lives 93:4 & 90:4 92:17 \\
\hline 97:1 & 63:5,6 65:9 & linear 49:3 & living 65:19 & 100:21 106:21 \\
\hline languages 27:4 & 73:8,11 87:1,6 & 51:14 93:8 & 66:13,17 69:13 & 108:2 110:12 \\
\hline 27:6 35:10 & 89:10 96:14 & liners 29:10 & 94:6 & 117:16 121:13 \\
\hline large 33:10 & 102:13 110:6 & lines 25:16 & load 108:17 & 124:3,7,21 \\
\hline 45:14 58:6 & 111:7 127:13 & 50:12,16,20 & 126:19 & 129:6 134:1 \\
\hline 64:13 65:17 & 134:1,9 148:3 & 121:12 & loading 24:22 & 148:2 162:15 \\
\hline 76:14 104:19 & 148:4 & link 37:8 49:16 & lobbies 82:1 & looked 41:14 \\
\hline 104:22 113:16 & levels 26:4 34:8 & linked 33:17 & lobby 23:4,8,21 & 50:6 70:10 \\
\hline larger 66:11 & 73:12 & list 57:20 62:6 & 24:2,6 30:22 & 82:16 83:9 \\
\hline 67:14 69:18,21 & leverage 133:3 & 63:7 70:19 & 31:12,17 32:21 & 113:19 137:22 \\
\hline 78:5 105:5 & 133:11 & 141:1 147:12 & 34:10 63:13 & looking 22:3,17 \\
\hline 113:21 148:14 & Lewin 21:22 & listed 70:18 & 80:19 82:4 & 27:21 29:2 \\
\hline lastly \(81: 12\) & 39:16 46:12 & 141:5 144:4 & 83:8,19,19 & 30:21 33:1,7 \\
\hline Laughter 148:8 & 47:17 & 148:18 & 89:22 90:2,9 & 34:3 36:22 \\
\hline 153:7 & lift 109:10 & listening 76:22 & 98:13,17,18 & 38:11 40:18 \\
\hline law 3:14 & light 36:17 44:3 & lit 73:14 84:14 & 100:12 112:15 & 42:4 43:9,10 \\
\hline lawyer 117:19 & 59:5 94:12,15 & 94:7 113:21 & 112:16,21 & 44:9,9 45:9,12 \\
\hline layers 42:1 & 110:21 111:2 & little 20:20 26:2 & 113:5,13 114:9 & 46:13 47:5,16 \\
\hline layout 103:8 & 113:4,17 159:4 & 26:10,11,14,15 & 115:1,15 & 51:4 65:9 \\
\hline lead 15:14 47:13 & lighted 30:13 & 27:7,15,19 & local 28:4 79:6 & 72:14 74:3 \\
\hline 58:5 147:10 & 59:7 & 29:4,14 30:4,6 & locally \(23: 15\) & 77:19 78:16 \\
\hline leads 65:21 & lighter 34:5 & 30:22 31:11,19 & located 22:14 & 94:6 101:16 \\
\hline 103:11 & lighting 35:7 & 31:21 32:18 & 23:2,4,13 24:5 & 102:1 104:15 \\
\hline leave 19:1 54:10 & 50:18 52:7 & 33:15 34:5,17 & 24:19,20,22 & 112:5 117:17 \\
\hline 81:13 89:16 & 57:2 60:7,10 & 36:6 37:7 & 26:3 28:5 & 121:2 137:10 \\
\hline 145:11 146:8 & 67:778:18 & 38:17 39:15 & 96:22 124:13 & 141:1,7 143:1 \\
\hline leaving 92:3 & 84:9,10,18 & 40:5 44:17,22 & locating 41:7 & 143:5 \\
\hline left 46:15 47:4 & 94:4 95:4 & 45:8 46:17 & location 25:12 & looks 26:13 \\
\hline 74:20 75:2 & 109:13,14,16 & 47:5,9 50:11 & 40:15 64:9 & 57:21 58:22 \\
\hline 135:20 & 109:21 110:5,6 & 50:14 54:18 & locks 132:16 & 59:171:22 \\
\hline left-hand 22:4 & 110:10,15,18 & 55:1,6 58:21 & logistical 145:19 & 72:3,4,13 \\
\hline 34:22 & 111:7,8,9,10 & 60:16 66:22,22 & lonelier 93:19 & 74:12,22 75:2 \\
\hline Lemon 18:18,18 & 111:10,12 & 67:5 68:21 & long 9:8 23:10 & 76:14 85:21 \\
\hline 38:13,13,16,17 & 112:17 113:2,7 & 69:18 72:13 & 26:22 27:1 & 94:4 \\
\hline 38:19,21 & 113:8,14 114:7 & 73:6,16 76:22 & 89:9 109:2 & loop 139:2 \\
\hline Lemon's 92:1 & 114:11 116:8 & 77:1 78:2 79:4 & 110:11 115:4 & losing 86:1 \\
\hline lend 122:17 & 119:8 140:4,5 & 80:12 83:10 & 138:22 & lost 79:15 80:4 \\
\hline length 161:6 & 140:16 151:4 & 85:3 89:19 & look 18:11 21:10 & 83:6 146:22 \\
\hline Lesley 9:2,21 & lights 59:7 & 92:12,12 93:5 & 23:22 29:18 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }} 9: 16,18\) 11:1 \\
\hline 94:7,10 & 110:22 113:22 & 93:17 94:2,15 & 30:10 33:10 & 19:8,21 20:21 \\
\hline Lesley's 9:3 & 114:2 & 96:3 109:4 & 37:14 48:9,15 & 21:4,4,7,17 \\
\hline let's 55:6 70:2,6 & like-kind 159:12 & 114:4,8 117:10 & 48:17 49:8 & 25:2 26:16 \\
\hline 72:8 76:4 78:4 & liked 78:7 & 118:19 132:22 & 50:11,13 51:9 & 27:16 28:16 \\
\hline 79:18 109:14 & limited 13:13 & 159:3 162:5 & 58:7,12 61:11 & 29:10,20 31:16 \\
\hline 121:16 146:20 & 84:20 117:3 & livability 79:5 & 65:4,14 68:13 & 31:16 32:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 37:2 42:10 & 113:11,15,16 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a p }} 42: 9\) & 21:3,17 22:9 & 63:4 89:21 \\
\hline 49:6 51:2,19 & 113:18,19 & March 11:15,15 & 25:10,20 26:6 & 95:5 149:17 \\
\hline 51:19 59:4 & 114:5,22 & Margaret 14:6 & 26:16,20 27:9 & 158:14 162:13 \\
\hline 61:4 65:22 & 121:19 122:2,5 & 14:20,20 53:4 & 27:10,15 28:1 & meant 27:2,7 \\
\hline 69:5,8 70:1 & 122:8,10,13 & 76:2,4,5,7,9 & 32:16,16 33:18 & 35:3 80:21 \\
\hline 71:12,17,18 & 128:1 129:2 & 77:15,17,19,22 & 35:3 37:20 & 145:8 \\
\hline 72:18,21 76:15 & 132:5,15 133:7 & 78:9 138:14 & 38:13 64:9 & measured 64:6 \\
\hline 79:17 80:8 & 137:15 150:1 & 151:6,7,14,15 & 71:18 85:21 & measures \\
\hline 82:18 84:16 & 154:3 156:8 & 151:16 152:17 & 110:10 135:17 & 152:12,13 \\
\hline 85:1 86:8,9,10 & 157:5 163:17 & 153:1,2,3,20 & massive 126:3 & mechanical \\
\hline 86:21 90:19 & 163:17,21 & 153:21 & master 123:1 & 113:22 136:7 \\
\hline 91:17 105:19 & 165:7 & mark 10:17 & 125:12 & mechanics 25:2 \\
\hline 107:11 108:18 & love 75:7 76:2 & marks 43:8 & match 75:11 & 38:18 123:15 \\
\hline 110:6 111:15 & 79:9 87:2 93:7 & Mary 1:7 3:4 & 162:22 & medium 50:4 \\
\hline 121:4 125:15 & 135:17,17,17 & 4:10,12,14 & material 28:16 & meet 96:12,14 \\
\hline 133:22 135:19 & lovely 90:10 & 6:10 11:8,21 & 28:16 47:6 & 126:18 145:14 \\
\hline 136:5 137:16 & lover 117:22 & 11:21 12:1,10 & 73:22 74:19 & meeting 1:5 2:8 \\
\hline 140:13 145:19 & loves 117:20 & 12:11 13:5 & 124:4 & 3:9,12 4:1,3,10 \\
\hline lots 43:22 47:17 & low-key 92:20 & 82:11,12 85:19 & materiality 37:3 & 4:12,16,19 5:1 \\
\hline 76:16 84:13 & lower 50:8 90:9 & 115:12,13 & materials 42:22 & 5:8,12,15,19 \\
\hline 89:19 90:15 & 104:16 110:14 & 116:12 126:21 & 43:17 50:13 & 6:67:10,10,19 \\
\hline 95:17 135:2 & lowered 160:7 & 126:22 127:22 & 71:21 74:14,21 & 11:13,18 13:16 \\
\hline Lou 5:7 12:2,3 & lucked 162:16 & 128:17,19 & 75:11 76:10,11 & 13:17,20,21 \\
\hline 62:12,18,20 & & 129:3,9,13 & 78:3 83:17,21 & 14:2,10,15 \\
\hline 68:9 70:17 & M & 130:7 132:2 & 118:4 119:8,12 & 15:2,6 53:4,6 \\
\hline 78:5 91:4 & Madam 88:11 & 150:6,7 153:14 & 142:2,2,15 & 69:15 152:16 \\
\hline 102:7 104:18 & Maija 126:7,9 & 153:17,22 & 143:5,9 151:5 & 153:6,8,15 \\
\hline 108:14 113:2 & main 23:2 31:12 & 154:3,6,16 & matte 161:16 & 166:16 \\
\hline 114:21 115:10 & 31:19 38:11 & 155:2,15,18,21 & matter 8:6,10 & meetings 3:22 \\
\hline 115:13 116:8 & 39:19,21 42:3 & 156:2,5,19,20 & 145:10 & 7:2 11:14 91:7 \\
\hline 121:18 127:22 & 74:15 112:3 & 157:2,5,8,14 & matters 131:6 & 91:16 92:15 \\
\hline 132:3,4 137:14 & maintenance & 158:2,6,11,14 & 153:16 & 141:15 \\
\hline 139:12 141:9 & 84:22 99:16 & 159:2,20 160:1 & mature 79:9 & meets 41:9 \\
\hline 149:22 156:7 & major 15:17 & 160:20 161:3 & maximum 103:5 & member 4:6 6:2 \\
\hline Louis 1:8 3:5 & 87:8,10 125:1 & 161:19 162:6 & maximums 7:22 & 14:4 15:8 76:3 \\
\hline 5:5,6 6:11 11:9 & 142:7 143:6 & 162:10,14 & Mayor's 77:4 & 78:10 91:5 \\
\hline 11:22,22 12:5 & majority 132:6 & 163:2,8,18 & mean 62:22 70:4 & 127:17 149:18 \\
\hline 13:6 18:13,16 & maker 151:6 & 164:10,11,15 & 71:22 72:12,18 & members 3:4,15 \\
\hline 18:16 39:15 & making 80:12 & 164:21 165:4,6 & 73:3 74:19 & 3:15,18 4:7 6:1 \\
\hline 46:15 53:22 & 83:10 103:20 & 165:9,11 & 76:16 84:1,12 & 6:10 11:8 \\
\hline 54:16,21 55:4 & 114:17 126:1 & mass 7:14 21:9 & 85:10 86:21 & 12:21 13:5 \\
\hline 55:12 68:11 & 129:20 135:14 & 36:2 & 87:6 103:15 & 14:1,7 15:5 \\
\hline 71:4 72:7 & maligning 93:15 & Massachusetts & 105:18 115:17 & 53:12 55:20 \\
\hline 77:14,16,18,21 & manage 100:2 & 1:6 9:4 167:2,5 & 116:5 119:22 & 56:2,14 62:21 \\
\hline 95:20 102:11 & Manager 1:12 & massage 136:13 & 123:22 127:7 & 84:8 95:17 \\
\hline 103:14 105:4 & 4:9 6:15 & massaged & 127:12 128:12 & 97:16 107:9 \\
\hline 105:12 106:5 & manages 102:18 & 134:11 & 132:17 137:5 & 109:20 111:22 \\
\hline 106:13,16,21 & managing 57:17 & massed 124:14 & 139:22 146:13 & 114:11 115:10 \\
\hline 108:16 109:15 & maneuvering & massing 19:14 & 162:15 & 121:17 125:5 \\
\hline 113:3,6,9,10 & 82:19 & 20:2,7,11,20 & means 55:20 & 125:19 127:18 \\
\hline
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\hline procedurally & 20:5 30:7,21 & proposing 39:5 & 65:11 66:13,21 & 53:19 60:12 \\
\hline 114:14 115:18 & 35:18 36:8,10 & 41:20 & 67:1,2 85:5 & 61:4 64:20 \\
\hline 120:20 134:21 & 38:3,10 39:13 & proprietary & 101:14 107:4 & 97:10 112:4 \\
\hline procedure 17:8 & 42:15 43:3,4 & 58:12 & 109:1 113:22 & 117:13 127:11 \\
\hline 17:11 152:3 & 60:15,21 91:19 & Prosnitz 6:21 & 116:6 120:19 & 137:8 145:22 \\
\hline procedures 17:9 & 91:20 94:21 & Proto 106:9 & 121:9 128:5 & 162:1 \\
\hline 149:12 & 96:11 110:1,7 & protocols 81:5 & 155:16 158:21 & quorum 14:8 \\
\hline proceed 127:21 & 113:21 120:1,7 & 105:11 & 159:11 & \\
\hline proceeded 117:5 & 120:9 123:1,16 & proud 94:20 & puts 96:16 & R \\
\hline proceedings 4:5 & 129:17 133:17 & provide 7:16 & putting 65:14 & R 3:1 166:1,1 \\
\hline 165:12 166:18 & 134:15 135:14 & 29:7 50:14 & 74:14 143:8 & rack 101:18 \\
\hline 167:7 & 147:11 & 52:1 61:7 & 144:6 & 108:2,7 \\
\hline process 17:10,18 & project's 134:14 & 69:21 90:19 & & racks 45:5 101:2 \\
\hline 18:7 63:5 & projected & 99:15 104:19 & Q & 101:8,20,21,22 \\
\hline 83:14 92:5 & 126:19 & 106:2 154:15 & Q\&A 19:1 & 102:5 107:10 \\
\hline 98:22 117:5 & projects 56:11 & 157:16 159:1 & qualitative 80:1 & 109:9 \\
\hline 118:6 123:7,17 & 56:12 101:19 & provided 8:15 & quality 43:5,17 & radio 157:11 \\
\hline 125:17 126:7 & 148:14 & 19:4 23:7 & 83:16 & rail 29:19 \\
\hline 133:20,22 & promenade & 154:21 157:19 & quarter 101:13 & rain 63:17 \\
\hline 135:5 137:3,7 & 37:17 & provides 47:9 & quest 89:20 & raise 56:15,16 \\
\hline 138:5,11 139:7 & prominent & 134:14 & question 63:19 & 57:19 88:18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 89:7 & 36:14 37:9,12 & 163:12 & 49:13,19,19 & 62:13 144:1 \\
\hline raised 44:2 & 43:16 50:22 & recommendat... & 50:20 51:3,10 & 154:22 \\
\hline 57:18 60:14 & 51:1,15 52:7 & 163:14 & 51:15 & represented \\
\hline 69:1 89:19 & 58:5 61:17 & recommended & relatively 60:16 & 27:5 \\
\hline 95:2,17 96:19 & 64:19 68:6 & 159:16 160:9 & 67:12 103:14 & representing \\
\hline 96:21 102:7 & 70:1,9 71:11 & reconsider & 103:15 & 159:3 \\
\hline 124:20 125:6 & 72:20 73:1,20 & 60:17 61:16 & released 96:15 & request 16:8 \\
\hline 127:6,16 131:1 & 74:16 75:13 & record 13:19 & relocating 128:2 & requests 154:17 \\
\hline 131:6 & 76:9,20 78:5 & 53:3 56:20 & remain 144:5 & require 108:8 \\
\hline raising 143:19 & 79:22 81:9 & 134:11 166:18 & remainder & required 61:20 \\
\hline rarely 63:6 & 82:14,17 83:12 & 167:6 & 153:15 & 96:12 119:11 \\
\hline 89:10 139:15 & 83:18 84:3 & recorded 4:1 & remaining 124:2 & 149:11 161:17 \\
\hline rate 107:18 & 86:2 87:19 & recreational & remains 79:7 & requirements \\
\hline ratios 7:21 & 89:8,9,11,11 & 10:6,19 & remarks 18:1,4 & 7:22 41:5 \\
\hline reach 35:12 94:1 & 90:10,21 & rectangular & 117:3 & 126:6 \\
\hline reached 9:2 & 103:22 105:14 & 21:3 & remember 78:8 & Res 48:18 49:20 \\
\hline 89:10 & 108:4 115:14 & recused 153:15 & 91:12 & residence 73:7 \\
\hline read 26:19 & 116:2,10 & red 27:5 & remind 57:19 & 73:14 \\
\hline 27:22 33:14 & 117:20 126:11 & Redevelopment & 64:22 & residential 7:3 \\
\hline 73:22 166:15 & 127:11 142:17 & 13:15,19 14:8 & reminder 15:19 & 20:10 22:10 \\
\hline reads 27:10 & 142:22 152:5 & 17:5 116:18 & 25:8 & 26:1 31:9,12 \\
\hline ready \(52: 6\) & 153:8,9 159:10 & reduce 161:6 & remote 1:5 3:21 & 40:2 41:22 \\
\hline 99:14 105:3 & 160:16 & refine 112:12 & 15:6 56:8 & 48:7,8,14 65:4 \\
\hline 114:14 118:10 & realm 38:22 & refined 118:21 & 157:11 & 70:14 72:11 \\
\hline 128:13 130:16 & 42:19 43:1 & refinements & remotely 3:12 & 75:878:19 \\
\hline 131:12 132:11 & 123:14 & 128:21 & remove 161:13 & 83:4,7,16,18 \\
\hline 139:10 149:4 & reason 121:20 & refining 30:6 & removing 79:17 & 84:5 92:18 \\
\hline Reagan 96:1 & 144:12 166:2 & 133:19 & rendered 33:5 & 93:2 103:16 \\
\hline 97:22 99:4,6,9 & reasonable & reflect 104:11 & rendering 50:1 & 112:8 113:11 \\
\hline 102:10,14 & 109:10 136:14 & reflecting 60:7 & 68:14 72:6 & 113:12 114:9 \\
\hline 104:13 105:8 & reassurance & 135:10 & 114:7 159:14 & 147:10 \\
\hline 105:21 & 139:4,6 & reflective 126:1 & renderings & residents 80:16 \\
\hline real 42:19 135:4 & reassure 139:7 & regarding 19:13 & 71:22 74:8 & 97:22 98:10 \\
\hline 142:8 & recall 32:1 & 19:21 57:2 & 79:2,9,21 & 111:21 112:12 \\
\hline realized 151:20 & recapping 99:3 & 122:19 & 81:16 83:12 & resiliency 19:22 \\
\hline 151:21 & receive 19:21 & regimen 78:18 & 84:10 114:6 & 25:3 52:6 96:6 \\
\hline really 9:11,14 & 56:21 & regular 97:11 & 157:19 158:1,3 & 97:13 \\
\hline 9:16 19:7 & received 11:13 & 153:6 & Renewal 17:9 & resilient 41:4 \\
\hline 20:11,16,22 & 51:19 56:18,19 & regulations & repair 100:4 & resolved 119:20 \\
\hline 21:3,11,20,21 & 57:1 62:9 & 96:13,15 & repeating 15:8 & resolving 134:9 \\
\hline 22:1,11,20 & 78:22 & Rehab 9:2 & replaced 79:15 & respect 59:10 \\
\hline 23:9,11,14,20 & recognizable & reinforce 27:11 & replacing & 60:11,21 61:17 \\
\hline 24:11,13 25:19 & 20:14 & 28:2 60:5 & 154:11 157:10 & 135:15 146:12 \\
\hline 26:7 27:2,8,10 & recognize 60:19 & reinforces 32:15 & represent & respecting 79:6 \\
\hline 27:21 28:1,2,7 & 61:12 62:1 & reinforcing & 110:11 & respects 87:21 \\
\hline 28:19 29:3,7 & 97:9 & 27:21 & representation & 135:4 \\
\hline 30:9 31:7,8,13 & recommend & related 7:13 8:5 & 113:4 & respond 14:3 \\
\hline 31:14 32:11,20 & 149:4 & 9:1 167:8 & representative & 122:18 \\
\hline 33:11 34:18 & recommendat... & relates 7:12 & 157:18 & responder 64:1 \\
\hline 35:2,5,11 36:2 & 8:6,19 159:16 & 48:11,16 49:12 & representatives & responding \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 48:19 95:12 & 63:17 & roll 3:17 12:2,3 & 165:5 & 47:9 95:11,22 \\
\hline response 15:8 & RFP 159:11 & 14:1 15:7 & & sculptural 31:21 \\
\hline 86:20 109:18 & rhetorically & 149:21 151:8 & S & sealed 63:15 \\
\hline 116:21 157:20 & 80:6 & 152:18 156:6 & S 3:1 166:1 & Sean 10:11 \\
\hline rest 55:16 64:10 & rhythm 34:11 & 163:18,20 & safe 123:14 & Sears 94:8 \\
\hline 75:11 147:20 & Rican 94:9 & roller 77:19 & sand 29:5 & seating 41:14,19 \\
\hline restraint 93:5 & rich 29:4 & rolling 20:1 & sanded 34:8 & 42:12,16,16 \\
\hline restructuring & right 6:4 10:1 & roof 113:22 & Sasaki 18:20 & 44:17,19 45:15 \\
\hline 79:16 & 11:4 13:1 & 161:10 & 92:3 & 45:17 46:22 \\
\hline result 79:12 & 14:16,19 15:4 & roofscape 52:7 & sat 93:18 & 47:1,2,5,7,19 \\
\hline 92:8 & 15:10 17:20 & rooftop 154:13 & satisfy 107:11 & 51:10 81:3 \\
\hline retail \(23: 13,15\) & 23:17 26:1 & room 65:19 & 132:11 & second 11:20,21 \\
\hline 23:18,21 24:2 & 31:3 38:21 & 66:13,17 94:6 & saw 43:2 58:4 & 11:22 23:7 \\
\hline 41:21 44:13,15 & 40:9 44:12,16 & 102:15 141:19 & 72:10 & 34:5 41:18 \\
\hline 45:12 65:21 & 45:13,21 47:3 & rooms 67:13 & sawtooth 27:14 & 53:18 69:9 \\
\hline 73:14 81:22 & 47:19 51:17 & 94:12 & 32:13 & 108:20 136:9 \\
\hline 84:6 & 53:8 54:7 55:6 & rooted 31:22 & saying 57:12 & 149:15 156:3,4 \\
\hline retrievals 103:4 & 56:1,10 57:5,9 & rotate 55:1 & 71:17 118:9 & 158:9 160:4,12 \\
\hline return 131:19 & 62:7 63:8 67:7 & rotated 22:16 & 121:1 127:3,4 & 163:17 \\
\hline reveal 30:17 & 68:7 71:5,6 & rotating 90:15 & 128:19,20 & second-story \\
\hline review 2:11 7:2 & 72:3 73:8 74:5 & 127:9 128:2 & 130:15 144:9 & 96:22 \\
\hline 7:6 13:10 & 76:4 78:4 & rotation 55:5 & 145:9 147:3,4 & secondary \(24: 6\) \\
\hline 15:16 16:2,5,7 & 81:17 85:18 & roughly 40:21 & 155:9 161:5 & 124:10 129:18 \\
\hline 16:15 17:5,10 & 86:14 88:16 & 45:3 103:17 & says 56:15 73:5 & 130:1 \\
\hline 17:11,17 19:7 & 94:1 96:19 & route 144:14 & 123:10 125:7 & secondly 61:8 \\
\hline 56:11 62:21,21 & 98:14 104:8,17 & routes 32:4 & scale 30:2 33:1 & seconds 107:21 \\
\hline 91:6,7,15,20 & 107:4 109:14 & row 69:14 & 33:12 34:7 & 149:16 \\
\hline 116:16,21 & 109:19 114:10 & RRH 157:12 & 104:9 & Secretary 14:19 \\
\hline 119:20 120:14 & 122:5 124:17 & RRUs 161:9 & scaling 80:11 & section 3:13 \\
\hline 121:9,15 125:9 & 130:9 135:15 & rug 117:19 & 112:1 & 22:20 25:22 \\
\hline 125:18 126:7 & 135:18 141:18 & rule 68:2 & scary 78:2 & 38:10 96:5 \\
\hline 131:13 132:14 & 144:13 148:7 & rules 64:9 & scattered 81:3 & sections 161:7 \\
\hline 133:20 134:6 & 149:7,20 151:2 & run 18:21 26:10 & scene 47:16 & see 19:2,20 20:9 \\
\hline 136:22 137:1,2 & 152:11 153:13 & 35:15 38:21 & Schafer 159:2,5 & 20:11,15 21:6 \\
\hline 137:3,4,22 & 153:17 155:21 & runners 93:16 & 159:21 160:5 & 21:19 22:6,19 \\
\hline 139:7,8,16,20 & 158:6 160:5 & running 93:13 & 160:21 161:4 & 24:14 25:15,22 \\
\hline 141:10,15 & 161:19 162:10 & 93:16 & schedule 121:20 & 26:13 27:12 \\
\hline 143:10 147:6 & 162:14,21 & rush 63:17 & scheduled 2:13 & 28:14,18,20 \\
\hline 148:12 149:10 & 163:1,8 165:9 & Russell 1:9 3:6 & schedules & 30:17 33:14 \\
\hline 149:13 151:4 & right-74:22 & 5:1,3 6:12 & 126:12 & 34:6,12,16 \\
\hline 152:3,4,7,8 & right-hand 22:5 & 11:10 12:12,13 & scheduling 8:11 & 37:6,14 38:11 \\
\hline 154:6 & 24:14 35:1 & 13:7 57:7 & schematic 15:21 & 40:2,19 42:14 \\
\hline reviewed 16:9 & 110:14 & 62:12,20 91:4 & 17:11,14 63:6 & 42:18 44:1 \\
\hline 157:15 & rightly 120:13 & 107:14 116:14 & 116:19 117:6 & 45:3,12,19 \\
\hline reviewing 17:14 & ringing 38:20 & 117:2 118:19 & 119:6,15 139:9 & 46:2,14,15 \\
\hline 60:14 & rings 96:9 & 130:6 137:20 & 151:3,9 & 47:12,17 49:3 \\
\hline reviews 138:16 & rink 88:14 & 150:8,9 154:4 & scope 139:21 & 55:12 57:18 \\
\hline revised 30:5 & river 74:6,7 & 156:13,14 & scratching 93:6 & 63:6 64:16 \\
\hline revisit 146:2 & Robert 60:3,3 & 157:6 162:9,11 & screen 18:15 & 66:1 68:17 \\
\hline revolving 63:14 & role 9:14 137:21 & 162:15 164:4,5 & 19:3 24:15 & 69:1 70:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 71:6 72:5 & 75:1,2 79:5 & 18:3 135:7,9 & 45:4 64:15 & 33:6 34:1 \\
\hline 73:11,14,21 & 81:16 83:6 & 147:9,15,18 & 67:18,19 68:3 & 37:22 48:11,14 \\
\hline 74:6,7,9,10 & 90:4 106:18 & sheathing & 82:5 86:5 & 48:19 49:13 \\
\hline 77:9,12 78:4 & 115:22 138:10 & 160:17 & Sidney 2:16 28:5 & 55:7 81:4,13 \\
\hline 83:12 84:2,9 & 139:22 & sheaths 160:13 & 154:13 & 81:18 96:10 \\
\hline 85:2 86:2,22 & sensitive 78:19 & 161:11 & Sieniewicz 5:11 & 111:9 115:6 \\
\hline 87:1 89:1 90:3 & sensitivity 123:9 & shed 159:3 & 150:10,11 & sites 16:1 \\
\hline 92:20 93:14 & sent 60:6 160:3 & shielded 110:15 & sight 50:12,16 & Siting 120:3,8 \\
\hline 97:2 98:15 & separate 38:6 & shoot 121:3 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }} 138: 3\) & 124:16 144:13 \\
\hline 100:18 102:7 & 116:6,9 & shop 10:6 98:5 & 144:17 & sits 89:22 \\
\hline 105:14 110:14 & sequence 98:6 & 100:4,7 & signal 65:15 & sitting 3:4 6:10 \\
\hline 114:13,15,19 & serious 87:10 & shops 10:19 & 95:5 & 11:8 13:5 \\
\hline 115:11,17 & 97:10 & short 26:22 27:2 & signed 3:13 & 93:19,21 94:5 \\
\hline 116:2,19 117:4 & seriously 60:13 & 40:2 89:8,18 & significant & 135:18 154:3 \\
\hline 118:2,11,12 & service 40:5 & 128:9 & 25:11 26:5 & 157:5 \\
\hline 121:16 125:6 & 61:14 98:16 & short-term 39:6 & 82:22 127:1 & situate 100:18 \\
\hline 127:5,18,21 & 99:15 106:14 & 42:5 & 162:2 & situation 66:4 \\
\hline 128:20 129:10 & 163:4,6 & shove 138:19 & signify 47:6 56:2 & 143:10 145:17 \\
\hline 130:19 136:12 & serviced 61:19 & show 33:11 37:1 & 84:5 & six 29:19 101:21 \\
\hline 140:10 143:2 & session 15:16 & 49:2 51:8 & signing 138:5 & 133:6 145:14 \\
\hline 144:11,17 & 16:5 19:1,7,8 & 52:13 53:8 & silver 121:1 & 157:12 \\
\hline 148:10,19,22 & 24:6 & 56:7 59:4,15 & Simha 57:22 & Sixth 48:11 51:5 \\
\hline 153:5,10 155:7 & sessions 19:4 & 145:5 158:1 & 60:1,3,3 & sixth-floor \\
\hline 158:2,7 159:14 & 20:9 141:15 & showed 33:9 & similar 17:3,5 & 35:15 \\
\hline 159:18 160:3,3 & set 96:8 105:2 & showing 31:12 & 39:20 102:19 & size 80:14 112:1 \\
\hline 161:10 162:7 & 167:12 & 78:10 84:11 & 103:15 104:9 & 118:3 \\
\hline seeing 10:21 & setback 159:17 & 99:12 103:9 & 119:5 133:17 & skateboard \\
\hline 20:7 26:22 & 160:8 & shown 61:18 & similarly 103:12 & 68:20 \\
\hline 27:3 31:22 & sets 41:11 & 163:13 & simple 26:16 & skating 88:14 \\
\hline 32:9, 16 33:7 & seven 112:2 & shows 49:22 & 67:10 & sky 33:19,20 \\
\hline 33:10,18 34:4 & seven-point & 97:6 & Simplex 28:4 & skyline 20:13 \\
\hline 35:16 37:10,16 & 161:5 & shut 90:20 & simplify 109:18 & 35:6 94:16 \\
\hline 38:1 40:12 & shade 42:18 & sic 26:4 35:2 & simply 61:10 & 110:10 \\
\hline 55:11 94:11 & 46:7 69:19 & 69:12 102:2 & 87:21 88:4 & slab 25:4 70:9 \\
\hline 95:5 96:16 & shafts 63:15 & 145:7 & 117:3 144:22 & slash 33:15 \\
\hline 108:19 121:22 & shallow 67:2 & side 18:19,20 & simultaneously & slide 18:17 19:5 \\
\hline 132:21 147:22 & shape \(22: 8\) & 21:12,13 22:5 & 73:12 & 20:19 21:19 \\
\hline 149:3 155:6,6 & 26:17 40:9 & 24:14 27:4,5 & sincere 58:18 & 22:1,15 25:6,7 \\
\hline seek 16:11 & 43:18,21 & 29:1,5,6 34:22 & single 59:16 & 25:21 27:1 \\
\hline seeks 154:9 & 110:10 143:7 & 35:1,9,18 36:1 & 69:17 85:15 & 28:22 30:3 \\
\hline 157:9 & shaped 123:11 & 36:8 38:1,2 & 116:15 162:22 & 31:10 32:7 \\
\hline seen 56:3 70:14 & shaping 21:17 & 39:2 40:17 & singular 20:12 & 33:4 34:20 \\
\hline 71:21 83:16 & share 19:18 & 47:4 50:14 & sit 41:17 42:2,2 & 35:13 42:7,21 \\
\hline 103:9 & 60:10 72:7 & 75:1,4 86:4 & 44:21 47:18 & 44:6 45:7 \\
\hline selection 142:15 & 78:13 95:11,18 & 105:12 109:7 & 48:2 58:8 65:9 & 46:10,19 47:13 \\
\hline send 65:6,15 & 95:21,21 & 110:14 136:3,3 & 81:9 & 48:4 49:1,21 \\
\hline 89:15 155:16 & 131:17 158:3 & 140:20 & site 15:21 20:7 & 96:19 97:18,18 \\
\hline 155:18 163:11 & shared 56:9 & sides 40:16 & 21:5,8,14 22:3 & 98:20 100:8,21 \\
\hline senior 4:9 & sharing 56:6 & sidewalk 39:10 & 24:19 25:1,4,9 & 101:6,16 \\
\hline sense 42:1 65:22 & Shaw 17:22 18:2 & 40:22 41:15 & 25:11 28:4 & 109:17 110:5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 111:5 & 42:10 43:6 & spaces 21:21,22 & spreads 43:2 & stars 114:1 \\
\hline slides 52:6 77:21 & 44:11 47:19 & 24:2,15 26:7 & Square 10:10 & start 4:6 44:16 \\
\hline 96:6 97:12 & 48:15 63:8 & 31:17 36:16 & 28:9,13 58:15 & 45:19 47:6 \\
\hline 100:19 109:15 & 64:7 65:7,17 & 38:7 42:14 & 120:10 142:9 & 55:171:16 \\
\hline 109:16 & 65:18 68:19 & 66:21 79:20,22 & squirrel 141:19 & started 21:2 \\
\hline slightly 17:7 & 73:14 76:22 & 80:4 102:4 & ss \(167: 3\) & 48:9,17 50:17 \\
\hline 159:15 & 78:15,16,17,18 & spaghetti 25:15 & stable 54:22 & 151:20 \\
\hline slower 55:6 & 79:6 80:3,9,18 & speak 10:11 & stack 63:16 & starting 17:21 \\
\hline slurry 25:14 & 80:20 81:3,12 & 40:10 52:8 & 105:17 & 30:11 33:14 \\
\hline small 68:15 & 81:18,22 82:2 & 53:15 55:20 & stadium 41:19 & 34:6 40:10 \\
\hline 69:12,22 72:17 & 83:5 86:3 & 56:14 57:14 & staff 1:11 4:6 & 45:9 50:11,13 \\
\hline 83:6 88:7 & 87:20 100:1,7 & 81:2 96:2 & 6:5 15:5,12 & 72:5 125:12 \\
\hline 105:6 110:10 & 100:14,14,16 & 102:17 105:3 & 16:11,16,20,20 & starts 34:13 \\
\hline 122:9 & 101:1 103:1 & speaker 57:21 & 17:3 57:10,12 & 36:22 38:14 \\
\hline smaller-age & 104:20 105:10 & 59:22 & 62:10,10 67:18 & state 3:16 \\
\hline 50:7 & 105:22 106:18 & speakers 57:11 & 67:20 91:10,22 & 123:16 \\
\hline Smith 18:19 & 110:20 112:10 & 62:5 67:8 & 91:22 94:17,19 & states 126:14 \\
\hline soffit 37:9,11 & 116:7 118:6 & speaking 3:16 & 94:20 107:5,6 & statistics 19:22 \\
\hline 112:19 & 119:14 129:3 & 73:13 78:21 & 114:6,15,17 & 52:7 \\
\hline soften 45:3 & 135:22 136:5,7 & speaks 22:12 & 116:17 119:21 & statuesque \\
\hline softened 47:8 & 136:9 142:11 & 78:17 & 120:4,15,18 & 78:16 \\
\hline softer 83:11 & 162:16 & spec 31:2 & 121:7 125:18 & stay 134:7 \\
\hline software 105:17 & sought 92:21 & special 7:13 16:4 & 125:19 127:20 & steal 67:4 \\
\hline solid 73:19 & sound 44:2 & 101:2 108:8,8 & 128:6 129:10 & step 9:13 16:10 \\
\hline solution 108:9 & sounds 71:4 & 154:18 157:9 & 129:16,18,19 & 36:1,7 147:9 \\
\hline 134:22 135:4,7 & 80:6 109:6 & 159:6 & 131:18 134:1,6 & steps 33:20 \\
\hline 135:10,11 & 131:22 & specific 7:21 & 137:2,3,4 & 45:15 \\
\hline 137:12 & south 26:21 & 16:18 52:5 & 141:8 147:6 & Steve 11:19 12:8 \\
\hline Somerville & 34:22 47:16 & 53:13 & 148:3 149:10 & 86:18 88:9 \\
\hline 113:21 & 78:16 & specifically & 152:7 154:14 & 128:16 129:13 \\
\hline somewhat & southeast 20:4 & 102:8 133:9 & 155:3 157:20 & 130:4,7 132:2 \\
\hline 118:11 119:15 & 65:19 & specify 16:14 & 164:18 & 149:14 150:4 \\
\hline 120:12 & southeast-faci... & 107:7 & staffing 104:10 & 156:11 163:16 \\
\hline soon-to-be & 26:7 & spectacular & 104:21 & 164:2 \\
\hline 96:15 & southern 114:7 & 64:20 68:1 & staffs 72:2 & Steven 1:8 3:5 \\
\hline sophisticated & space 18:20 & speed 55:5 & stage 103:2 & 5:8,10 6:11 \\
\hline 138:5 & 19:15 21:20 & speeding 93:14 & 138:4 & 11:9,19 12:9 \\
\hline sorry 14:15 71:8 & 22:2,11,22 & spend 133:19,22 & stair 65:21 & 13:6 86:19 \\
\hline 89:3 104:15 & 23:4,8,16,21 & spill 60:8 & staircase 125:21 & 129:14 149:14 \\
\hline 128:17 & 24:8 25:5,19 & spilled 101:4 & stairs 40:20 & 150:5 154:3 \\
\hline sort 10:16 19:12 & 32:6 34:19 & spillover 113:13 & 44:13 & 156:12 157:5 \\
\hline 19:18 20:14 & 36:4 37:18 & splash 85:3 & stairway 133:20 & 163:16 164:3 \\
\hline 21:6 25:8,15 & 38:12,14 42:11 & splitting 21:7 & stamp 155:16 & sticking 74:13 \\
\hline 26:15,18,19,22 & 48:13 49:5,17 & 108:16 & stand 84:15 & stipulation \\
\hline 27:2,20 28:18 & 60:16,20 67:5 & spoke 10:10 & standing 44:8 & 131:14 \\
\hline 30:11,17 31:7 & 70:6 73:6 & 46:16 & 123:11 & stone 47:20 \\
\hline 31:16,21,22 & 81:22 86:8 & spoken 80:6 & standpoint & stop 38:8 99:10 \\
\hline 32:1,9 33:9 & 99:22 101:7 & spot 69:4 122:11 & 123:2 & 124:15 146:20 \\
\hline 35:16,19 36:17 & 112:6,7,9,14 & spotlight 110:17 & Stantec 18:14 & storage 106:10 \\
\hline 37:10,13,22 & 115:16 & spots 101:3 & 53:17 92:1 & 107:5,22 141:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline stories 26:2 & subject 16:1,15 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s u n }} 40: 18\) 42:17 & 95:14,20 96:4 & talked 9:18,22 \\
\hline straight 74:21 & 124:19 130:21 & 42:18 46:7 & 104:13 158:5,6 & 26:2 42:9 77:7 \\
\hline strategy 81:4 & 131:4 144:10 & 65:10 69:5 & 158:7,8 160:2 & 92:10 119:8 \\
\hline streamed 4:2 & 146:3 149:9,13 & 84:18 & swear 10:14 & 128:21 140:17 \\
\hline street 2:16,18 & submission & supplemental & swing 75:17 & talking 23:15 \\
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